## Countability and Separation Axioms

Def A space X is said to have a countable basis at XEX if there is a countable collection B of neighbourhoods of X such that any neighbourhood of X contains an element of B.

A space that has a countable basis at each of its points is said to be first countable.

First countable

For example, any metrizable space is first countable — consider  $\{Bd(x, 1/n) : n\in \mathbb{N}\}$ 

Theo. Let X be a topological space.

- (4.1) a) Let  $A \subseteq X$ . If there is a sequence of points in A that converges to  $x \in X$ , then  $x \in \overline{A}$ . The converse holds if X is first countable.
  - b) Let  $f X \rightarrow Y$  be continuous. If  $(x_n)$  be a sequence of points in X that converges to x, then  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ . The converse holds if X is first countable.
  - Proof Recall that we have proved the above for metrizable X by considering  $B_d(x, \frac{1}{n})$ .

Here, just consider

Bn = U1 n ... n Un instead,

where  $\{U_n\}$  forms a countable basis at x.

The proof is relatively straightforward — let  $x_n \in B_n \cap A$  for each n. For (b), show that  $f(\overline{A}) \subseteq \overline{f(A)}$ .

Def A topological space X is said to be second countable if it has a countable basis (for the topology).

Prop. Any second countable space is first countable. (4.2)

The proof is direct.

For example, R is second countable — consider  $\{(a,b): a,b \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Similarly,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is second countable as well.

IRW under the product topology is second countable as well.

 $\left\{\begin{array}{ll} TT \ Un : Un : (a,b) \ for a,b \in B \ for finitely many n and R otherwise 
ight\}$ 

L-> Why is this set countable?

 $\rightarrow$  Show that  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  under the uniform topology is not second countable.

Hint- Show that the subspace containing sequences of Os and Is has the discrete topology so does not have a counterbasis. Use this to prove the required.

Theo. A subspace of a first (resp. second) countable space is first (second) (4.3) countable and a countable product of first (resp second) countable spaces is first (second) countable.

Proof We prove the above for the second countable case. If X has a countable basis B, then  $\{B \cap A : B \in B\}$  is a countable basis for  $A \subseteq X$  as a subspace. If  $X_i$  has countable basis  $B_i$ , then

Recall that a subset A of a space X is dense in X iff  $\overline{A} = X$ .

- Theo Let X be a second countable space.
- (4.4) (a) Every open covering of X contains a countable subcover.
  - (b) X has a countable dense subset.
- <u>Proof.</u> (a) Let A be an open covering of X. Let  $B = \{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable basis of X. For each n, if possible, choose an  $A_n \supseteq B_n$ . Let A' be the collection of these  $A_n$ . It is clearly countable. Further, it covers X. Given  $x \in X$ , let  $A \in A$  such that  $x \in A$  and  $B_n \supseteq A$  be a basis element. Then  $x \in A_n$ , proving the claim.
  - (b) For each  $B_n$ , let  $x_n \in B_n$ . Let  $D = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then D is a countable dense subset since any basis element of X (and so any open set) intersects D.
- Def A space for which every open cover contains a countable subcover Lindelöf is called a Lindelöf space.

  Space A space having a countable dense subset is said to be separable.

  Separable space

Lecture 23 - 24/03/21 Separation Axioms

Obviously, any compact set is Lindelöf.

→ Show that Ri satisfies all countability axioms except the second.

The product of two Lindelöf spaces need not be Lindelöf.

 $\rightarrow$  Show that Re is Lindelöf but Re×Re is not.

Hint: Consider Re \ \ \ \( (x, -x) \). xERes.

A subspace of a Lindelöf space need not be Lindelöf either

 $\rightarrow$  Consider the ordered square  $I_0^2 = [0, i]^2$  (under the dictionary order). Show that  $I_0^2$  is compact (and Lindelöf) but the subspace  $A = I_0 \times (0, 1)$  is not Lindelöf.

Def. Suppose that one point sets are closed in X. Then X is said to be regular if for each  $x \in X$  and closed B disjoint from x, there exist disjoint open sets containing Regular x and B.

Normal The space is said to be normal if for disjoint closed A,B, there exist disjoint open sets containing A and B.

Observe that any normal space is regular and any regular space is Hausdorff.

Lemma. Let one-point sets be closed in X.

- (4.5) a)  $\times$  is regular iff given  $\times \in X$  and a Nbd. U of  $\times$ , there exists a neighbourhood V of  $\times$  such that  $\overline{V} \subseteq U$ .
  - b) X is normal iff given a closed A  $\subseteq$  X and open  $U\supseteq A$ , there is an open set  $V\supseteq A$  with  $V\subseteq U$ .

## Proof

- a) (Forward) Let B=XV be closed. There exist disjoint open V and W containing x and B. Then  $\overline{V} \cap B = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $\overline{V} \subset U$ 
  - (Backward) Let  $x \in X$  and B disjoint from  $\{x\}$  be closed. Let  $U = X \setminus B$ . Let V be a ribd. of x such that  $\overline{V} \subseteq U$ . Then V and  $X \setminus \overline{V}$  are disjoint open sets containing x and B respectively. Therefore, X is regular.

The argument for (b) is nearly identical, taking A instead of {x}.

- Theo. A subspace of a regular space is regular. A product of regular spaces is (4.6) regular.
- Proof. Let X be regular and  $Y \subseteq X$ . One-point sets are closed in Y. Let  $x \in Y$  and B a closed subset of Y disjoint from  $\{x\}$ . Then  $\overline{B} \cap Y = B$ .

  Therefore,  $x \notin \overline{B}$ . Using regularity, let U, V be disjoint open sets containing x and  $\overline{B}$ . Then  $(U \cap Y)$  and  $(V \cap Y)$  are disjoint open sets of Y containing x and B.

Let  $(X_{\mathcal{A}})$  be a family of regular spaces and  $X=T_{\mathcal{A}}X_{\mathcal{A}}$ . X is Hawsdorff, so singletons are closed in X. Let  $\chi \in X$  and U be a neighbourhood of  $\chi$ . Let  $T_{\mathcal{A}}U_{\mathcal{A}}$  be a basis element containing  $\chi$  and contained in U. For each  $\chi$ , let  $V_{\mathcal{A}}$  be a node of  $\chi_{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $V_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq U_{\mathcal{A}}$ . If  $U_{\mathcal{A}} = X_{\mathcal{A}}$ , choose  $V_{\mathcal{A}} = X_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Then  $V=T_{\mathcal{A}}V_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a neighbourhood of  $\chi$  in X. Since  $V=T_{\mathcal{A}}V_{\mathcal{A}}$ ,  $\chi \in V \subseteq U$ , so  $\chi$  is regular.

 $\rightarrow$  Show that IR<sub>K</sub> is Hausdorff but not regular

Hint: Consider O and K.

 $\rightarrow$  Show that IR<sub>1</sub> is normal.

(4.7)
Any second countable regular space is normal

Let X be regular with countable basis B. Let A and B be disjoint closed subsets of X. Each xEA has a ribd. U disjoint from B. Choose a ribd. V of x such that  $\overline{V} \subseteq U$ . Then, choose an element of B containing x and contained in V.

This gives a countable covering  $(U_n)$  of A by open sets whose closures do not intersect B. Similarly, choose a countable covering  $(V_n)$  of B.  $UU_n$  and  $UV_n$  are open sets containing A and B, but need not be disjoint. For each n, let

 $U_n' = U_n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{V_i} \quad \text{and} \quad V_n' = V_n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{U_i} \; .$ 

Each  $U_i^!$  and  $V_i^!$  is open. Also,  $(U_n^!)$  covers A because for any  $\chi \in A$ ,  $\chi \in U_n$  for some n but  $\chi \notin \overline{V_i}$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ .

The open sets

 $U' = \bigcup U'_n$  and  $V' = \bigcup V'_n$ 

are disjoint. It is easy to show that U' and V' are disjoint.

(4.8)
Theo. Any metrizable space is normal.

Proof. Let X be metrizable with metric d. Let A, B be disjoint closed subsets of X. For each a  $\in$  A, choose  $\in$  a such that  $\operatorname{Bd}(a, \in_a) \cap B = \emptyset$ .

Chaose & similarly. Then, let

$$U = \bigcup_{a \in A} B_a(a, \mathcal{E}_{a/2})$$
 and  $V = \bigcup_{b \in B} B_a(b, \mathcal{E}_{b/2})$ .

It is easy to show that these are disjoint (they are clearly open and contain A,B), completing the proof.

Theo- Any compact Hausdorff space is normal.

Left as exercise.

Lecture 24 - 26/03/21 Urysonn Lemme and Completely Regular Spaces

Theo. [Urysohn Lemma]

(4.10) Let A,B be disjoint closed subsets of X. If X is normal, then for a closed interval [a,b] in the real line, there exists a continuous map  $f: X \to [a,b]$  such that f(x) = a for every a.E.A and f(x) = b for every b.E.B.

Proof Clearly, it suffices to take [a, b] = [0,1].

Let  $P=\mathbb{P} \cap [0,1]$ . For each  $p \in P$ , we define open  $U_p$  such that if p < q,  $U_p \subseteq U_q$ .

Arrange P as an infinite sequence  $(p_n)$  and for convenience, let  $p_i=0$  and  $p_2=1$ . Let  $U_i=X\setminus B$  Because A is closed and  $A\subseteq U_i$ , we may choose (by normality) an open  $U_0$  such that  $A\subseteq U_0\subseteq \overline{U_0}\subseteq U_i$ .

In general, let  $P_n = \{p_k : 1 \le k \le n\}$  and suppose Open Up is defined for  $p \in P_n$  such that  $p < q \Rightarrow \overline{U}_p \subset U_q$ . (n > 2)

Let  $r = p_{n+1}$ . Since  $p_{n+1}$  is finite, it has a simple ordering < (derived from the Usual ordering).

Let  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  be the immediate predecessor and successor respectively in  $P_{n+1}$  (Why do these exist?)

Now, choose  $U_r$  as an open set such that  $\overline{Up_i} \subseteq U_r \subseteq \overline{Up_i} = U_p_i$  such a  $U_r$  exists by using normality on the sets  $\overline{Up_i}$  and  $X \setminus Up_j$ .

This defines Up for PEP such that  $p < q \Rightarrow \overline{U}_p \subseteq U_q$ . Extend this to define Up for all  $p \in Q$  as  $U_p = \emptyset$  if p < 0 and  $U_p = X$  if p > 1 Given  $x \in X$ , let  $Q(x) = \{p \in Q : x \in Up \}$ . Observe that Q(x) is bounded below (by, say, -1)  $\rightarrow$  Let  $f(x) = \inf Q(x) = \inf \{p \in Q : x \in Up \}$ . We claim that f is the desired function. Note that  $f(x) \in [0,1]$  for any  $x \in X$  (Why?). (\*) For any  $x \in A \subseteq U_0$ , f(x) = 0. For any  $x \in B$ ,  $x \notin p$  for any  $p \leq 1$  ( $U_1 = X \setminus B$ ). By (\*), f(x) = 1. It remains to show that f is continuous.

Observe that

· if xEUr, f(x) < r

· if  $x \notin U_r$ ,  $f(x) \ge r$ This follows from the denseness of rationals.

Let x.EX and (c,d) be in R containing f(x0).

Choose rationals p,q such that <<p>< f(x0) < q < d.</p>

Let U= Uq\Up.

Then,

- f(x<sub>0</sub>) < q ⇒ x<sub>0</sub> ∈ U<sub>q</sub> } ⇒ x<sub>0</sub> ∈ U - f(x<sub>0</sub>) > p ⇒ x<sub>0</sub> ∉ U<sub>p</sub> } ⇒ x<sub>0</sub> ∈ U

• Let yEU. Then  $f(x) \in U_q \subseteq \overline{U_q} \Rightarrow f(x) \leq q < d$  $f(x) \notin \overline{U_p} \supseteq U_p \Rightarrow f(x) \geq p > c$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  f(x2)  $\in$  U  $\subseteq$  (c,d), so f is continuous by Theo 2.1(iv).

Observe that the converse holds too — we may take  $U = f^{-1}([0,1/2])$  and  $V = f^{-1}([1/2,1])$ 

Def A space X is completely regular if one-point sets are closed in X and for each  $x \in EX$  and closed  $A \not\ni x_0$ , there is a continuous function  $f: X \to [0,1]$  such that  $f(x_0) = 1$  and  $f(A) = \{0\}$ .

By the Urysohn Lemma, normality implies complete regularity

The axioms are labelled as

 $T_i$ : for any x,y, there are open U,V such that  $x\in U\not\ni y$  and  $y\in V\not\ni x$ .

Tz: Hausdorff

T3: Regular

T31/2: Completely regular

Ty . Normal

Theo. A subspace of a completely regular space is completely regular.

(4.11) A product of completely regular spaces is completely regular.

(Go to next page)

Lecture 25 - 31/03/21 The Unysohn Metrization Theorem and Tychonoff's Theorem

Theo. [Urysohn Metrization Theorem]

(4.12) A regular second countable space X is metrzable

Proof. We shall embed X in a metrizable space YLet  $Y = \mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  under the product topology. We have already seen that Y is metrizable under the metric

$$D(x,y) = \sup_{i} \left\{ \frac{\min\{|x_i-y_i|,1\}}{i} \right\}.$$

(The proof can also be carried out by taking Y as  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  under the uniform topology) We will in fact embed X in  $[0,1]^{\omega}$ .

- -> Claim 1 There exists a countable collection of continuous functions  $f_n: X \to [0,1]$  such that for any  $\chi_o \in X$  and nbd. U of  $\chi_o$ , there is some n such that  $f_n(x_o) \neq 0$  and f(x) = 0 for  $x \in X \setminus U$ .
  - Let  $(B_n)$  be a countable basis for x. For each pair n,m with  $B_n \subseteq B_m$ , use Theo. 3.43 to get a continuous function  $g_{n,m}: x \to [0,1]$  such that  $g_{n,m}(B_n): \{1\}$  and  $g_{n,m}(X \setminus B_m) = \{0\}$ . Then given any  $x_0 \in X$  and neighbourhood U of  $X_0$ , we can choose a basis element  $B_m$  with  $x_0 \in B_m \subseteq U$ . By regularity and Lemma 3.38, we can let  $B_n$  be a basis element with  $x_0 \in B_n \subseteq \overline{B_n} \subseteq B_m$ .

(gn,m) then satisfies our requirements.

$$\rightarrow$$
 For this  $(f_n)$ , define  $F: X \rightarrow Y$  by 
$$F(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x), f_3(x), \cdots)$$

- · Because Y has the product topology and each for is continuous, F is continuous.
- For  $x \neq y$ , there is some index n such that  $f_n(x) \neq 0$  and  $f_n(y) = 0$ . So,  $F(x) \neq F(y)$  and F is injective.
- We must show that F is a homeomorphism of X to f(x). We have already shown that it is a continuous bijection. Let U be open in X. Let  $z_0 \in F(u)$  and  $x_0 \in X$  with  $F(x_0) = z_0$ . Let N be such that  $f_N(x_0) \neq 0$  and  $f_N(X \setminus U) = \{0\}$ .

Let  $V = IT_N^{-1}((D, \infty)) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\omega}$ . Let  $W = V \cap F(X)$  be open in F(X). Now,  $TI_N(z_0) = f_N(x_0) > D$ , so  $z_0 \in W$ . Further,  $W \cap F(X \setminus U) = \emptyset$ , so  $W \subseteq F(U)$ . Therefore, F(U) is open and F is a homeomorphism.

Theo. [Embedding Theorem]

(4.13) Let X be a space in which one-point sets are closed Let  $(f_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in J}$  be a family of continuous function  $X \to \mathbb{R}$  such that for any  $X_0 \in X$  and nbd. U of  $X_0$ , there is  $\alpha \in J$  such that  $f_{\alpha}(x_0) > D$  and  $f_{\alpha}(X \setminus U) = \{O\}$ . Then  $F \cdot X \to \mathbb{R}^J$  defined by  $(F(X))_{\alpha} = f_{\alpha}(X)$  is an embedding of X in  $\mathbb{R}^J$ .

A family of continuous functions that satisfies the hypothesis of the above theorem is said to separate points from closed sets. For a space in which one-point sets are closed, this is seen to be equivalent to X being completely regular.

Corollary. A space X is completely regular lift it is homeomorphic to [0,1] for (4.14) some J.

## Theo. [Tietze Extension Theorem]

let X be normal and A be closed in X.

- (a) Any continuous map  $A \rightarrow [a,b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  may be extended to a continuous map  $X \rightarrow [a,b]$ .
- (b) Any continuous map  $A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  may be extended to a continuous  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

The Tietze Extension Theorem can be used to prove the Urysohn lemma.

(but its proof uses the Urysohn lemma)

Theo. [Tychonoff's Theorem]
(4.15) An arbitrary product of compact spaces is compact.

Let  $(X_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in J}$  be compact and  $X = II_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$ . We first prove a couple of lemmas.

Claim 1. Let X be a set and A a collection of subsets having the finite intersection property. Then there is a  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $A\subseteq\mathcal{D}\subseteq 2^{\times}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  has the finite intersection property, and no  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $\mathcal{P}\subsetneq\mathcal{F}\subseteq 2^{\times}$  has the finite intersection property.

Proof We use Zom's Lemma to prove this.

Lo Given a strictly partially ordered set A in which every simply ordered subset has an upper bound, A has a maximal element.

The strict poset we consider is a set of collections of subsets of x. Let

 $C = \{B \subseteq 2^X : A \subseteq B \text{ and } B \text{ has the finite intersection property}\}$  with the strict partial order strict inclusion  $\subsetneq$ . We want to show that C has a maximal element P. Let  $B \subseteq C$  be a simply ordered subset. It suffices to show that

C= UB EC BEB

and is an upper bound of B (which is obvious) It is clear that  $A \subseteq C$ . Let  $C_1, C_2, ..., C_n \in C$ . For each i, choose  $B_i \in B$  such that  $C_i \in B_i$ .

 $\{B_i: 1 \le i \le n\}$  is simply ordered by proper inclusion and is finite, so has a maximal element  $B_k$ . Then  $C_1, C_2, \cdots, C_n \in B_k$ . Since  $B_k$  has the finite intersection property,  $\bigcap_{1 \le i \le n} C_i \neq \emptyset$ , so C has the finite intersection property.

Using Zom's Lemma completes the proof.

Claim 2. Let X be a set and  $D \subseteq 2^{\times}$  be as defined in the previous claim.

a) If B is a finite intersection of elements of D, BED.

b)

Proof a) Let B equal the intersection of finitely many elements in P and  $E = P \cup \{B\}$ . We show that E has the finite intersection property, so E = P.

Take finitely many elements of E.

- If none of them is B, their intersection is clearly nonempty.

-s If B is one of them, we can expand B as a finite intersection to get that the overall intersection is non-empty.

b) Left as exercise (idea similar to a)

We now come to the main proof of Tychonoff's Theorem. Let A be a collection of subsets of X having the finite intersection property. We show that  $\bigcap_{A\in A} \overline{A} \neq \emptyset$ 

By Claim 1, choose  $\mathcal{P} \supseteq \mathcal{A}$  as defined.

It suffices to show that  $\bigcap_{D \subset D} \overline{D} \neq \emptyset$ .

Consider for each XEJ

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} = \{ \Pi_{\alpha}(D) \cdot D \in \mathcal{P} \} \subseteq 2^{X_{\alpha}}$$

Because P has the finite intersection property, so does  $P_a$ . By compactness, we may choose for each d,  $x_a \in x_a$  such that

$$x_{d} \in \bigcap_{D_{d}} \overline{D}_{d}$$

Let  $x = (x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in J} \in X$ . If we show that  $x \in \overline{D}$  for any  $D \in P$ , we are done.

Let DEP and UB be a nod of  $x_B \in X_B$  Since  $x_B \in \Pi_B(D)$ , we can chaose yED such that  $\Pi_B(y) \in U_B \cap \Pi_B(D)$ .

Then,  $y \in \Pi_{\beta}^{-1}(U_{\beta}) \cap D$ .

From (b) of Claim 2, every subbasis element containing x belongs to  $\mathcal{D}$ . By (a) of Claim 2, every basis element containing x belongs to  $\mathcal{D}$  and intersects every element of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Therefore,  $x \in \mathbb{D}$  for all  $D \in \mathcal{P}$ .