

Hausdorff Spaces

Recall that $\{x\}$ and $\{(x,y)\}$ for $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ are closed in \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^2 respectively.

However, singletons need not be closed in general topological spaces.

$$X = \{a,b,c\} \quad \mathcal{T} = \{\emptyset, X\}$$

$$\text{or } \mathcal{T} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a,b\}, \{b,c\}, \{b\}\}$$

Obviously, $\{b\}$ is not closed in X .

(for either topology)

Also, recall that (in \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{R}^2), a sequence (x_n) converges, if at all, to a unique point.

How would we extend this notion to general topological spaces? Can we extend this in a meaningful way in general?

Def. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space and (x_n) a sequence in X . x_n is said to **converge** to $x \in X$ if for all open sets $U \ni x$ in X , there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \in U$ for all $n \geq N$.

However, sequences need not have unique limits in general!

Let $X = \{a,b,c\}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a,b\}, \{b,c\}, \{b\}\}$.

Consider the constant sequence $x_n = b$.

By our above definition, x_n converges to any of a, b, c .

In what spaces are limits unique? When showing uniqueness of limits in metric spaces, we only really used the fact that there is a separating open set.

Def. A topological space X is called a **Hausdorff space** if for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$ ($x_1 \neq x_2$), there are open sets $U_1 \ni x_1$ and $U_2 \ni x_2$ such that $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$.

Hausdorff
Space

Observe that metric spaces are Hausdorff.

Theo. (2.1) Every finite point set in a Hausdorff space X is closed.

Proof. It suffices to show that singletons are closed (closed sets are closed under finite unions). Let $x_0 \in X$. If $x \in X \setminus \{x_0\}$, there are disjoint neighbourhoods U, V of x_0, x . Since V does not intersect $\{x_0\}$, x cannot belong to the closure of $\{x_0\}$. As a result, using Theo 1.15, the closure of $\{x_0\}$ is itself and it is closed. \square

Is the converse true? No, consider \mathbb{R} under the cofinite topology.

The condition that finite point sets are closed is called the **T_1 axiom**.

Theo. (2.2) Let X be a topological space satisfying the T_1 axiom and $A \subseteq X$. Then x is a limit point of A iff every neighbourhood of x contains infinitely many points of A .

Proof. The backward direction is trivial.

For the forward direction, suppose $x \in A'$ and some neighbourhood U of x intersects A at finitely many points. Then

$$U \cap (X \setminus \underbrace{(\bigcup (A \setminus \{x\}))}_{\text{finite so closed}})$$

is a neighbourhood of x that does not intersect A , thus giving a contradiction and proving the claim. \square

Theo. (2.3) If X is a Hausdorff space, then a sequence of points of X converges, if at all, to a unique point in X .

Proof. Let x_n be a sequence in X that converges to x . Let $y \neq x$. Let U, V be disjoint neighbourhoods of x, y . Since U contains all but finitely many x_n , V contains a finite number of (x_n) . Then y is not a limit point of $\{x_n\}$ (as a set) by Theo 2.2, so (x_n) obviously cannot converge to y .