

---

# PRPL

---

Amit Rajaraman

Last updated January 29, 2022

## Contents

|          |  |          |
|----------|--|----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>                                  | <b>2</b> |
| 1.1      | Projective Planes . . . . .                          | 2        |
| 1.2      | Coding Theory . . . . .                              | 4        |
| 1.3      | Rigidity Theorems on Partial Linear Spaces . . . . . | 5        |
| 1.4      | Combinatorial Nullstellensatz . . . . .              | 8        |

## §1. Introduction

### 1.1. Projective Planes

**Definition 1.1** (Incidence System). An *incidence system* is a pair  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is a set and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a set of subsets of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Elements of  $\mathcal{P}$  are called *points* and elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  are called *lines*. A line  $\ell$  is said to be *incident* on a point  $p$  if  $p \in \ell$ .

**Definition 1.2** (Partial Linear Space). An incidence system  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is said to be a *partial linear space* if

1. for each  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $|\ell| \geq 2$ .
2. for distinct  $x, y \in \mathcal{P}$ , there is at most one  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $\{x, y\} \subseteq \ell$ .

**Definition 1.3** (Linear Space). An incidence system  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is said to be a *linear space* if

1. for each  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $|\ell| \geq 2$ .
2. for distinct  $x, y \in \mathcal{P}$ , there is a unique  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $\{x, y\} \subseteq \ell$ .

**Definition 1.4** (Steiner 2-design). A *Steiner 2-design*  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is a linear space wherein the cardinality of any line is the same and the same number of lines pass through any point.

If a Steiner 2-design has  $P$  points on each line and  $L$  lines through every point, it has a total of  $LP - (L - 1)$  points and  $L(LP - L + 1)/P$  lines.

**Definition 1.5** (Dual). Given a partial linear space  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ , the incidence system  $\mathcal{X}^* = (\mathcal{P}^*, \mathcal{L}^*)$  is said to be its *dual* if there exist bijections  $f : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^*$  and  $g : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^*$  such that for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}, \ell \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $p \in \ell$  iff  $g(\ell) \in f(p)$ .

We remark that the dual is unique up to isomorphism.

**Definition 1.6** (Projective Plane). An incidence system  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is said to be a *projective plane* if

1.  $\mathcal{X}$  is a linear space.
2.  $\mathcal{X}^*$  is a linear space.
3. For any distinct  $\ell, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}$ , there exists  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $p \notin \ell \cup \ell'$ . This condition is equivalent to asserting that for distinct  $p, p' \in \mathcal{P}$ , there exists  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $\{p, p'\} \cap \ell = \emptyset$ .

Given distinct points  $x_1, x_2$ , we denote by  $x_1 \vee x_2$  the (unique) line passing through  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Similarly, given distinct lines  $\ell_1, \ell_2$ , we denote by  $\ell_1 \wedge \ell_2$  the (unique) point in their intersection.

**Definition 1.7.** Given a projective plane  $\mathcal{X}$ , fix a line  $\ell$  and point  $x$  not incident on  $\ell$ . The function defined by  $y \mapsto x \vee y$  is one from the set of points in  $\ell$  to the set of lines through  $x$ . Further, it has inverse  $m \mapsto m \wedge \ell$  and is thus a bijection. These two bijections are referred to as *perspectivities* on the projective plane.

Using perspectivities, the following may be shown.

**Lemma 1.1.** Given a projective plane  $\mathcal{X}$ , there exists a number  $n \geq 0$ , known as the *order* of  $\mathcal{X}$ , such that

1. any point is incident with exactly  $n + 1$  lines.
2. any line contains exactly  $n + 1$  points.
3. the total number of points is  $n^2 + n + 1$ .
4. the total number of lines is  $n^2 + n + 1$ .

One common example of a projective plane is  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{F})$ , the projective plane over field  $\mathbb{F}$ . This has point set  $V_1$  equal to the set of all 1-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}^3$  (as a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ ), and line set  $V_2$  equal to the set of all 2-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}^3$ , where we identify each such subspace with the set of all 1-dimensional subspaces contained in it.

In particular,  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{F}_q)$  (where  $q$  is a prime power) is of order  $q$ .

The second projective plane of interest is the *free projective plane*. We define it using a sequence  $(\mathcal{X}_n)$  of incidence systems. Define  $\mathcal{X}_\infty = (\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$  by  $\mathcal{P}_1 = [4]$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_1 = \binom{\mathcal{P}_1}{2}$ . Given  $\mathcal{X}_n = (\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{L}_n)$ , the next incidence system is defined by taking  $\mathcal{X}_n$  then performing the following operations:

1. for each pair  $\{\ell_1, \ell_2\}$  of lines in  $\mathcal{X}_n$  which have no common point, introduce a new point  $\ell_1 \wedge \ell_2$ . This new point is incident with  $\ell_1, \ell_2$  and no other line.
2. for each pair  $\{x_1, x_2\}$  of points in  $\mathcal{X}_n$  which have no line in common, introduce a new line  $x_1 \vee x_2$ . This new line is incident on  $x_1, x_2$  and no other point.

Finally, define the free projective plane  $\mathcal{X} = (\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{P}_n, \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{L}_n)$  as the “limiting element” of this sequence. The free projective plane is denoted  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition 1.8** (Subplane). A projective plane  $(\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}')$  is said to be a projective *subplane* of projective plane  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  if

$$\mathcal{L}' = \{\ell \cap \mathcal{P}' : \ell \in \mathcal{L}\}.$$

**Definition 1.9.** A *prime* projective plane is a projective plane that has no proper subplane.

For example,  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{F})$  is prime if  $\mathbb{F}$  is a prime field (such as  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{F}_p$  for prime  $p$ ). The free projective plane is prime as well.

*Remark.* We are interested in both prime projective planes and projective planes of prime order. Observe which one is being referred to in any sentence!

**Conjecture.** The only examples of prime projective planes are the free projective plane and the projective planes over prime fields.

It turns out that any prime projective plane is a homomorphic image of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Consequently, it may be interesting to study the sequence  $\mathcal{X}_n$  of projective planes involved in the definition of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

For  $q > 8$  that is a non-prime prime power (so  $p^r$  for  $r \geq 2$ ), there are constructions of projective planes of order  $q$  which are not the field plane  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{F}_q)$ . However, we have nothing similar for prime  $q$ .

**Conjecture.** Up to isomorphism,  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is the only projective plane of prime order  $p$ .

The two conjectures given do have some resemblance, but we have nothing concrete. In fact, it is not even known if a projective plane of prime order is necessarily a prime projective plane, or if a finite prime projective plane must have prime order.

A stronger version of Section 1.1 is the following, conjectured by H. Neumann.

**Conjecture.** A finite projective plane has no subplane of order two if and only if it is isomorphic to  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{F}_q)$  for some odd prime power  $q$ .

## 1.2. Coding Theory

**Definition 1.10.** Given an incidence system  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  and a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , we define the  $p$ -ary linear code  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathcal{X})$  over  $\mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{P}}$  as follows. Identify each line  $\ell$  with the codeword in  $\mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{P}}$  whose  $x$ th coordinate is 1 if  $x \in \ell$  and 0 otherwise.  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathcal{X})$  is then the space spanned by the codewords corresponding to the lines in  $\mathcal{L}$ . If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_q$ , we sometimes denote the above as  $\mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X})$ .

We call the code  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  *trivial* at  $q$  if  $\mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X}) = \mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{P}}$ . We often denote this code as  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$  or  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}$  if  $q$  is clear from context.

**Theorem 1.2.** If  $\pi$  is a projective plane of order  $n$  and  $q$  is a prime power that does not divide  $n$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_q(\pi)$  is trivial.

*Proof.* For each  $x \in \mathcal{P}$ , consider the word  $v_x$  formed by adding all the lines that pass through  $x$ . This word has  $n + 1$  in the  $x$ th coordinate and 1 in all remaining coordinates. For distinct  $x, y \in \mathcal{C}_p(\pi)$ , the word  $v_x - v_y$  is thus the vector that has  $n$  in the  $x$ th coordinate,  $-n$  in the  $y$ th coordinate, and all remaining coordinates are 0. Since  $q$  does not divide  $n$ ,  $n$  and  $-n$  are nonzero in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and so  $v_x - v_y$  lies in  $\mathcal{C}_q(\pi)$ . This implies that the dual  $\mathbf{1}^{\top}$  of the all 1s vector is contained in  $\mathcal{C}_q(\pi)$ . If we manage to show that  $\mathbf{1}$  is contained in the code, we are done. ■

**Definition 1.11** (Dual). Given a code  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathcal{P}}$ , its *dual* is

$$\mathcal{C}^\top = \{v \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\mathcal{P}} : \langle v, w \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } w \in \mathcal{C}\},$$

where

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{P}} v_x w_x.$$

Observe that perhaps counter to one's intuition, a code and its dual need not be disjoint. If the dual of a code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  contains a non-zero vector, then the code is non-trivial at  $q$ .

We are interested in the *weight* of the codes  $\mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X})^\top$  for projective planes or partial linear spaces  $\mathcal{X}$  (typically of prime order).

### 1.3. Rigidity Theorems on Partial Linear Spaces

**Definition 1.12** (Induced structure). Given a partial linear space  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  and a  $\mathcal{P}' \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  such that no line in  $\mathcal{L}$  intersects  $\mathcal{P}'$  in exactly one point, one can easily come up with a partial linear space  $(\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}')$  by restricting to those lines in  $\mathcal{L}$  which intersect  $\mathcal{P}'$ . This is known as the *induced structure* on  $\mathcal{P}'$ .

**Definition 1.13** (Join). Given two partial linear spaces  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$  and  $(\mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{L}_2)$  with  $\mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_2 = \emptyset$ , one can define the *join* of the two partial linear spaces by  $(\mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2 \cup \mathcal{L}_3)$ , where

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = \{\{x, y\} : x \in \mathcal{P}_1, y \in \mathcal{P}_2\}.$$

**Theorem 1.3.** If a PLS  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is non-trivial at  $p$  and has at least  $n + 1$  lines through every point, then  $|\mathcal{P}| \geq 2n + 2 - 2n/p$ . Moreover, equality holds iff  $\mathcal{X}$  is the join of two Steiner 2-designs with  $n/p$  lines through each point and  $p$  points on each line.

*Proof.* The backward direction of the iff statement is direct since each of the Steiner designs has  $n - (n/p - 1)$  points and their join thus has  $2n + 2 - 2n/p$  points. Similarly, there are  $n/p + (n - n/p + 1) = n + 1$  lines through each point in the join.

The converse is trivial for  $p = 2$ , so assume  $p > 2$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  be a PLS which is non-trivial at  $p$ , has at least  $n + 1$  lines through every point, and with  $|\mathcal{P}| \leq 2n + 2 - 2n/p$ . We shall show that  $|\mathcal{P}| = 2n + 2 - 2n/p$ . Denote  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{X})$ . Let  $w$  be a word of minimum weight in  $\mathcal{C}^\top$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_w$  be the support of  $w$  (the set of coordinates where  $w$  is nonzero). Let  $(\mathcal{P}_w, \mathcal{L}_0)$  be a partial linear space such that  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_0}^\top$  is generated by the restriction of  $w$  to  $\mathcal{P}_w$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_0}$  is non-trivial at  $p$ , and a subset  $\ell$  of  $\mathcal{P}_w$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_0$  iff its characteristic function is in the dual of  $\langle w \rangle$ .

Now, repeatedly perform the following sequence of operations on  $\mathcal{L}_0$  until it is no longer possible to do so:

1. Choose  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_0$  that can be written as  $\ell = \ell' \cup \ell''$ , where  $\ell'$  (and so  $\ell''$ ) is in  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_0}$ .
2. Replace  $\ell$  with  $\ell'$  and  $\ell''$ .

Finally, we have a set of lines in  $\mathcal{P}_w$  such that no proper subset of a line is in  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_0}$ . Let this new set of lines be  $\mathcal{L}'$  (this is not uniquely defined).  $(\mathcal{P}_w, \mathcal{L}')$  satisfies the following properties.

- (a) There are at least  $n + 1$  lines through every point.
- (b)  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}'} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_0}$ .
- (c)  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}'}$  does not contain the characteristic function of a proper non-empty subset of any line in  $\mathcal{L}'$ .
- (d)  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}'}$  is one-dimensional and  $\mathcal{P}_w$  is the support of its generator  $w$ .

For almost all of the remaining part of the proof, we work with this PLS.

For each  $P \in \mathcal{P}_w$ , let  $x_P, y_P, z_P$  be number of lines through  $P$  of cardinalities 2, 3, 4 respectively. Fix  $Q \in \mathcal{P}_w$  of minimal  $x_Q$ . Now, colour  $\mathcal{P}_w$  with  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , by colouring each point  $P$  as  $w_P$  (the  $P$ th coordinate). Assume that  $Q$  is coloured  $-1$ .

Since any line is in the dual of  $\langle w \rangle$ , the sum of colours on any line is 0 modulo  $p$ .

By property (c), the colours of any non-empty proper subset of a line do not add to 0 modulo  $p$ .

Therefore, the lines of size 2 are precisely those that have colours  $\alpha$  and  $-\alpha$  (for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ ) and any monochromatic line has length  $p$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of all used colours (all the values in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  that are equal to some  $w_P$ ). Note that  $0 \notin \mathcal{S}$  (Why?). Then, letting  $S_P$  be the set of all points that are on a line passing through  $P$ ,

$$1 + x_P + 2y_P + 3z_P + 4(n + 1 - x_P - y_P - z_P) \leq |S_P| \leq 2n + 2 - \frac{2n}{p},$$

so

$$2n + 3 + \frac{2n}{p} \leq 3x_P + 2y_P + z_P. \quad (1.1)$$

Similarly, applying this to only  $x_P$  and  $y_P$ , we get

$$n + 2 + \frac{2n}{p} \leq 2x_P + y_P. \quad (1.2)$$

Let  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_m$  be all the lines through  $P$  of cardinality at least 4. Then,

$$|S_A| \geq 1 + x_P + 2(n + 1 - x_P - m) + \sum_{i=1}^m (|\ell_i| - 1)$$

and so,

$$x_P \geq 1 + \frac{2n}{p} + \sum_{i=1}^m (|\ell_i| - 3) \geq 1 + \frac{2n}{p}. \quad (1.3)$$

Since the number of size 2 lines through any point is at least  $x_Q$ , for any  $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ , there are at least  $x_Q$  points of colour  $-\alpha$ . Because  $x_Q > 0$ , this implies that  $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$  iff  $-\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ , and this together with  $0 \notin \mathcal{S}$  implies that  $|\mathcal{S}|$  is even, say  $2r$  for some  $0 < r \leq (p - 1)/2$ . As there are at least  $x_Q$  points of any colour  $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

$$rx_Q \leq n + 1 - \frac{n}{p}. \quad (1.4)$$

This together with the previous equation yields that

$$r \leq \frac{n + 1 - n/p}{1 + 2n/p} < \frac{p - 1}{2},$$

where the second inequality uses the fact that  $p \geq 3$ . Therefore,  $|\mathcal{S}| < p - 1$ .

**Claim.** If  $r = 1$ , then  $|\mathcal{P}| = 2n + 2 - 2n/p$  and  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is isomorphic to the join of two Steiner 2-designs of the described form.

As  $r = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{S} = \{-1, 1\}$  and any line is of size either 2 or  $p$ . Let  $X_i$  be the number of points of colour  $i$  for  $i \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Since the number of size 2 lines through any  $P$  of colour  $i$  is at most  $|X_{-i}|, |x_Q| \leq n+1 - n/p$ . Consequently, letting  $S_Q$  be all the points that are on a line through  $Q$ ,

$$2n+2 - \frac{2n}{p} \geq |S_Q| \geq 1 + \underbrace{(p-1)\frac{n}{p}}_{p\text{-lines through } Q} + \underbrace{\left(n+1 - \frac{n}{p}\right)}_{2\text{-lines through } Q} = 2n+2 - \frac{2n}{p},$$

so  $x_Q = n+1 - n/p$ , there are precisely  $n/p$  lines through  $Q$ , and  $|S_Q| = 2n+2 - 2n/p$ . This implies that  $|X_1| = |X_{-1}| = n+1 - n/p$ , and so that the number of size 2 lines (resp. size  $p$  lines) through any  $A$  is exactly  $n+1 - n/p$  (resp.  $n/p$ ).

Each of the two  $X_i$ s is isomorphic to a Steiner 2-design with  $n/p$  lines through each point and  $p$  points on each line, so  $(\mathcal{P}_w, \mathcal{L}')$  is isomorphic to the join of two Steiner 2-designs of the prescribed form.

Note that  $(\mathcal{P}_w, \mathcal{L}')$  is then a linear space. If we had replaced a line with its partition in  $(\mathcal{P}_w, \mathcal{L}_0)$ , this would not have been possible. Further, since  $2n+2 - 2n/p \leq |\mathcal{P}_w| \leq |\mathcal{P}| \leq 2n+2 - 2n/p$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_w = \mathcal{P}$ . Therefore,  $(\mathcal{P}_w, \mathcal{L}')$  is our original PLS  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ .  $\square$

Now, consider the case where  $r \geq 2$ . We shall show that this situation cannot occur at all.

Consider the graph  $G_Q$  with vertex set  $\mathcal{S}$  where  $\alpha, \beta$  are adjacent iff  $\alpha + \beta$  is equal to 0 or 1 (in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ). Note that for any  $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$  of degree 1 and 3-line  $L$  through  $Q$ ,  $L \cap X_\alpha$  is either empty or equal to  $\{Q\}$  (in then case where  $\alpha = -1$ ). In particular, the degree of 1 in  $G_Q$  is one so no 3-line passes through a point of colour 1.

The only possible loop (edge from a vertex to itself) occurs at  $(p+1)/2$  if it belongs to  $\mathcal{S}$ . Consider a cycle  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_m \alpha_1$ .  $m$  must be even since the two types of edges alternate. This pattern of edges also implies that  $m$  is a multiple of  $2p$  (consider the sum of all  $\alpha_i$ ). However, this is not possible since  $m \leq |\mathcal{S}| < p-1$ , so  $G_Q$  contains no cycles. Any connected component of  $G_Q$  is a path, with possibly a loop at one end due to  $(p+1)/2$ .

**Claim.**  $G_Q$  is not connected.

Suppose instead that  $G_Q$  is connected. It is then just a path. If 1 is the only vertex of degree one, then this path is equal to  $1(-1)2(-2) \cdots (\frac{p-1}{2})(\frac{p+1}{2})(\frac{p+1}{2})$  since there must be a loop at the other end. In this case however,  $|\mathcal{S}| = p-1$ , which is not possible. So, there is another  $-r \in \mathcal{S}$  of degree one, and the path is of the form  $1(-1)2(-2) \cdots r(-r)$  for  $1 < r < (p-1)/2$ .

Let  $T = \mathcal{P}_w \setminus (\{Q\} \cup X_{-r})$ . Since  $r > 1$ ,

$$|T| \leq 2n+2 - \frac{2n}{p} - (1+x_Q).$$

Let  $l$  be the number of lines through  $Q$  of size  $> 2$  that contain at most one point from  $T$ . Observe that any size 2 line through  $Q$  has exactly one point from  $T$ . Counting points in  $T$  that lie on lines through  $Q$ ,

$$|T| \geq 2(n+1 - x_Q - l) + x_Q.$$

Therefore,

$$l \geq \frac{n}{p} + \frac{1}{2} > \frac{n}{p}.$$

Let  $\ell$  be such a line. We now use the fact that the sum of colours on a line is 0.

If  $\ell$  does not contain any point from  $T$ , then it contains at least  $(p-1)/r$  points from  $X_{-r}$  and thus at least  $(p+r-1)/r$  points in all.

If  $\ell$  does contain one point from  $T$ , then the colour of this point is  $1 + (|\ell| - 2)r$  modulo  $p$ . If this is greater than  $p$  (as a number), then  $|\ell| \geq 2 + (p-1)/r \geq (p+r-1)/r$ . If this is less than  $p$ , then we must have that this number is itself in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Since  $|\ell| > 2$ , this number is greater than  $r$  so must be in  $\{p-r, \dots, p-1\}$ . That is,  $1 + (\ell-2)r \geq p-r$ . This yields once more that  $|\ell| \geq (p+r-1)/r$ .

Since  $r < (p-1)/2$ ,  $|\ell| > 3$ . Thus, we can use Equation (1.3) to get that

$$x_Q > 1 + \frac{2n}{p} + \frac{n}{p} \left( \frac{p+r-1}{r} - 3 \right) = 1 + \frac{n}{r} - \frac{n}{pr},$$

which contradicts Equation (1.4).  $\square$

Thus, suppose that  $G_Q$  is disconnected. Let  $\mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  be the set of all degree one colours. As  $G_Q$  is disconnected,  $|\mathcal{S}'| \geq 3$ .

Consider the set of points in  $\mathcal{P}_w \setminus \{Q\}$  that are on size 3 lines through  $Q$ . This set is of size  $2y_Q$ , and does not intersect any  $X_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'$ . Therefore,

$$2n + 2 - \frac{2n}{p} \geq 2y_Q + |\mathcal{S}'|x_Q. \quad (1.5)$$

We may then use Equation (1.2) to conclude that  $|\mathcal{S}'| < 4$ , and is so exactly 3.

Combining Equations (1.2) and (1.5),  $x_Q \geq 2 + 6n/p$ , and  $r = |\mathcal{S}|/2$  is  $< p/6$ .

$G_Q$  has two connected components of the form

$$1(-1)2(-2) \cdots t(-t)$$

for some  $1 \leq t < r$  and

$$\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{3-p}{2}\right) \left(\frac{p-3}{2}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p+1}{2} - (r-t)\right),$$

with the vertices of degree 1 being 1,  $-t$  and  $\alpha = (p+1)/2 - (r-t)$ . Consider

$$T = \{Q\} \cup X_{-t} \cup X_\alpha \cup \mathcal{P}_2 \cup \mathcal{P}_3,$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_i$  is the set of points in  $\mathcal{P}_w \setminus \{Q\}$  that are on size  $i$  lines through  $Q$ . We have that

$$|T| \geq 3x_Q + 2y_Q.$$

If any size 4 line intersects  $\mathcal{P}_w \setminus T$ ,

$$|\mathcal{P}_w| \geq z_Q + |T| \geq z_Q + 2y_Q + 3x_Q,$$

which contradicts Equation (1.1). Therefore, there is a size 4 line  $\ell$  contained in  $T$ . By property (c) from earlier,  $\ell \subseteq \{Q\} \cup X_{-t} \cup X_\alpha$ .

If  $\ell$  contains  $0 \leq i \leq 3$  points from  $X_\alpha$ , then the sum of colours of  $\ell$  is  $-1 + (-t)(3-i) + \alpha i$ . This must be a multiple of  $p$ . Substituting each of the values of  $i$ , this is one of

$$3t+1, \quad 2(r+t)+1, \quad 2r-t, \quad 6(r-t)-1,$$

none of which can be a multiple of  $p$  since  $1 \leq t < r < p/6$ , completing the proof. ■

## 1.4. Combinatorial Nullstellensatz

The reader is likely familiar with the following famous theorem.

**Theorem 1.4** (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz). Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an algebraically closed field and  $f, g_1, \dots, g_m$  be elements of the ring  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of polynomials such that  $f$  vanishes on all common zeroes of the  $(g_i)$ . Then, there is an integer  $k$  and polynomials  $h_1, \dots, h_m$  in  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that

$$f^k = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i h_i.$$

Before we get to the main result of this section which is essentially an interesting form of the above when the  $g_i$  take a specific form, we give a lemma related to the size of a 'cube' required to evaluate a polynomial at to determine if it is the 0 polynomial.

**Lemma 1.5.** Let  $P = P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a polynomial over an arbitrary field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Suppose that for each  $i$ ,  $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  with  $|S_i| > \deg_i(P)$ . If  $P(s_1, \dots, s_n) = 0$  for all choices of  $s_i \in S_i$  for each  $i$ , then  $P$  is identically 0.



*Proof.* We prove this by induction on  $n$ . When  $n = 1$ , this is direct as it merely states that a polynomial of degree at most  $t$  has at most  $t$  zeroes. Suppose that the statement is true for  $n - 1$ . Let  $t_i = \deg_i(P)$  for each  $i$ . Write  $P$  as a sum

$$P = \sum_{i=0}^{t_i} x_n^i P_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}),$$

where each  $P_i$  is a polynomial with  $\deg_j$  bounded above by  $t_j$ . Observe that for any fixed tuple  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_{n-1}$ , the polynomial obtained from  $P$  by substituting the values of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  vanishes on  $S_n$ , and thus by the  $n = 1$  case, is identically zero. Therefore, each  $P_i$  vanishes on  $S_1 \times \dots \times S_{n-1}$ . Applying the inductive hypothesis, each  $P_i$  is thus identically 0, yielding that  $P$  is identically 0 and completing the proof. ■

Later in Corollary 1.7, we give a much stronger version of this

**Theorem 1.6** (Combinatorial Nullstellensatz). Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an algebraically closed field and  $S_1, \dots, S_n \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ . Define

$$g_i(x_i) = \prod_{s_i \in S_i} (x_i - s_i)$$

for each  $i$ . Let  $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  vanish on all common zeroes of the  $(g_i)$ , that is,  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) = 0$  if  $s_i \in S_i$  for each  $i$ . Then, there are polynomials  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  in  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i h_i.$$

and  $\deg(h_i) \leq \deg(f) - \deg(g_i)$  for each  $i$ .

Moreover, if  $f, g_1, \dots, g_n \in R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  for some subring  $R$  of  $\mathbb{F}$ , then there are polynomials  $h_i \in R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  satisfying the above.

*Proof.* Let  $t_i = |S_i| - 1$  for each  $i$ . For each  $i$ , write  $g(x_i) = x_i^{t_i+1} - g_0(x_i)$  – note that  $g_0$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $t_i$ . For each  $x_i \in S_i$ , we then have

$$x_i^{t_i+1} = g_0(x_i).$$

Now, take the polynomial  $f$  and subtract polynomials of the form  $h_i g_i$ , each of which replaces the higher degree terms of  $x_i$  (terms with  $x_i^r$  for  $r > t_i$ ) with a lower degree one using the above equation, to get a polynomial  $f_0$ . Observe that this polynomial  $f_0$  vanishes on  $S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$ , and  $\deg_i(f_0) \leq t_i$  for each  $i$ . We can then use Lemma 1.5 to conclude that  $f_0$  is identically zero, and thus that  $f$  is equal to  $\sum_i h_i g_i$ , completing the proof. ■

The simple proof above betrays the surprising usefulness of this result.

**Corollary 1.7.** Let  $P = P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a polynomial over a(n arbitrary) field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\deg(f) = \sum_i t_i$ , and let there exist a  $x_1^{t_1} x_2^{t_2} \dots x_n^{t_n}$  term in the polynomial with non-zero coefficient. Suppose that for each  $i$ ,  $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  with  $|S_i| > t_i$ . If  $P(s_1, \dots, s_n) = 0$  for all choices of  $s_i \in S_i$  for each  $i$ , then  $P$  is identically 0.

*Proof.* Let us assume that  $|S_i| = t_i + 1$  for each  $i$ .

Suppose that the claim does not hold and let  $g_i(x_i) = \prod_{s_i \in S_i} (x_i - s_i)$  for each  $i$ . **Combinatorial Nullstellensatz** then implies that

$$P = \sum_i h_i g_i$$

for polynomials  $h_i$  of degree at most  $\deg(f) - \deg(g_i)$ . Now, any monomial of degree  $\deg(f)$  must come from one of the  $h_i g_i$ . However, any term in these polynomials are divisible by  $x_i^{|S_i|} = x_i^{t_i+1}$ , which implies that there is no  $x_i^{t_i}$  term in  $P$ , yielding a contradiction and completing the proof. ■