FEAT HUNCH STUDENTS PERFORMANCE PREDICTOR IN ML

A Project Submitted
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
For the Degree of

BACHELOR OF TECHNOLOGY

In Computer Science and Engineering

By

Ankit Kumar (1509010035) Ankit Agrawal (1509010033) Amit Kumar Singh (1509010027)

Under the Supervision of

Mr. DEEPAK VERMA IEC Group of Institutions, Greater Noida.



To the Faculty of Applied Sciences

DR. APJ ABDUL KALAM TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY
(Formerly Uttar Pradesh Technical University)
LUCKNOW
May, 2019

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that Ankit Kumar(1509010035), Amit Kumar Singh (1509010027) and Ankit Agrawal (1509010033) has carried out the research work as presented in this thesis entitled "FEAT HUNCH – STUDENT PERFORMANCE PREDICTOR IN ML" for the award of Bachelor of Technology from Dr. APJ Abdul Kalam Technical University, Lucknow under my/our supervision. The thesis embodies results of original work, and studies are carried out by the student himself/herself and the contents of the thesis do not form the basis for the award of any other degree to the candidate or to anybody else from this or any other University/Institution.

Signature

Mr. DEEPAK VERMA

(Assistant Professor)

IEC College of Engineering and Technology

Date:

ABSTRACT

The specific focus of this report is education. The aim is to predict student performance. Data about students is used to create a model that can predict whether the student is successful or not in the field of studies, based on other properties. First, the training data set is taken as input. There are two different data sets, containing different types of information. These data sets are in tabular format, where each row represents a student and each column, or variable, contains certain information about a student, such as age, gender, family background or medical information. In addition, a column representing the success of the student is used as the variable that the algorithm is trying to predict. The algorithm creates a model, which is a function that outputs success or failure of the student, using other variables as input.

This report evaluates the effectiveness of different machine learning algorithms and methods. While algorithms that are used in creating predictive models are numerous, which focuses on three of them, which are linear regression, decision trees, and naïve Bayes classification. This project also measures the improvement made by feature engineering, which refers to modifying the data to make it more suitable for machine learning.

"FEAT HUNCH – STUDENT PERFORMANCE PREDICTOR in ML" aims at connecting all the students and teachers in an institute.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to show our gratitude to Mr. Deepak Verma, Project Guide and

Prof. Rajnesh Singh, Head of Department of Computer Science and Engineering,

IEC College of Engineering and Technology for giving us a good guideline for

project throughout numerous consultations. We would also like to express our

deepest gratitude to all those who have directly and indirectly guided us throughout

this project. We would also like to thank our team members who have helped us in

successfully completing the project.

Date: 25th May, 2019.

Name of students:

Ankit Kumar (1509010035)

Ankit Agrawal (1509010033)

Amit Kumar Singh (1509010027)

i

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
STATEMENT OF PROBLEM & HYPOTHESIS	3
CHAPTER 2	4
SYSTEM ANALYSIS	4
2.1 PRELIMINAR INVESTIGATION	6
2.1.1 REVIEWING ORGANISATIONL DOCUMENTS:	6
2.2 PROPOSED SYSTEM	6
2.3 FEASIBILITY STUDY	7
2.3.1 TECHNICAL FEASIBILTY	7
2.3.2 OPERATIONAL FEASIBILTY	8
2.3.3 ECONOMICAL FEASIBILTY	8
CHAPTER 3	10
AIMS AND OBJECTIVE	10
3.1 REVIEW OF LITERATURE	11
3.2 EXISTING METHODOLOGY/ARCHITECTURE	14
3.2.1 EXISTING ALGORITHM	14
3.2.2 EXISTING METHODOLOGY FEATURE	15
3.2.3 EXISTING METHODOLOGY UNIQUENESS	15
3 3 NEED FOR PROPOSAL	16

CHAPTER 4	17
REQUIREMENTS	17
4.1 HARDWARE	17
4.2 LANGUAGHE USED	17
4.2.1 PYTHON	17
4.2.2 R LANGUGAE	20
4.3 HTML	22
4.4 JAVASCRIPT	22
4.5 CSS	22
4.6 BACK-END : RDBMS	22
4.6.1 MY SQL	23
CHAPTER 5	24
PROPOSED METHODOLOGY/ARCHITECTURE	24
5.1 ALGORITHMS USED	25
5.1.1 NAÏVE BAYES	
5.1.2 DECISION TREE	
5.1.3 NEURAL NETWORK	
5.1.4 LOGISTICS REGRESSION	
5.1.5 K NEAREST NEIGHBOUR	
CHAPTER 6	
IMPLEMENTATION	
6.1 STANDARDIZATION OF THE CODING/CODE EFFICIENCY	
6.2 ERROR HANDALING	
6.3 PARAMETERS CALLING/PASSING	40
6.4 VALIDATION CHECKS	41

CHAPTER 7	43
TESTING	44
7.1 TESTING TECHNIQUES	44
7.1.1 WHITE BOX TESTING	44
7.1.2 BLACK BOX TESTING	45
7.2 TESTING STRATEGIES	46
7.3 TESTING STRATEGY	47
7 .4 DEBUGGING AND CODE IMPROVEMENT	50
CHAPTER 8	53
SCREENSHOTS OF THE PROJECT	53
8.1 HOME PAGE 1	53
8.2 HOME PAGE 2	54
8.3 EVENT PAGE	54
8.4 ABOUT PAGE	55
8.5 STEPS	55
8.6 OUR TEAM	56
8.7 FUTURE PLANS	56
8.8 CONTACT US	57
8.9 DASHBOARD 1	57
8.10 DASHBOARD 2	58
8.11 DASHBOARD 3	58
8.12 DATABASE 1	59
8.13 DATABASE 2	59
8.14 DATABASE 3	60
REFERENCE	61

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 SYSTEM ANALYSIS	5
Figure 5.1 DATA FLOW DIAGRAM FPR PROPOSED FRAMEWORK	24
Figure 5.2 NEURAL NETWORK REPRESENNTATION	29
Figure 5.3 CONFUSION MATRIX	36
Figure 8.1 HOME PAGE 1	53
Figure 8.2 HOME PAGE 2	54
Figure 8.3 EVENT PAGE	54
Figure 8.4 ABOUT PAGE	55
Figure 8.5 STEPS	55
Figure 8.6 OUR TEAM	56
Figure 8.7 FUTURE PLANS	56
Figure 8.8 CONTACT US	57
Figure 8.9 DASHBOARD 1	57
Figure 8.10 DASHBOARD 2	58
Figure 8.11 DASHBOARD 3	58
Figure 8.12 DATABASE 1	59
Figure 8.13 DATABASE 2	59
Figure 8.14 DATABASE 3	60

LIST OF TABLES

Table 7.1 ERROR DEBUGGED	5	1