

Changes to be addressed:

1. Change the euclid error that pops up after adding students.
2. Only this much information in main form is needed and their order should be like this;

Semester	Last Name	First Name					
ID Number	Degree	Program	GPA				
GRE Q	GRE Q %	GRE V	GRE V %	GRE AW	GRE AW %	Total GRE	ELP
Gender	City	State	Country	Citizenship			
Email Address				Immigration			
Bachelor's Degree				Country of Bachelor's			
Master's Degree				Country of Master's			
Notes							
Decision		Reason					

3. When a user enters the gre points, other percentage and total should be calculated using following conversion table;

Table 1E: Quantitative Reasoning Concordance Table

4.16.2014

Scores on the Prior Scale	Estimated Scores on the Current Scale	% Rank *	Scores on the Prior Scale	Estimated Scores on the Current Scale	% Rank *
800	166	93	490	143	15
790	164	89	480	143	15
780	163	87	470	142	13
770	161	81	460	142	13
760	160	78	450	141	11
750	159	75	440	141	11
740	158	72	430	141	11
730	157	69	420	140	8
720	156	65	410	140	8
710	155	61	400	140	8
700	155	61	390	139	6
690	154	57	380	139	6
680	153	53	370	138	5
670	152	49	360	138	5
660	152	49	350	138	5
650	151	45	340	137	3
640	151	45	330	137	3
630	150	41	320	136	2
620	149	37	310	136	2
610	149	37	300	136	2
600	148	33	290	135	2
590	148	33	280	135	2
580	147	29	270	134	1
570	147	29	260	134	1
560	146	25	250	133	1
550	146	25	240	133	1
540	145	22	230	132	1
530	145	22	220	132	1
520	144	18	210	131	1
510	144	18	200	131	1
500	144	18			

Note: Score users should use special care in evaluating test takers who received a Quantitative Reasoning score at the top end of the prior 200–800 score scale. Now, with the new 130–170 score scale, we can provide more differentiation for higher ability test takers. However, test takers who took the prior test and received an 800 on the Quantitative Reasoning measure, received the highest score possible that they were able to earn on the measure. Therefore, this information should be considered when making admissions decisions.

\*Based on the performance of all examinees who tested between August 1, 2011, and April 30, 2013. Percentile ranks will be updated in July 2014.

Table 1D: Verbal Reasoning Concordance Table

4.16.2014

Scores on the Prior Scale	Estimated Scores on the Current Scale	% Rank *	Scores on the Prior Scale	Estimated Scores on the Current Scale	% Rank *
800	170	99	500	153	58
790	170	99	490	152	53
780	170	99	480	152	53
770	170	99	470	151	49
760	170	99	460	151	49
750	169	99	450	150	44
740	169	99	440	149	40
730	168	98	430	149	40
720	168	98	420	148	36
710	167	97	410	147	32
700	166	96	400	146	28
690	165	95	390	146	28
680	165	95	380	145	24
670	164	93	370	144	21
660	164	93	360	143	18
650	163	91	350	143	18
640	162	89	340	142	15
630	162	89	330	141	12
620	161	87	320	140	10
610	160	84	310	139	7
600	160	84	300	138	6
590	159	81	290	137	5
580	158	78	280	135	2
570	158	78	270	134	2
560	157	73	260	133	1
550	156	70	250	132	1
540	156	70	240	131	1
530	155	66	230	130	1
520	154	62	220	130	1
510	154	62	210	130	1
			200	130	1

\*Based on the performance of all examinees who tested between August 1, 2011, and April 30, 2013. Percentile ranks will be updated in July 2014.

Copyright © 2013 by Educational Testing Service. All rights reserved. ETS, the ETS logo, GRADUATE RECORD EXAMINATIONS and GRE are registered trademarks of Educational Testing Service (ETS) in the United States and other countries.

## Note on GRE conversion:

With the GRE conversions, there are some instances where the number in the middle column is mentioned twice. When this happens, we always use the higher number. For example, on the quantitative conversion, 152 is mentioned twice. I would key that as 152/670. It would be great if when I typed "152" in the database, the "/670" and the "45%" would be autocompleted. If this is not possible, it's not a problem, but it would be a nice feature.

- I would like for Decision and Reason to be grouped together and separated a bit from the other fields since this is information that is entered at a later time. Ideally, I would be able to look at all data as a spreadsheet/table and go down the line and enter the decisions.
- Regarding formatting, I don't care so much if all boxes are the same size. I actually prefer them sized appropriately for the data being entered in the field. For example, I wouldn't need the field for an 8-digit student ID number to be as long as the field for the BS degree or an email. What I am particular about is that the edges of the fields as a whole line up. Please see the attached PDF for how I would prefer for it to look.

## 4. Milestone should include following fields;

- a. Degree Plan
- b. Major professor form
- c. Committee Appointment form
- d. Qualifying Exam
- e. Topic Proposal
- f. Thesis/Dissertation

## 5. The "Add student" button on the header, align it to the left.

6. Report should be printed with varieties of parameters. I will get back into this with further detail after the meeting on tuesday.

## New Changes

1. In report, include the form as shown above. Make it searchable from any field. Also include all the fields from employment history in report except the pay level.
2. Put a note section in employment history as well.
3. Milestone is a date field. Also files should be attachable in milestone.
4. Insert a new field in employment history named "TBP Hours"
5. In the main form, after semester and before last name, insert "Entry Date" field

6. In the main form, after country of masters and before notes, insert "Level" field
7. In the main form, after decision and before reason, insert "Decision Date" field
8. Check if the user can save a student with just student ID and no data in any other fields.
9. Below report, on sidebar, the button is red. Please fix that.
10. In report, make the gre scores, elp score, GPA and any other score searchable by showing anyone with scores more than or equals to the user's input.

(GRE conversion and data migration is remaining. They are sending the detail soon.)