

---

# MA 5109: EXTREMAL GRAPH THEORY

---

Amit Rajaraman

Last updated July 27, 2021

## §0. Notation

We use  $[n]$  to represent  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

For integers  $a$  and  $b$ ,  $[a, b]$  means  $\{a, a + 1, \dots, b\}$ .

A graph  $G_n$  is a graph with  $n$  vertices.

Given a graph  $G$ ,  $e(G)$  is the number of edges  $G$  has.

For a vertex  $v$ , denote by  $N(v)$  the set of *neighbours* of  $v$  – all the vertices that have an edge to  $v$ .

For a vertex  $v$ , denote by  $d(v) = |N(v)|$  the *degree* of  $v$  – the number of edges incident on it.

For  $v \in V$  and  $K \subseteq V$ ,  $d(v, K)$  is the number of edges

$$|\{u \in K : uv \in E\}|$$

from  $v$  into  $K$

## §1. Introduction

### 1.1. What are Graphs?

**Definition 1.1.** A (simple undirected) **graph**  $G$  is an ordered pair  $(V, E)$  where  $V$  is a finite set called the *vertex set* and  $E$ , called the *edge set*, is a subset of  $\binom{V}{2}$ , where  $\binom{S}{k}$  represents the set of all  $k$ -element subsets of  $S$ .

We typically represent graphs pictorially, showing vertices as dots and edges as arcs joining the vertices present in the corresponding subset.

A few important graphs are:

- the *null graph* with vertex set  $V$ , where  $E = \emptyset$ .
- the *complete graph*  $K_n$ , where  $V = [n]$  and  $E = \binom{[n]}{2}$ .
- the *complete bipartite graph*  $K_{m,n}$ , where  $V = A \cup B$  with  $|A| = m$ ,  $|B| = n$ , and  $A, B$  are disjoint, and  $E = \{\{a, b\} : a \in A, b \in B\}$ .
- the *path graph* of length  $n$ , where  $V = [n + 1]$  and  $E = \{\{m, m + 1\} : m \in [n]\}$ .
- the *cycle* of length  $n$ , where  $V = [n]$  and  $E = \{\{l, m\} : l, m \in [n], (m - l) \equiv 1 \pmod{n}\}$ .

Now, consider the graph  $G$  with vertex set  $[4]$  and edge set  $\{\{1, 3\}, \{3, 2\}, \{2, 4\}\}$ . This graph appears to be the same as the path graph of length 3, but how do we make this correspondence more concrete?

Relabeling vertices doesn't create a "new" graph.

**Definition 1.2.** Two graphs  $G = (V, E)$  and  $G' = (V', E')$  are said to be **isomorphic** and we write  $G \simeq G'$  if there exists a bijection  $f : V \rightarrow V'$  such that there is an edge between two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$  if and only if there is an edge between  $f(u)$  and  $f(v)$  in  $G'$ .

If two graphs are isomorphic, they are identical for our purposes (we only care about graphs up to isomorphism).

## 1.2. The birth of Extremal Graph Theory

Extremal graph theory is motivated by the following simple problem:

At most how many edges can a graph  $G_n$  have if it contains no triangles?

More precisely, what is

$$\max_{\substack{\text{no subgraph of } G_n \\ \text{is isomorphic to } K_3}} e(G_n)?$$

Clearly, this number is well-defined since a graph on  $n$  vertices cannot have more than  $\binom{n}{2}$  vertices.

A simple observation is that any complete bipartite graph has no triangles: if there were a triangle, then two vertices would be in the same “part”, which contradicts the existence of edges only between the two parts.

As a consequence, for any  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , it is possible to construct  $m \times (n - m)$  edges (with this bound being attained for  $K_{m, n-m}$ ). In particular, it is possible to construct a graph with  $\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor$  edges.

**Theorem 1.1** (Mantel’s Theorem). If  $G_n$  has no triangle, then

$$e(G_n) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \right\rfloor.$$

Further, equality is attained iff  $G_n$  is equal to  $K_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor, \lceil n/2 \rceil}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $G_n$  has no triangles. Saying that  $G_n$  has no triangles is equivalent to saying that for distinct adjacent  $u, v$ ,  $N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$ .

So,  $d(u) + d(v) \leq n$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} ne(G_n) &\stackrel{(1)}{\geq} \sum_{uv \in E} d(u) + d(v) \\ &= \sum_{uv \in E} |N(u) \cup N(v)| \\ &= |\{(e, w) : e = uv \in E, w \in N(u) \cup N(v)\}| \\ &= \sum_{u \in V} |\{(e, w) : w \in N(u), e = uv \in E\}| \\ &= \sum_{u \in V} |\{(v, w) : v, w \in N(u)\}| \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{=} \sum_{u \in V} d(u)^2 \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{\geq} \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{u \in V} d(u) \right)^2 \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{=} \frac{4e(G_n)^2}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

where (2) follows from the changing the main thing being summed over to  $u$ , the “middle” vertex in the  $L$ -like structure, (3) follows from the **Cauchy-Schwarz inequality**, and (3) follows from the **handshaking lemma**.

What happens when equality is attained? Let us look at the case where  $n$  is even.

(1) is only tight when  $d(u) + d(v) = n$  for all edges  $uv$  and (3) is only tight when  $d(u)$  is a constant (independent of  $u$ ). This implies that  $d(u) = \frac{n}{2}$  for every  $u \in V$ . Now, if  $uv$  is an edge,  $N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$  implies that  $N(u) \cup N(v) = V$ , and so  $G_n = K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$ .

The case where  $n$  is odd is analyzed similarly, with slight nuances in (3) since exact equality is not attained. ■

While the above is one of the early results in extremal graph theory, the subject was only really born due to Turán in the following result.

**Theorem 1.2 (Turán’s Theorem).** If  $G_n$  has no  $K_{r+1}$  ( $r \geq 2$ ), then  $e(G_n) \leq t_r(n)$ , with equality attained iff  $G \simeq T_r(n)$ .

The version for  $r = 2$  is just a triangle-free graph and is the same as **Mantel’s Theorem**. In the proof of this, we split the vertex set into two parts and dumped all the edges between these parts.

If we want to avoid  $K_4$  ( $r = 3$ ), then perhaps we could split the vertex set into three parts and dump all the edges between these parts.

In general, we want to partition  $V$  of size  $n$  into  $r$  “almost equal” parts and set only those edges between vertices in distinct parts – such a graph is known as the **Turán graph**  $T_r(n)$  and the number of edges  $e(T_r(n))$  is the **Turán number**  $t_r(n)$ .

In particular, when  $r \mid n$ ,

$$t_r(n) = \binom{r}{2} \left(\frac{n}{r}\right)^2 = \frac{n^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right).$$

Here, we give three proofs of Turán’s Theorem.

*Proof 1 of Turán’s Theorem.* We perform strong induction on  $n + r$ . We have already proved the result for  $r = 2$ .

Suppose  $e(G_n) \geq t_r(n)$  and  $G_n$  is  $K_{r+1}$ -free, where  $r > 2$ . We wish to prove that  $G \simeq T_r(n)$ .

Since  $t_r(n) \geq t_{r-1}(n)$  (check this!), the inductive hypothesis implies that  $G$  has a copy  $K \subseteq V$  of  $K_r$ . Observe that for  $v \notin K$ ,  $d(v, K) \leq r - 1$  – otherwise, there would be a copy of  $K_{r+1}$  in  $G$ .

As a result,  $e(V \setminus K, K) \leq (r - 1)(n - r)$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $e(V \setminus K, V \setminus K) \leq t_r(n - r)$ . Therefore,

$$t_r(n) \leq e(G_n) \leq t_r(n - r) + (r - 1)(n - r) + \binom{r}{2}.$$

However, as can be checked manually,  $t_r(n - r) + (r - 1)(n - r) + \binom{r}{2} = t_r(n)$ !

It follows that equality holds everywhere –  $e(G_n) = t_r(n)$ ,  $e(V \setminus K) = t_r(n - r)$ , and  $d(v, K) = r - 1$  for all  $v \in V \setminus K$ . This graph is then isomorphic to  $T_r(n)$  – for each  $v \in V \setminus K$ , we can put the vertex in  $K$  that is not adjacent to  $v$  in the same bucket as  $v$ . Then, the only edges are those between distinct buckets (Why?). ■