Generalization: O-method

$$\vec{u}_{5n} = \vec{u}_5 + \Theta \cdot \lambda D \vec{u}_5 + (1-0)\lambda D \vec{u}_{5n} + \vec{f}_5$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - (1-6)\lambda D \vec{u}_{5+1} = [1+0\lambda) \vec{u}_5 + \vec{f}_5 \end{bmatrix}$$

explicit when
$$\theta = |$$
. Backwels Euler, $\theta = 0$.

$$= \left[\Theta \right] \left(e^{-5rh} + e^{5rh} \right) + \left[1 - 2\theta \right] e^{\sqrt{3}}$$

$$q = \frac{1 - 4 \theta \lambda \sin^2\left(\frac{rh}{2}\right)}{1 + 4(1-\theta)/\sin^2\left(\frac{rh}{2}\right)}$$

$$4\lambda \sin^2(\frac{1}{2}) \left[\theta - (1-\theta) \right] \leq 2$$

crank up to 1

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} 2\theta - 1 \end{bmatrix} \leq \frac{2}{4} = \frac{1}{2}$$

But in fact for
$$\theta = \frac{1}{2}$$
 is $O(k^2) + O(h^2)$
Les Cunk Nodeolson

Explicit: If
$$\frac{1}{h^2} \approx \frac{1}{2}$$
, no guarantee

 $\theta = 1$

If $\frac{1}{h^2} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, error is $O(k) + O(h^2)$
 $O(\frac{1}{2})$

Error is $O(k) + O(h^2)$

Still require $k \sim h^2$ to keep

error from time discritization dimensionly that from space. constant for ∞ depends on Θ , improves as $\Theta \Rightarrow V_2$.

 $O = \frac{1}{2}$

Error is $O(k^2) + O(h^2)$

to koup time discritization from dominating.

Conveyonce of
$$\Theta$$
 methods (first pass)

Bosed on maximum principle ($u_{0,5}=0$, $u_{NH,5}=0$)

 $(1+2)(1-\theta)$ $u_{i,5+1}=\lambda(1-\theta)[u_{i-1,5+1}+u_{i+1,5+1}]$
 $+\lambda\theta[u_{i-1,5}+u_{i+1,5}]$
 $+(1-2)\theta[u_{i,5}]$

We will assume $|-2|\theta>0$ shiftent from $\theta \in \frac{1}{2}$!

 $\lambda\theta \in \frac{1}{2}$ ($\theta=0$, always)

(oefficients on RHS are all >0 , and to $2\lambda(1-\theta)+2\lambda\theta+1-2\lambda\theta$

2) (1-0) +2/0 +1-2/0 = 1 + 21 - 210 = 1 + 24 (1-0) which is the coeff on the left.

$$E_{i,j} = U_{i,j} - u(x_i, t_j) \text{ as in carreyone proof for formal}$$

$$[1 + 2\lambda(1-\theta)] E_{i,j+1} = \lambda(1-\theta) \left[E_{i-1,j+1} + E_{i+1,j+1}\right]$$
Euler

$$+ \lambda \theta \left[\underbrace{E_{i-1, i}}_{\text{titis}} \right]$$

$$+ \left[1 - 2 \lambda \theta \right] \underbrace{E_{i, i}}_{\text{titis}} - \underbrace{k^2_{i, i}}_{\text{titis}}$$

$$\left(1 + 2\lambda \left(1 - \theta \right) \right) \underbrace{E_{i, i}}_{\text{titis}} + \underbrace{2 \lambda \left(1 - \theta \right)}_{\text{titis}} \underbrace{E_{i+1, i}}_{\text{titis}}$$

+ 2 x + (1-2 x) = ; + k / 2 |

$$E_{3+1} \leq E_{3} + k |z|$$

$$E_{5} \leq E_{0} + Mk |z|$$

$$\max_{\bar{j}} E_{\bar{j}} \rightarrow 0$$
 also and we have

$$\chi_{j}^{(n)}, \xi_{j}^{(n)} \rightarrow (4, \xi)$$

$$U_{ij}^{(n)} \rightarrow u(x,t)$$

10 5 1 This gives conveyence in cose 12051 progessively weaker conditions as 0 = 0. (Arbitrary step size for 0=0!) If you let me change how I mersue eman I can get conversee in Ease 0 5 1/2. $B\vec{u}_{j+1} = A\vec{v}_j + \vec{j}$ Ersenvelues of Dave regalive, so this of - (1-0) 1) ae > 0. So those of I- (1-0)X), are >0. So no kenel!