
MA 862 : COMBINATORICS II

Amit Rajaraman

Last updated January 31, 2023

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	The Delsarte bound	2

§1. The Delsarte Bound

1.1. *-algebras of matrices

Denote by $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ the \mathbb{C} -vector space of all $n \times n$ complex matrices.

Definition 1.1. A subspace $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is said to be a **-algebra of matrices* if

1. \mathcal{A} is closed under multiplication, in that if $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, then $AB \in \mathcal{A}$, and
2. \mathcal{A} is closed under conjugate transposes, in that if $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{A}$, then $A^\dagger = (\overline{a_{ji}}) \in \mathcal{A}$.
3. $\text{Id} \in \mathcal{A}$.

That is, it is a subalgebra that is closed under conjugate transposes.

Let q be a prime power. Denote by $B_q(n)$ the set of all subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n and $B_q(n, k)$ the set of all k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n . It is not too difficult to show that

$$|B_q(n, k)| = \binom{n}{k}_q = \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^n - q)(q^n - q^2) \cdots (q^n - q^{n-k+1})}{(q^k - 1)(q^k - q)(q^k - q^2) \cdots (q^k - q^{k-1})}.$$

We had also considered this quantity $\binom{n}{k}_q$ in Section 1.4 of [Combinatorics I](#). Recall the q -Pascal recurrence

$$\binom{n+1}{k}_q = \binom{n}{k-1}_q + q^k \binom{n}{k}_q \quad (1.1)$$

for $n \geq 0, k \geq 1$ with $\binom{n}{0}_q = 1$ and $\binom{0}{k}_q = \delta_{0,k}$. Is there a way to see this recurrence more directly using the subspace perspective of the q -binomial coefficient? If we have a (size k) basis of a k -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^n , and consider the $k \times n$ matrix with rows equal to the vectors in this basis, we may bring this matrix to a *unique* row-reduced echelon form (independent of the basis used) using row operations wherein

- (i) all rows are nonzero,
- (ii) the first non-zero entry in every row is a 1. Suppose this entry occurs in column C_i in row i ,
- (iii) $C_1 < C_2 < \cdots < C_k$, and
- (iv) the submatrix comprising the $\{C_1, \dots, C_k\}$ rows is a $k \times k$ identity matrix.

So, we can count $k \times n$ matrices in RREF instead of subspaces. Equation (1.1) then follows immediately by considering whether the last column is pivotal or not.

Definition 1.2. Let A be Hermitian. Then, $\langle A \rangle$, the *-algebra generated by A , is $\text{span}\{\text{Id}, A, A^2, \dots\}$.

Note that this algebra is abelian. Furthermore, by the spectral theorem, $\dim(\langle A \rangle)$ is the number of distinct eigenvalues of A .

For $A \in \mathcal{M}^n(\mathbb{C})$ similar to a Hermitian matrix, that is, PAP^{-1} is Hermitian for some P , $P\langle A \rangle P^{-1}$ is a *-algebra.

Example 1 (*-algebras on graphs). Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and A its adjacency matrix. $\langle A \rangle$ is called the *adjacency algebra* of G .

More specifically, consider the n -cube graph C_n with vertex set $B(n) = 2^{[n]}$ and an edge between X, Y if $|X \Delta Y| = 1$. Although $\langle A \rangle$ is $*$ -algebra of $2^n \times 2^n$ matrices, its dimension is only $n + 1$. The fact that we only require $n + 1$ parameters to describe an arbitrary element of $\langle A \rangle$ is key to the Delsarte bound on binary code size we shall study in this section.

Let $k \leq n/2$. The Johnson graph has vertex set $B(n, k) = \binom{[n]}{k}$ and an edge between X, Y if $|X \cap Y| = k - 1$. The dimension of this graph's adjacency algebra turns out to be $k + 1$.

The Grassmann graph $J_q(n, k)$ has vertex set $B_q(n, k)$ (see above the example for definition) with $X, Y \in B_q(n, k)$ adjacent iff $\dim(X \cap Y) = k - 1$. It turns out that the dimension of this graph's adjacency algebra is $k + 1$ as well. Interestingly, the proof for this ends up just being a " q -analogue" of the proof for the Johnson graph.

The q -analogue of the n -cube $C_q(n)$ has vertex set $B_q(n)$ with X, Y adjacent iff $|\dim X - \dim Y| = 1$. We do not know the dimension of this graph's adjacency algebra! The adjacency matrix seems difficult to study (and is perhaps not even the right object to study). We shall instead study a weighted adjacency matrix of $C_q(n)$.

All the above examples are commutative. **Recall** that a *unitary representation* of a group G is a group homomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 1.3. Let φ be a unitary representation as above. Then,

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) : A\varphi(g) = \varphi(g)A \text{ for all } g \in G\}$$

is a $*$ -algebra called the *commutant* of φ .

Proof. It is obvious that \mathcal{A} is a subspace that is closed under multiplication. We have for $A \in \mathcal{A}, g \in G$ that

$$\varphi(g^{-1}) = \varphi(g)^{-1} = \varphi(g)^\dagger,$$

so

$$A^\dagger \varphi(g) = (\varphi(g)^\dagger A)^\dagger = (\varphi(g^{-1})A)^\dagger = (A\varphi(g)^{-1})^\dagger = \varphi(g)A^\dagger,$$

which easily yields the desideratum. ■

The above $*$ -algebra may be possible be non-commutative. Suppose that G acts on a set S . For each g , we can denote the group action by an $S \times S$ permutation matrix $\rho(g)$, with $(\rho(g))_{gs, s} = 1$. This gives a *representation* $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_S(\mathbb{C})$ – any group action thus yields a $*$ -algebra.

We would like to analyze the set of matrices which commute with all $\rho(g)$. Let G act on the sets S, T , and let $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_S(\mathbb{C}), \tau : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_T(\mathbb{C})$ be the corresponding maps. Consider

$$\mathcal{A} = \{M \in \mathcal{M}_{T \times S}(\mathbb{C}) : M\rho(g) = \tau(g)M \text{ for all } g \in G\}.$$

Finally, we shall set $S = T$ so that it is a $*$ -algebra, which we denote $\text{Hom}_G(S, S)$.

Lemma 1.4. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{T \times S}(\mathbb{C})$. Defining \mathcal{A} as above, $M \in \mathcal{A}$ iff $M_{t, s} = M_{gt, gs}$ for all $g \in G, t \in T, s \in S$.

Proof. The t, s th entry of $M\rho(g)$ is equal to $M_{t,gs}$, and that of $\tau(g)M$ is $M_{g^{-1}t,s}$. The required follows. ■

Now, the two actions induce an action on $T \times S$. M belongs to \mathcal{A} iff it is constant on the orbits of this action. Consequently, the dimension of \mathcal{A} is the number of orbits of the action of G on $T \times S$, with a basis being the set of matrices M_j which are equal to 1 on precisely those cells in the same orbit θ_j and 0 elsewhere.

This basis of \mathcal{A} is called its *orbital basis*.

Lemma 1.5 (Gelfand's Lemma). Let $T = S$ in the above discussion. If each M_j is symmetric, \mathcal{A} is commutative.

Proof. Since each M_j is symmetric and orthogonal, all matrices in \mathcal{A} are symmetric. We are done if we show that a *-algebra of symmetric matrices is commutative. Indeed, $MN = (MN)^\top = N^\top M^\top = NM$. ■

Note that the converse does *not* hold; we shall see a counterexample later. Let us get back to our earlier discussion in Example 1. Think of $B(n)$ as $\{0, 1\}^n$. Consider the *hyperoctahedral group* $H(n)$, which has base set equal to $S_2^n \times S_n$, with elements denoted $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n, \pi)$. This group acts on $B(n)$ by first permuting the n coordinates according to π , then deciding whether or not to flip the entries based on the (σ_i) . Note that adjacency is preserved under the group action. In fact, $H(n)$ is the set of all permutations that preserve adjacency.

The group action can be thought of as first taking the vertex to any other arbitrary vertex, then permuting the n outgoing edges in some manner – these two together further determine the group element.

Let $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta' \in B(n)$. We denote by $d(\alpha, \beta)$ the set of coordinates where α, β differ. We write $(\alpha, \beta) \sim (\alpha', \beta')$ if the two are in the same $H(n)$ -orbit.

Lemma 1.6. (α, β) and (α', β') are in the same $H(n)$ -orbit iff $d(\alpha, \beta) = d(\alpha', \beta')$.

Proof. The forward direction is straightforward – permuting the coordinates leaves the distance the same and flipping a select set of coordinates of both also leaves the distance unchanged.

For the backward direction, suppose $d(\alpha, \beta) = d(\alpha', \beta') = k$. Consider the permutation applied to α which has all 0s at the start then all 1s. Then, flip all the 1s in α . Consider the element β'' obtained by performing the same operations on β . Due to the first part, β'' has exactly k 1s. Next, permute the coordinates of β'' to get β''' , which has all 0s at the start then all 1s. $(0, \beta''')$ is in the same orbit as (α, β) . By performing similar operations, it is also in the same orbit as (α', β') , completing the proof. ■

Let A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n be the n orbital bases of $B(n) \times B(n)$ under the group action $H(n)$, defined by

$$A_j(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} 1, & d(\alpha, \beta) = j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Going back to the perspective of $B(n)$ containing subsets of $[n]$,

$$A_j(X, Y) = \begin{cases} 1, & |X \triangle Y| = j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that A_1 is the adjacency matrix A of the n -cube graph $C(n)$!

Proposition 1.7. It holds that $\langle A \rangle = \text{span}\{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n\}$.

Proof. Denote by \mathcal{A} the algebra on the right, which is the commutant of the $H(n)$ action on $B(n)$. Because $A_1 = A$ is in \mathcal{A} , $\langle A \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. It remains to show the reverse containment, which is implied if we show that $A_j \in \langle A \rangle$ for each j . If $A_j \in \langle A \rangle$, then AA_j is just some linear combination of A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{j+1} (with a positive coefficient on A_{j+1}), completing the proof. ■

Corollary 1.8. The adjacency matrix A of the n -cube graph has $n + 1$ distinct eigenvalues.

A natural next question is: what are these $n + 1$ eigenvalues, and what are each of their eigenspaces and multiplicities?

As a little spoiler, we answer these questions: the eigenvectors are $n - 2k$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, with $n - 2k$ having multiplicity $\binom{n}{k}$. We shall prove this later in *** SEC ? ***.

Let us next go back to the example of $B(n, k)$. S_n acts on $B(n, k)$ with $\pi \cdot \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} = \{\pi(i_1), \dots, \pi(i_k)\}$. What are the orbits of this S_n -action on $B(n, k) \times B(n, k)$?

Lemma 1.9. Let $(X, Y), (X', Y') \in B(n, k) \times B(n, k)$. Then, $(X, Y) \sim (X', Y')$ iff $|X \cap Y| = |X' \cap Y'|$.

The proof of the above is straightforward, and we omit it. Note in particular that $(X, Y) \sim (Y, X)$, so each orbital matrix is symmetric. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{Hom}_{S_n}(B(n, k), B(n, k))$$

is commutative. We have for any sets X, Y of size k that

$$\max\{0, 2k - n\} \leq |X \cap Y| \leq k.$$

Therefore, $\dim \mathcal{A} = 1 + \min\{k, n - k\}$. Let $\{A_k, A_{k-1}, \dots, A_{\max\{0, 2k-n\}}\}$ be the orbital basis of \mathcal{A} with $A_j(X, Y) = 1$ if $|X \cap Y| = j$ and 0 otherwise. Then, $A_k = \text{Id}$ and $A_{k-1} = A$ is the adjacency matrix of the Johnson graph $J(n, k)$!

Proposition 1.10. It holds that $\langle A \rangle = \text{span}\{A_k, A_{k-1}, \dots, A_{\max\{0, 2k-n\}}\}$.

The proof is very similar to that of Proposition 1.7.

Corollary 1.11. The adjacency matrix A of the Johnson graph $J(n, k)$ has $1 + \min\{k, n - k\}$ distinct eigenvalues.

In the case where $k \leq n - k$, the multiplicities of the eigenvalues of the graph are $\binom{n}{0}, \binom{n}{1} - \binom{n}{0}, \binom{n}{2} - \binom{n}{1}, \dots, \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n}{k-1}$. We shall prove this and find the corresponding eigenspaces later in *** SEC ? ***.

When we deal with $B_q(n, k)$, the collection of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n , we shall take the action of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ defined by

$$MX = M(X) = \{Mv : v \in X\}$$

Once more, we get results as in the Johnson graph.

Lemma 1.12. Let $(X, Y), (X', Y') \in B_q(n, k) \times B_q(n, k)$. Then, $(X, Y) \sim (X', Y')$ iff $\dim(X \cap Y) = \dim(X' \cap Y')$.

So, the Grassmann graph with adjacency matrix A and corresponding adjacency algebra \mathcal{A} has $\dim \mathcal{A} = 1 + \max\{k, n-k\}$ as well. Letting $\{A_k, A_{k-1}, \dots, A_{\max\{0, 2k-n\}}\}$ be the orbital basis of \mathcal{A} with $A_j(X, Y) = 1$ if $\dim(X \cap Y) = j$ and 0 otherwise, we again get that $\langle A \rangle = \text{span}\{A_k, \dots, A_{\max\{0, 2k-n\}}\}$.

Proposition 1.13. It holds that $\langle A \rangle = \text{span}\{A_k, A_{k-1}, \dots, A_{\max\{0, 2k-n\}}\}$.

Corollary 1.14. The adjacency matrix A of the Grassmann graph $J_q(n, k)$ has $1 + \min\{k, n-k\}$ distinct eigenvalues.

The multiplicity of the eigenvalues (when $k \leq n/2$) end up being $\binom{n}{0}_q, \binom{n}{1}_q - \binom{n}{0}_q, \binom{n}{2}_q - \binom{n}{1}_q, \dots, \binom{n}{k}_q - \binom{n}{k-1}_q$.

So far, all examples have been commutative.

Example 2 (Non-commutative $*$ -algebras). Consider the action of S_n on $B(n)$, with $\pi\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} = \{\pi(i_1), \dots, \pi(i_k)\}$. Similar to what we have already seen, $(X, Y) \sim (X', Y')$ iff $|X| = |X'|$, $|Y| = |Y'|$, and $|X \cap Y| = |X' \cap Y'|$. Consider the $B(n) \times B(n)$ matrix $M_{i,j,t}$ defined by

$$M_{i,j,t}(X, Y) = \begin{cases} 1, & |X| = i, |Y| = j, |X \cap Y| = t, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for any choice of $i - t \geq 0, j - t \geq 0$, and $i + j - t \leq n$. The number of ways of choosing such i, j, t is $\binom{n+3}{3}$ – we would like to find the number of solutions to $(i - t) + (j - t) + t + r = n$, where $i - t, j - t, t, r \geq 0$. Therefore, setting $\mathcal{A} = \text{Hom}_{S_n}(B(n), B(n))$, we have $\dim \mathcal{A} = \binom{n+3}{3}$. Further note that \mathcal{A} is non-commutative. Indeed, $M_{2,3,1}M_{3,4,2} \neq 0$ but $M_{3,4,2}M_{2,3,1} = 0$.

The q -analogue of the above example is as follows. Let $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ act on $B_q(n)$, and define $M_{i,j,t}(q)$ by

$$M_{i,j,t}(q)(X, Y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \dim X = i, \dim Y = j, \dim(X \cap Y) = t, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Again, we have $\dim \mathcal{A} = \binom{n+3}{3}$.

So far, this idea of translating proofs to proofs in the setting of q -analogues seems pretty straightforward. However, things don't work out as well when we try to go from $C(n)$ to $C_q(n)$. The issue is that $H(n)$ does not have a neat q -analogue. Later, we shall look at a q -analogue of $\text{Hom}_{H(n)}(B(n), B(n))$ that does not come from a group action.

Example 3. Let G be a finite group. $G \times G$ acts on G by $(g, h) \cdot a = gah^{-1}$. What is the orbital basis of the commutant of this action?

Let $(a, b), (c, d) \in G \times G$. Then, $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$ iff ab^{-1} and cd^{-1} are conjugates in G .

The former is true iff for some $g, h \in G$, $gah^{-1} = c$ and $gbh^{-1} = d$. Equivalently, $ga = ch$ and $b^{-1}g^{-1} = h^{-1}d^{-1}$. Multiplying the two, this implies that $gab^{-1}g^{-1} = cd^{-1}$, that is, ab^{-1} and cd^{-1} are conjugates. For the backward direction, if we have $gab^{-1}g^{-1} = cd^{-1}$. Setting $h = gac^{-1}$, the previous equation implies that $h = d^{-1}gb$. This directly implies that $gah^{-1} = c$ and $gbh^{-1} = d$.

Let the conjugacy classes of G be C_1, \dots, C_t . Consider the $G \times G$ matrices A_j by

$$A_j(g, h) = \begin{cases} 1, & gh^{-1} \in C_j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the case where each element of the group is conjugate to its inverse, we can use **Gelfand's Lemma** to conclude that each A_j is symmetric so \mathcal{A} is abelian. An example of such a group is the symmetric group S_n , and the dimension of the resulting \mathcal{A} is $p(n)$, the number of number partitions of n . However, it turns out that \mathcal{A} is commutative for *any* G ! This shows that Gelfand's lemma is sufficient but not necessary. *** EXERCISE ***

Example 4. Consider K_{2n} , the complete graph on $2n$ vertices. It is not too difficult to show that the number of perfect matchings of K_{2n} is $\frac{(2n)!}{n!2^n} = (2n)!!$. Denote the set of all perfect matchings on K_{2n} by PM_{2n} . S_{2n} acts on PM_{2n} in an obvious manner, by mapping the matching $\{i_1j_1, i_2j_2, \dots, i_nj_n\}$ to $\{\pi(i_1)\pi(j_1), \dots, \pi(i_n)\pi(j_n)\}$. What are the K_{2n} orbits on $\text{PM}_{2n} \times \text{PM}_{2n}$?

Let $M_1, M_2 \in \text{PM}_{2n}$. It is not too difficult to see that $M_1 \cup M_2$ comprises of "alternating cycles", namely even cycles whose edges alternate between being in M_1, M_2 (such a cycle may also be a 2-cycle with two edges between two vertices, one of which is in M_1 and the other in M_2). This induces a number partition of n , based on the number of cycles of size $2k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Call this partition $d(M_1, M_2)$.

We claim that $(M_1, M_2) \sim (M_3, M_4)$ iff $d(M_1, M_2) = d(M_3, M_4)$.

The forward direction is direct since if we have $\pi(M_1, M_2) = (M_3, M_4)$, then π applied to the vertices of the multigraph $M_1 \cup M_2$ gives $M_3 \cup M_4$ while having the same graph (up to isomorphism), so the partition remains the same. For the backward direction, just match up $M_1 \cup M_2$ and $M_3 \cup M_4$ in a way that cycle sizes agree.

Therefore, the dimension of this $*$ -algebra is $p(n)$, the number of partitions of n . Recall that this is the same as the number of partitions as the previous example when $G = S_n$. Further, since $d(M_1, M_2) = d(M_2, M_1)$, this algebra is abelian by **Gelfand's Lemma**.

Much like the spectral theorem of normal matrices, there is a spectral theorem of $*$ -algebras which "diagonalizes" them.

Theorem 1.15 (Spectral theorem for commutative $*$ -algebras). Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a commutative $*$ -algebra. Then, there exists an $n \times n$ unitary matrix U and positive integers q_1, \dots, q_m (determined up to permutation) such that $U^\dagger \mathcal{A} U$ is the set of all (q_0, \dots, q_m) -block diagonal matrices, that is, the set of all matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_1 & & & \\ & C_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & C_m \end{pmatrix},$$

where C_k is a $q_k \times q_k$ scalar matrix. In particular, any element of $U^\dagger \mathcal{A} U$ is determined by the m scalars corresponding to these blocks, so $\dim \mathcal{A} = m$ and $q_1 + \dots + q_m = n$.

Proof. ■

Corollary 1.16. Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative $*$ -algebra. Then there exist subspaces W_1, \dots, W_m of \mathbb{C}^n that are (common) eigenspaces of any $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

There is also a more general spectral theorem for (not necessarily commutative) $*$ -algebras, that we state without proof.

Theorem 1.17 (Spectral theorem for $*$ -algebras). Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a commutative $*$ -algebra. Then, there exists an $n \times n$ unitary matrix U and positive integers p_1, \dots, p_m and q_1, \dots, q_m (determined up to permutation) such that $U^\dagger \mathcal{A} U$ is the set of all $((p_0, q_0), \dots, (p_m, q_m))$ -block diagonal matrices, that is, the set of all matrices

$$U^\dagger \mathcal{A} U = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} C_1 & & & \\ & C_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & C_m \end{array} \right),$$

where C_k is a block diagonal matrix

$$C_k = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} B_k & & & \\ & B_k & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & B_k \end{array} \right)$$

consisting of q_k repeated blocks of a $p_k \times p_k$ matrix B_k . Furthermore, $\dim \mathcal{A} = p_1^2 + \dots + p_m^2$ and $n = p_1 q_1 + \dots + p_m q_m$.

In either spectral theorem, we say that we have a *diagonalization* of \mathcal{A} if we know the images $A \mapsto U^\dagger A U$ explicitly, and an *explicit diagonalization* if we further know U .

1.2. A primer on representation theory

Definition 1.18. A *representation* of a group G is a group homomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ for some finite-dimensional vector space V over \mathbb{C} . Given such a representation, we say that V is a G -module.

The image of g under φ is denoted φ_g , but we usually abuse notation it like a group action. That is, we denote $(\varphi(g))(v)$ as $\varphi_g(v)$ or merely $g \cdot v$ or even gv when the representation is clear from context.

Example 5. Let G be a group and S a finite set such that G acts on S . Consider the *linearization* of S or the *permutation module* corresponding to S , which is the vector space with S as a basis, that is,

$$\mathbb{C}[S] = \left\{ \sum_{s \in S} \alpha_s s : \alpha_s \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

The action of G induces a representation on $\mathbb{C}[S]$, namely

$$g \cdot \left(\sum_s \alpha_s s \right) = \sum_s \alpha_s (g \cdot s).$$

Definition 1.19. Given a G -module V , a subspace $W \subseteq V$ is said to be a *submodule* of V if for all $w \in W$ and $g \in G$, $gw \in W$.

That is, it is invariant with respect to the representation.

Definition 1.20. A G -module V is said to be *irreducible* if $\dim V > 0$ and it has no submodules other than $\{0\}$ and V .

More succinctly, an irreducible G -module is one with exactly two submodules. In particular, any one-dimensional module is irreducible

Example 6. Consider the obvious action of S_n on $X = [n]$. Considering the permutation module $\mathbb{C}[X]$, the subspaces

$$V_1 = \text{span}\{1 + 2 + \cdots + n\} \text{ and } V_2 = \{c_1 1 + c_2 2 + \cdots + c_n n : c_1 + \cdots + c_n = 0\}.$$

Clearly, V_1 is irreducible. It turns out that V_2 is irreducible as well! Suppose instead that $W \neq 0$ is a submodule of V_2 , containing $w = c_1 1 + \cdots + c_n n$ for some (c_i) adding up to 0. Suppose that $c_1 \neq 0$. We must have that some other c_i is also nonzero and unequal to c_1 ; suppose that c_2 is so. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} w &= c_1 1 + c_2 2 + \cdots + c_n n \in W \\ (1 \ 2)w &= c_2 1 + c_1 2 + \cdots + c_n n \in W \end{aligned}$$

since W is a submodule. Subtracting the two, we get that $(1 - 2) \in W$. Applying $(2 \ j)$ for $j \geq 3$, we get that $(1 - j) \in W$ for any $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$. Therefore, $\dim W = n - 1$ so W must be V_2 .

Ideally, we would like some result in the spirit of the prime factorization theorem, saying that any module can be decomposed into a direct sum of irreducible submodules in a “unique” fashion. We shall spend the remainder of this section developing this theorem.

Definition 1.21. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. A *unitary* representation is a group homomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow U(V)$. In such a case, V is called a *unitary G -module*.

Above $U(V)$ is the subgroup of matrices in $\text{GL}(V)$ under which the inner product is preserved. That is, $U(V)$ is the set of all matrices A such that for any $v, w \in V$, $\langle v, w \rangle = \langle Av, Aw \rangle$.

Lemma 1.22. Let V be a unitary G -module with $\dim V > 0$. Then, V is a direct sum of irreducible submodules.

Proof. If V is irreducible, we are done. Suppose otherwise, and let $W \neq 0$ be a proper submodule of V . Consider $W^\perp = \{v \in V : \langle v, w \rangle = 0\}$. For any $v \in W^\perp$, $g \in G$, and $w \in W$, since W is a submodule, $\langle gv, w \rangle = \langle v, g^{-1}w \rangle = 0$, so $gv \in W^\perp$. It follows that W^\perp is a proper submodule of V . Induction on dimension completes the proof. ■

Lemma 1.23. Let V be a G -module with $\dim V > 0$. Then, V is a direct sum of irreducible submodules.

Proof. Let (\cdot, \cdot) be any inner product on V . Consider the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ defined by

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{h \in G} \langle hv, hw \rangle.$$

Note that V is a unitary G -module with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The desideratum follows by the previous lemma. ■

This completes the first part of the statement we made earlier, showing that any module can be decomposed into a direct sum of irreducibles. Now, we would like to show that this decomposition is also unique in some sense.

Definition 1.24. Given G -modules V, W , a linear map $f : V \rightarrow W$ is said to be G -linear if f commutes with the action of G , that is, $f(gv) = gf(v)$. We denote

$$\text{Hom}_G(V, W) = \{f : V \rightarrow W : f \text{ is } G\text{-linear}\}.$$

In some settings, W may be a vector space of functions; in such cases, take care with the definition of G -linearity.

Lemma 1.25. Let V, W be irreducible G -modules and $f : V \rightarrow W$ be G -linear. Then, either $f \equiv 0$ or f is an isomorphism.

Proof. Note that $\ker f$ and $\text{im } f$ are respectively submodules of V and W , so by irreducibility, they must each be equal to 0 or the entire vector space. If $\ker f = V$, then $f \equiv 0$. If $\ker f = 0$, we must also have $\text{im } f = W$ so f is an isomorphism. ■

Lemma 1.26 (Schur's Lemma). Let V be an irreducible G -module and $f : V \rightarrow V$ be G -linear. Then, $f = \lambda I$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Let λ be some eigenvalue of f . Then, $f - \lambda I$ is also G -linear and has nonzero kernel; by the previous lemma, it follows that it is identically 0, completing the proof. ■

Corollary 1.27. Let V, W be irreducible G -modules. Then,

$$\dim \text{Hom}_G(V, W) = \begin{cases} 1, & V \cong W, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$