

---

# PRPL

---

Amit Rajaraman

Last updated March 16, 2022

## Contents

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>                                  | <b>2</b>  |
| 1.1      | Projective Planes . . . . .                          | 2         |
| 1.2      | The coding theoretic aspect . . . . .                | 4         |
| 1.3      | Projective Planes . . . . .                          | 5         |
| 1.4      | Rigidity Theorems on Partial Linear Spaces . . . . . | 10        |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Combinatorial Methods</b>                         | <b>14</b> |
| 2.1      | Combinatorial Nullstellensatz . . . . .              | 14        |

## §1. Introduction

### 1.1. Projective Planes

**Definition 1.1** (Incidence System). An *incidence system* is a pair  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is a set and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a set of subsets of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Elements of  $\mathcal{P}$  are called *points* and elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  are called *lines*. A line  $\ell$  is said to be *incident* on a point  $p$  if  $p \in \ell$ .

**Definition 1.2** (Partial Linear Space). An incidence system  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is said to be a *partial linear space* if

1. for each  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $|\ell| \geq 2$ .
2. for distinct  $x, y \in \mathcal{P}$ , there is at most one  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $\{x, y\} \subseteq \ell$ .

**Definition 1.3** (Linear Space). An incidence system  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is said to be a *linear space* if

1. for each  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $|\ell| \geq 2$ .
2. for distinct  $x, y \in \mathcal{P}$ , there is a unique  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $\{x, y\} \subseteq \ell$ .

**Definition 1.4** (Steiner 2-design). A *Steiner 2-design*  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is a linear space wherein the cardinality of any line is the same and the same number of lines pass through any point.

If a Steiner 2-design has  $P$  points on each line and  $L$  lines through every point, it has a total of  $LP - (L - 1)$  points and  $L(LP - L + 1)/P$  lines.

**Definition 1.5** (Dual). Given a partial linear space  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ , the incidence system  $\mathcal{X}^* = (\mathcal{P}^*, \mathcal{L}^*)$  is said to be its *dual* if there exist bijections  $f : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^*$  and  $g : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^*$  such that for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}, \ell \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $p \in \ell$  iff  $g(\ell) \in f(p)$ .

We remark that the dual is unique up to isomorphism.

**Definition 1.6** (Projective Plane). An incidence system  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is said to be a *projective plane* if

1.  $\mathcal{X}$  is a linear space.
2.  $\mathcal{X}^*$  is a linear space.
3. For any distinct  $\ell, \ell' \in \mathcal{L}$ , there exists  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $p \notin \ell \cup \ell'$ . This condition is equivalent to asserting that for distinct  $p, p' \in \mathcal{P}$ , there exists  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $\{p, p'\} \cap \ell = \emptyset$ .

Given distinct points  $x_1, x_2$ , we denote by  $x_1 \vee x_2$  the (unique) line passing through  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Similarly, given distinct lines  $\ell_1, \ell_2$ , we denote by  $\ell_1 \wedge \ell_2$  the (unique) point in their intersection.

**Definition 1.7.** Given a projective plane  $\mathcal{X}$ , fix a line  $\ell$  and point  $x$  not incident on  $\ell$ . The function defined by  $y \mapsto x \vee y$  is one from the set of points in  $\ell$  to the set of lines through  $x$ . Further, it has inverse  $m \mapsto m \wedge \ell$  and is thus a bijection. These two bijections are referred to as *perspectivities* on the projective plane.

Using perspectivities, the following may be shown.

**Lemma 1.1.** Given a projective plane  $\mathcal{X}$ , there exists a number  $n \geq 0$ , known as the *order* of  $\mathcal{X}$ , such that

1. any point is incident with exactly  $n + 1$  lines.
2. any line contains exactly  $n + 1$  points.
3. the total number of points is  $n^2 + n + 1$ .
4. the total number of lines is  $n^2 + n + 1$ .

One common example of a projective plane is  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{F})$ , the projective plane over field  $\mathbb{F}$ . This has point set  $V_1$  equal to the set of all 1-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}^3$  (as a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ ), and line set  $V_2$  equal to the set of all 2-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}^3$ , where we identify each such subspace with the set of all 1-dimensional subspaces contained in it.

In particular,  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{F}_q)$  (where  $q$  is a prime power) is of order  $q$ .

The second projective plane of interest is the *free projective plane*. We define it using a sequence  $(\mathcal{X}_n)$  of incidence systems. Define  $\mathcal{X}_\infty = (\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$  by  $\mathcal{P}_1 = [4]$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_1 = \binom{\mathcal{P}_1}{2}$ . Given  $\mathcal{X}_n = (\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{L}_n)$ , the next incidence system is defined by taking  $\mathcal{X}_n$  then performing the following operations:

1. for each pair  $\{\ell_1, \ell_2\}$  of lines in  $\mathcal{X}_n$  which have no common point, introduce a new point  $\ell_1 \wedge \ell_2$ . This new point is incident with  $\ell_1, \ell_2$  and no other line.
2. for each pair  $\{x_1, x_2\}$  of points in  $\mathcal{X}_n$  which have no line in common, introduce a new line  $x_1 \vee x_2$ . This new line is incident on  $x_1, x_2$  and no other point.

Finally, define the free projective plane  $\mathcal{X} = (\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{P}_n, \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{L}_n)$  as the “limiting element” of this sequence. The free projective plane is denoted  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition 1.8** (Subplane). A projective plane  $(\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}')$  is said to be a projective *subplane* of projective plane  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  if

$$\mathcal{L}' = \{\ell \cap \mathcal{P}' : \ell \in \mathcal{L}\}.$$

**Definition 1.9.** A *prime* projective plane is a projective plane that has no proper subplane.

For example,  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{F})$  is prime if  $\mathbb{F}$  is a prime field (such as  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{F}_p$  for prime  $p$ ). The free projective plane is prime as well.

*Remark.* We are interested in both prime projective planes and projective planes of prime order. Observe which one is being referred to in any sentence!

**Conjecture.** The only examples of prime projective planes are the free projective plane and the projective planes over prime fields.

It turns out that any prime projective plane is a homomorphic image of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Consequently, it may be interesting to study the sequence  $\mathcal{X}_n$  of projective planes involved in the definition of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

For  $q > 8$  that is a non-prime prime power (so  $p^r$  for  $r \geq 2$ ), there are constructions of projective planes of order  $q$  which are not the field plane  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{F}_q)$ . However, we have nothing similar for prime  $q$ .

**Conjecture.** Up to isomorphism,  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{F}_p)$  is the only projective plane of prime order  $p$ .

The two conjectures given do have some resemblance, but we have nothing concrete. In fact, it is not even known if a projective plane of prime order is necessarily a prime projective plane, or if a finite prime projective plane must have prime order.

A stronger version of Section 1.1 is the following, conjectured by H. Neumann.

**Conjecture.** A finite projective plane has no subplane of order two if and only if it is isomorphic to  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{F}_q)$  for some odd prime power  $q$ .

## 1.2. The coding theoretic aspect

**Definition 1.10.** Given an incidence system  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  and a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , we define the  $p$ -ary linear code  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathcal{X})$  over  $\mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{P}}$  as follows. Identify each line  $\ell$  with the codeword in  $\mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{P}}$  whose  $x$ th coordinate is 1 if  $x \in \ell$  and 0 otherwise.  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathcal{X})$  is then the space spanned by the codewords corresponding to the lines in  $\mathcal{L}$ . If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_q$ , we sometimes denote the above as  $\mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X})$ .

We call the code  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  *trivial* at  $q$  if  $\mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X}) = \mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{P}}$ . We often denote this code as  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$  or  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}$  if  $q$  is clear from context.

**Definition 1.11** (Dual). Given a code  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathcal{P}}$ , its *dual* is

$$\mathcal{C}^{\top} = \{v \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\mathcal{P}} : \langle v, w \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } w \in \mathcal{C}\},$$

where

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{P}} v_x w_x.$$

Observe that perhaps counter to one's intuition, a code and its dual need not be disjoint.

If the dual of a code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  contains a non-zero vector, then the code is non-trivial at  $q$ .

We are often interested in the *weight* of the codes  $\mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X})^\top$  for projective planes or partial linear spaces  $\mathcal{X}$  (typically of prime order).

**Definition 1.12** (Complete Weight Enumerator). Given a code  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p^\mathcal{P}$ , the *complete weight enumerator*  $G$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  is given by

$$G(\underline{Z}) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{C}} \underline{Z}^{\text{type}(f)},$$

where for  $X, Y \in \mathbb{F}_p^\mathcal{P}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\sim}^Y &= \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} X_P^{Y_P} \text{ and} \\ \text{type}(f) &= (|\{P \in \mathcal{P} : f(P) = \alpha\}| : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p). \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\underline{Z} = (Z_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p)$  is any  $p$ -tuple of commuting variables.

**Lemma 1.2.** Let  $\pi = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  be a projective plane of prime order  $p$ . For a  $w \in \mathbb{F}_p^\mathcal{P}$ ,  $w \in \mathcal{C}_p(\pi)$  iff  $\langle w, \ell \rangle = \langle w, \mathbf{1} \rangle$  for all lines  $\ell$  of  $\pi$ .

*Proof.* Observe that  $\{\mathbf{1} - \ell : \ell \in \mathcal{L}\}$  spans  $\mathcal{C}_p(\pi)^\top$ . Indeed, for any lines  $\ell_1 \neq \ell_2$ ,  $\langle \ell_1, \mathbf{1} - \ell_1 \rangle = 0$  trivially, and  $\langle \ell_1, \mathbf{1} - \ell_2 \rangle = 2p = 0$ . The required immediately follows. ■

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a finite PLS and  $p$  a prime. Then  $\dim(\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{X}^*)) = \dim(\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{X}))$ .

*Proof.* Consider the “incidence” matrix  $M$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  indexed by  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$ , where the  $(\ell, p)$ th entry of  $M$  is 1 iff  $p \in \ell$ .  $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{X})$  is then just  $\{Mv : v \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\mathcal{P} \times 1}\}$ , so  $\dim(\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{X}))$  is the column rank of  $M$ . Now note that the incidence matrix of  $\mathcal{X}^*$  is just  $M^\top$  (reindexed appropriately), so  $\dim(\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{X}^*))$  is the row rank of  $M$ . Since the row and column ranks are equal, we are done. ■

### 1.3. Projective Planes

**Lemma 1.4.** Let  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  be two projective planes of prime order  $p$  that share  $p^2 + 1$  lines. Then  $\pi_1 = \pi_2$ .

*Proof.* Let a common set of lines of size  $p^2 + 1$  be  $\mathcal{L}_0$ .

Since each point  $P$  of  $\pi_i$  is contained in  $p + 1$  lines and there are  $p^2 + p + 1$  lines in all,  $P \in \ell$  for some  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_i$ , so the  $\pi_i$  share the same point set.

Let  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_0)$ . Since the lines of  $\pi_i$  are precisely the supports of the minimum weight words of  $\mathcal{C}_p(\pi_i)$ , it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{C}_p(\pi_i) = \mathcal{C}_p(\pi_2)$ . To do this, we shall show that  $\mathcal{C}_p(\pi_1) = \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{X})$ . For the sake of succinctness, denote  $\pi_1$  as  $\pi = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ . Since  $\mathcal{X}$  is a subsystem of  $\pi$ , this is equivalent to  $\dim(\mathcal{C}_p(\pi)) = \dim(\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{X}))$ , which in turn is equivalent to  $\dim(\mathcal{C}_p(\pi^*)) = \dim(\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{X}^*))$ . Consider the restriction map from  $\mathbb{F}_p^\mathcal{L}$  to  $\mathbb{F}_p^{\mathcal{L}_0}$ . This restricts to a linear map from  $\mathcal{C}_p(\pi^*)$  to  $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{X}^*)$ . Observe that the kernel of this map is precisely those words in  $\mathcal{C}_p(\pi^*)$  that have support in  $\mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{L}_0$ . Further, since  $|\mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{L}_0| = p$ , and there is no non-zero word in  $\mathcal{C}_p(\pi^*)$  with Hamming weight  $\leq p$  (any line in  $\pi$  is incident on  $p + 1$  points). Therefore, the kernel of this map is trivial! This implies that  $\dim(\mathcal{C}_p(\pi^*)) = \dim(\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{X}^*))$ , completing the proof. ■

**Definition 1.13.** An incidence system  $\mathcal{Y} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is said to be *p-admissible* if

1. there are exactly  $p^2 + p + 1$  points,
2. any line is incident on exactly  $p + 1$  points, and

3. any two distinct lines are incident at a single point.

Observe that if  $\pi = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is a projective plane, then  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}')$  is  $p$ -admissible for any  $\mathcal{L}' \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** Let  $\sigma = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  be  $p$ -admissible. Then  $\sigma$  has at most  $p^2 + p + 1$  lines, with equality iff  $\sigma$  is a projective plane of order  $p$ .

*Proof.* For the first part, we are done if we manage to show that there are at most  $p + 1$  lines through any point. This is easily done using perspectivities – letting  $\{\ell_i\}_{i=1}^n$  to be the set of all lines through a point  $P$ , the sets  $\ell_i \setminus \{x\}$  are disjoint, so

$$|\mathcal{P} \setminus \{P\}| = p^2 + p \geq np = \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^n \ell_i \setminus \{P\} \right|.$$

Because there are precisely  $p + 1$  points through any line in the equality case, the second part is not too difficult to prove either. ■

**Lemma 1.6.** Let  $S$  be the union of  $k \geq 1$  lines of a  $p$ -admissible incidence system. The  $k(p+1) - \binom{k}{2} \leq |S| \leq kp + 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{\ell_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be a set of  $k$  lines in the system.

If  $\mathcal{P}'$  is the set of all  $\ell_i \wedge \ell_j$ , then

$$|S| \geq \sum |\ell_i| - |\mathcal{P}'| \geq k(p+1) - \binom{k}{2}.$$

For the upper bound on the other hand, we have using the union bound that

$$|S| \leq \left| \bigcup (\ell_i \setminus \mathcal{P}') \right| + |\mathcal{P}'|.$$

Since any  $\ell_i$  must intersect  $\mathcal{P}'$  somewhere (and  $\mathcal{P}'$  is non-empty), we can use the union bound once more to get that

$$|S| \leq k(p+1-1) + |\mathcal{P}'| \leq kp + 1. \quad \blacksquare$$

**Lemma 1.7.** Let  $\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}'$  be  $p$ -admissible incidence systems. Suppose that the union of  $m$  lines of  $\mathcal{Y}$  is equal to the union of  $k$  lines of  $\mathcal{Y}'$ . If  $\binom{k}{2} < p$ ,  $m = k$ .

**Lemma 1.8.** Let  $k$  be a positive integer and  $x_i$  for  $0 \leq i < k$  be non-negative such that  $2^k - 1 = \sum_i 2^i x_i$ . Then,  $\sum_i x_i \geq k$  with equality iff all the  $x_i$  are 1.

We omit the proof of the above as it follows by a doable inductive argument.

**Lemma 1.9.** Let  $p$  be a prime and  $\mathcal{Y}$  a  $p$ -admissible incidence system with exactly  $k$  lines  $(\ell_i)_{i=0}^{k-1}$ . Consider the word  $w \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{Y})$  defined by  $w = \sum_{0 \leq i < k} 2^i \ell_i$ . Let  $\pi$  be a projective plane of order  $p$ , and suppose  $w' \in \mathcal{C}_p(\pi)$  with  $\text{type}(w) = \text{type}(w')$ .

If  $p \geq 2^k$ , there are lines  $(\ell'_i)_{i=0}^{k-1}$  of  $\pi$  such that  $w' = \sum_{0 \leq i < k} 2^i \ell'_i$ . Further, there is a monomorphism  $f$  from  $\mathcal{Y}$  into  $\pi$  such that  $\ell'_i = f(\ell_i)$  for each  $i$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$  be the point sets of  $\pi, \mathcal{Y}$ . For integers  $i \geq 0$  and  $x \geq 0$ , let  $\delta_i(x)$  be the  $i$ th digit from the right in the binary representation of  $x$  (0-indexed). Note that

$$\ell_i = \{Q \in \mathcal{Q} : \delta_i(w_Q) = 1\}.$$

Inspired by this, define

$$\ell'_i = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : \delta_i(w'_P) = 1\}.$$

We have  $w' = \sum_{0 \leq i < k} 2^i \ell'_i$ . Since  $\text{type}(w) = \text{type}(w')$ , there exists a bijection  $f : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$  such that  $w' = w \circ f$ . Thus, for  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$  and any  $i$ ,

$$Q \in \ell_i \iff \delta_i(w_Q) = 1 \iff \delta_i(w_{f(Q)}) = 1 \iff f(Q) \in \ell'_i.$$

So,  $f(\ell_i) = \ell'_i$  for each  $i$ . If we manage to show that the  $\ell'_i$  are actually lines in  $\pi$ , then  $f$  is a monomorphism from  $\mathcal{Y}$  to  $\pi$  and we are done.

Let  $S' = \bigcup_i \ell'_i$ . Observe that because  $p \geq 2^k$ ,  $\text{supp } w' = S'$ .

The proof strategy is as follows: we show that  $S'$  contains precisely  $k$  lines of  $\pi$ , then show that replacing these  $k$  lines with the  $k$  lines of the isomorphic image of  $\mathcal{Y}$  in  $\pi$  yields another projective plane, then use Lemma 1.4 to conclude that the two projective planes are the same since the number of common lines is at least  $p^2 + p + 1 - k \geq p^2 + 1$ . Let us first show that replacing the lines yields a projective plane once more.

**Claim.** For any  $\ell \subsetneq S'$  of  $\pi$ ,  $|\ell \cap \ell'_i| = 1$ .

First, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 \leq i < k} 2^i |\ell \cap \ell'_i| &= \sum_{x \in \ell} \sum_{0 \leq i < k} 2^i \ell'_i(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \ell} w'_x. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now compute the value of this in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Using In  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{P}} w'_x = \langle w', \mathbf{1} \rangle = \sum_{0 \leq i < k} 2^i |\ell'_i| = \sum_{0 \leq i < k} 2^i = 2^k - 1.$$

Using Lemma 1.2,  $\langle w', \ell \rangle = 2^k - 1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Since  $p \geq 2^k$ , we have for any  $y \notin S'$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (p+1)(2^k - 1) &\leq \sum_{\ell \ni y} \sum_{x \in \ell} w'_x \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{P}} w'_x \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{0 \leq i < k} 2^i \ell'_i(x) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i < k} 2^i \sum_{x \in \mathcal{P}} \ell'_i(x) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i < k} 2^i (p+1) = (p+1)(2^k - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any line  $\ell \subsetneq S'$  (which means such a  $y$  exists),  $\sum_{x \in \ell} w'_x = 2^k - 1$ .

Going back to what we were working with,

$$\sum_{0 \leq i < k} 2^i |\ell \cap \ell'_i| = 2^k - 1.$$

By Lemma 1.8,  $\sum_i |\ell \cap \ell'_i| \geq k$ . Now, for any  $y \notin S'$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (p+1)k &\leq \sum_{\ell \ni y} \sum_{0 \leq i < k} |\ell \cap \ell'_i| \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i < k} \sum_{\ell \ni y} |\ell \cap \ell'_i| \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i < k} |\ell'_i| = (p+1)k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\sum_i |\ell \cap \ell'_i| = k$ , and it follows using Lemma 1.8 that  $|\ell \cap \ell'_i| = 1$  for all  $i$ .  $\square$

Next, let us show that  $S'$  contains exactly  $k$  lines of  $\pi$ .

If for some  $x \neq y$  in  $\ell'_i$ ,  $\ell$  is the line of  $\pi$  incident on the two, then we must have by the claim that  $\ell \subseteq S'$ . It follows that  $S'$  is the union of some  $m$  lines of  $\pi$  as well as  $k$  lines of  $\mathcal{Y}'$ . However,  $p > \binom{k}{2}$ , so Lemma 1.7 implies that  $m = k$ .

Using the procedure described earlier to replace these  $k$  lines of  $\pi$  constituting  $S'$  with those corresponding to  $\mathcal{Y}$ , we get that both sets of lines are in fact the same, and therefore, all the  $\ell'_i$  are lines of  $\pi$ , completing the proof.  $\blacksquare$

Let us now move to the meat of this particular section.

**Definition 1.14.** Given incidence systems  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ , define

1.  $I(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$  to be the number of monomorphisms from  $\mathcal{Y}$  into  $\mathcal{X}$ ,
2.  $i(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$  to be the number of isomorphic copies of  $\mathcal{Y}$  that are subsystems of  $\mathcal{X}$ , and
3.  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$  to be the automorphism group of  $\mathcal{X}$  (under composition).

**Lemma 1.10.** For any incidence systems  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ ,

$$I(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}) = |\text{Aut}(\mathcal{Y})| \cdot i(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}).$$

We omit the proof of the above as it is straightforward.

Denote by  $\mathcal{J}_p$  the set of all  $p$ -tuples  $\underline{j} = (j_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p)$  such that  $|\underline{j}| = \sum j_\alpha = p^2 + p + 1$ . Note that  $\text{type}(w) \in \mathcal{J}_p$  for any  $w \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{X})$  if  $\mathcal{X}$  has  $p^2 + p + 1$  points.

**Theorem 1.11.** Let  $\pi$  be a projective plane of prime order  $p$ , and let  $f(\underline{X}) = \sum_{\underline{j} \in \mathcal{J}_p} a_{\underline{j}} \underline{X}^{\underline{j}}$  be the complete weight enumerator of  $\mathcal{C}_p(\pi)$ . That is,  $a_{\underline{j}}$  is the number of words of type  $\underline{j}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_p(\pi)$ . Then, for any PLS  $\mathcal{X}$  with at most  $\log_2 p$  lines, there are rationals  $\alpha_{\underline{j}}$  for  $\underline{j} \in \mathcal{J}_p$  depending only on  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $p$  such that

$$i(\mathcal{X}, \pi) = \sum_{\underline{j} \in \mathcal{J}_p} \alpha_{\underline{j}} a_{\underline{j}}.$$

*Proof.* Observe that up to isomorphism, there exist a finite number of  $p$ -admissible systems  $\mathcal{Y}_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ) with exactly  $k$  lines such that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a subsystem of  $\mathcal{Y}_j$ .

For any isomorphic image  $\mathcal{X}'$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  in  $\pi$ , there exists a unique isomorphic image  $\mathcal{Y}'_j$  of some  $\mathcal{Y}_j$  in  $\pi$  such that  $\mathcal{X}'$  is a subsystem of  $\mathcal{Y}_j$ . Indeed,  $\mathcal{Y}'_j$  is the unique subsystem of  $\pi$  whose lines are merely the lines  $\ell'$  of  $\pi$  as  $\ell$  varies over the lines of  $\mathcal{X}'$ , where  $\ell'$  is the unique line in  $\pi$  that contains  $\ell$ . Therefore,

$$i(\mathcal{X}, \pi) = \sum_{j=1}^m i(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}_j) i(\mathcal{Y}_j, \pi).$$

So, it suffices to show that letting  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_j$ , there exist some rational  $\beta_{\underline{j}}$  depending only on  $\mathcal{Y}$  such that

$$I(\mathcal{Y}, \pi) = \sum_{\underline{j}} a_{\underline{j}} \beta_{\underline{j}}.$$

We use Lemma 1.10 to consider  $I$  instead of  $i$ .

Now, let us number the  $k$  lines of  $\mathcal{Y}$  as  $(\ell_i)_{i=1}^k$ , where  $p \geq 2^k$ . Fix  $w = \sum_{i=1}^k 2^i \ell_i \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{Y})$  and  $\underline{j} = \text{type}(w) \in \mathcal{J}_p$ .

For any monomorphism  $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \pi$ , consider the word  $w \circ f^{-1}$ . This word is one of the  $a_{\underline{j}}$  words of type  $\underline{j}$ .



Conversely, let  $w' \in \mathcal{C}_p(\pi)$  be of type  $\underline{j}$  and let  $f$  be one of the  $\underline{j}!$  bijection from the point set of  $\mathcal{Y}$  to the point set of  $\pi$  satisfying  $w' = w \circ f^{-1}$ . By Lemma 1.9,  $f$  is a monomorphism! Therefore,

$$I(\mathcal{Y}, \pi) = \underline{j}!a_{\underline{j}},$$

completing the proof. ■

**Corollary 1.12.** Let  $\pi, \sigma$  be two projective planes of prime order  $p$  such that their codes  $\mathcal{C}_p(\pi)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_p(\sigma)$  have the same complete weight enumerator. Then, for any PLS  $\mathcal{X}$  with at most  $\log_2 p$  lines,  $i(\mathcal{X}, \pi) = i(\mathcal{X}, \sigma)$ .

Define the partial linear space  $\mathbb{P}$ , known as the *Pappian configuration*, defined as follows. Fix a point-line incident pair  $(x, \ell)$  in  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$ . The points of  $\mathbb{P}$  are the points of  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$  not incident on  $\ell$ , and lines are the intersections of lines non-incident on  $x$  with this point set.

To visualize it slightly better, suppose we have two non-intersecting lines  $x_1x_2x_3$  and  $y_1y_2y_3$ . Add new points

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= (x_2 \vee y_3) \wedge (x_3 \vee y_2) \\ z_2 &= (x_3 \vee y_1) \wedge (x_1 \vee y_3) \\ z_3 &= (x_1 \vee y_2) \wedge (x_2 \vee y_1). \end{aligned}$$

Then, the point set of  $\mathbb{P}$  is all the  $x_i, y_i, z_i$ , and the lines are

$$x_1x_2x_3, y_1y_2y_3, z_1z_2z_3, x_iz_{i+1}y_{i+2}, x_iz_{i-1}y_{i-2}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , where the additions/subtractions are done modulo 3.

**Definition 1.15** (Pappian Projective Plane). Let us call a pair of sets  $\alpha, \beta$  of points in a projective plane  $\pi$  to be admissible if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are collinear triples and no four points of  $\alpha \sqcup \beta$  are collinear (so the intersection point of the two lines is in neither  $\alpha$  nor  $\beta$ ).  $\pi$  is said to be *Pappian* if for every pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of admissible triples and bijection  $f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ , there is a unique isomorphic copy of  $\mathbb{P}$  in  $\pi$  such that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are lines in  $\mathbb{P}$  and for each  $x \in \alpha$ ,  $x$  and  $f(x)$  are non-collinear in  $\mathbb{P}$ .

We give the following famous result from projective geometry without proof.

**Theorem 1.13.** A projective plane is Pappian iff it is the projective plane over a division ring. In particular, by Wedderburn's Theorem, a finite projective plane is Pappian iff it is a field plane.

**Theorem 1.14.** Let  $\pi$  be a projective plane of order  $n$ . Then

$$i(\mathbb{P}, \pi) \leq \frac{2}{3} \binom{n^2 + n + 1}{2} \binom{n}{3}^2.$$

Equality holds iff  $\pi$  is a field plane.

*Proof.* To determine an isomorphic copy of  $\mathbb{P}$  in  $\pi$ , we require

1. two lines  $\ell_1, \ell_2$ . There are  $2 \binom{n^2 + n + 1}{2}$  ways of doing this.
2. three points from each of the two lines, none of which are equal to  $\ell_1 \wedge \ell_2$ . There are  $\binom{n}{3}^2$  ways of doing this.
3. a bijection  $f$  between the two triplets of points. There are 6 of these.

Further, there are 18 repeats of each copy of  $\mathbb{P}$ , so

$$i(\mathbb{P}, \pi) \leq \frac{12}{18} \binom{n^2 + n + 1}{2} \binom{n}{3}^2,$$

with equality iff  $\pi$  is Pappian. ■

Combining Theorem 1.14 and corollary 1.12, we get the following.

**Theorem 1.15.** Let  $\pi$  be a projective plane of prime order  $p$  that has the same complete weight enumerator as  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{F}_p)$ . If  $p > 2^9$ ,  $\pi$  is isomorphic to  $\text{PG}(2, \mathbb{F}_p)$ .

## 1.4. Rigidity Theorems on Partial Linear Spaces

**Definition 1.16** (Induced structure). Given a partial linear space  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  and a  $\mathcal{P}' \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  such that no line in  $\mathcal{L}$  intersects  $\mathcal{P}'$  in exactly one point, one can easily come up with a partial linear space  $(\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}')$  by restricting to those lines in  $\mathcal{L}$  which intersect  $\mathcal{P}'$ . This is known as the *induced structure* on  $\mathcal{P}'$ .

**Definition 1.17** (Join). Given two partial linear spaces  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$  and  $(\mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{L}_2)$  with  $\mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_2 = \emptyset$ , one can define the *join* of the two partial linear spaces by  $(\mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2 \cup \mathcal{L}_3)$ , where

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = \{\{x, y\} : x \in \mathcal{P}_1, y \in \mathcal{P}_2\}.$$

**Theorem 1.16.** If a PLS  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is non-trivial at  $p$  and has at least  $n + 1$  lines through every point, then  $|\mathcal{P}| \geq 2n + 2 - 2n/p$ . Moreover, equality holds iff  $\mathcal{X}$  is the join of two Steiner 2-designs with  $n/p$  lines through each point and  $p$  points on each line.

*Proof.* The backward direction of the iff statement is direct since each of the Steiner designs has  $n - (n/p - 1)$  points and their join thus has  $2n + 2 - 2n/p$  points. Similarly, there are  $n/p + (n - n/p + 1) = n + 1$  lines through each point in the join.

The converse is trivial for  $p = 2$ , so assume  $p > 2$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}')$  be a PLS which is non-trivial at  $p$ , has at least  $n+1$  lines through every point, and with  $|\mathcal{P}'| \leq 2n+2-2n/p$ . Denote  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{X})$ . Let  $w$  be a word of minimum weight in  $\mathcal{C}^\top$ , and  $\mathcal{P}$  be the support of  $w$  (the set of coordinates where  $w$  is nonzero). Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}_0)$  be the induced structure on  $\mathcal{P}$  – it is a partial linear space such that  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_0}^\top$  is generated by the restriction of  $w$  to  $\mathcal{P}$ . Obviously,  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is non-trivial at  $p$ , and a subset  $\ell$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_0$  iff its characteristic function is in the dual of  $\langle w \rangle$ .

Now, repeatedly perform the following sequence of operations on  $\mathcal{L}_0$  until it is no longer possible to do so:

1. Choose  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_0$  that can be written as  $\ell = \ell' \cup \ell''$ , where  $\ell'$  (and so  $\ell''$ ) is in  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_0}$ .
2. Replace  $\ell$  with  $\ell'$  and  $\ell''$ .

Finally, we have a set of lines in  $\mathcal{P}$  such that no proper subset of a line is in  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_0}$ . Let this new set of lines be  $\mathcal{L}$  (this is not uniquely defined).  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  satisfies the following properties.

- (a) There are at least  $n + 1$  lines through every point.
- (b)  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_0}$ .
- (c)  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}$  does not contain the characteristic function of a proper non-empty subset of any line in  $\mathcal{L}$ .
- (d)  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}$  is one-dimensional and  $\mathcal{P}$  is the support of its generator  $w$ .

**Claim.** Denote by  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{P}'', \mathcal{L}'')$  the join of two Steiner designs of the given form.  $(\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}')$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{X}$  if and only if  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{X}$ .

The forward direction of the above is obvious. For the converse, let us show that  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}) = (\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}')$ . Since

$$2n + 2 - \frac{2n}{p} = |\mathcal{P}| \leq |\mathcal{P}'| \leq 2n + 2 - \frac{2n}{p},$$

$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}'$ .

Note that  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is a linear space. If we had replaced any line with its partition when going from  $\mathcal{L}_0$  to  $\mathcal{L}$ , then this would not have been possible. Indeed, if there was a line  $\ell \ni x, y$  replaced with  $\ell, \ell'$  such that  $x \in \ell, y \in \ell'$ , then there would be no line incident on both  $x$  and  $y$ , contradicting the fact that  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is a linear space. More generally, this implies that if we apply the partitioning process described above, then the second PLS being a linear space implies that both PLSes are equal.

Therefore,  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}')$ . □

For the rest of the proof, we work with this PLS.

For each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , let  $x_P, y_P, z_P$  be number of lines through  $P$  of cardinalities 2, 3, 4 respectively. Fix  $Q \in \mathcal{P}$  of minimal  $x_Q$ . Now, colour  $\mathcal{P}$  with  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , by colouring each point  $P$  as  $w_P$  (the  $P$ th coordinate). Assume that  $Q$  is coloured  $-1$ . Since any line is in the dual of  $\langle w \rangle$ , the sum of colours on any line is 0 modulo  $p$ .

By property (c), the colours of any non-empty proper subset of a line do not add to 0 modulo  $p$ .

Therefore, the lines of size 2 are precisely those that have colours  $\alpha$  and  $-\alpha$  (for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ ) and any monochromatic line has length  $p$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of all used colours (all the values in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  that are equal to some  $w_P$ ). Further,  $0 \notin \mathcal{S}$  since  $w_P \neq 0$  for any  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then, letting  $S_P$  be the set of all points that are on a line passing through  $P$ , we can use the fact that there is at most one line passing through a pair of distinct points to conclude that

$$1 + x_P + 2y_P + 3z_P + 4(n + 1 - x_P - y_P - z_P) \leq |S_P| \leq 2n + 2 - \frac{2n}{p},$$

so

$$2n + 3 + \frac{2n}{p} \leq 3x_P + 2y_P + z_P. \quad (1.1)$$

Similarly, applying this to only  $x_P$  and  $y_P$ , we get

$$n + 2 + \frac{2n}{p} \leq 2x_P + y_P. \quad (1.2)$$

Let  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_m$  be all the lines through  $P$  of cardinality at least 4. Then,

$$|S_A| \geq 1 + x_P + 2(n + 1 - x_P - m) + \sum_{i=1}^m (|\ell_i| - 1)$$

and so,

$$x_P \geq 1 + \frac{2n}{p} + \sum_{i=1}^m (|\ell_i| - 3) \geq 1 + \frac{2n}{p}. \quad (1.3)$$

Since the number of size 2 lines through any point is at least  $x_Q$ , for any  $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ , there are at least  $x_Q$  points of colour  $-\alpha$ . Because  $x_Q > 0$  by Equation (1.3), this implies that  $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$  iff  $-\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ , and this together with  $0 \notin \mathcal{S}$  implies that  $|\mathcal{S}|$  is even, say  $2r$  for some  $0 < r \leq (p-1)/2$ . As there are at least  $x_Q$  points of any colour  $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

$$rx_Q \leq n + 1 - \frac{n}{p}. \quad (1.4)$$

This together with the previous equation yields that

$$r \leq \frac{n + 1 - n/p}{1 + 2n/p} < \frac{p-1}{2},$$

where the second inequality uses the fact that  $p \geq 3$ . Therefore,  $|\mathcal{S}| < p - 1$ .

**Claim.** If  $r = 1$ , then  $|\mathcal{P}| = 2n + 2 - 2n/p$  and  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is isomorphic to the join of two Steiner 2-designs of the described form.

As  $r = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{S} = \{-1, 1\}$  and any line is of size either 2 or  $p$ . Let  $X_i$  be the number of points of colour  $i$  for  $i \in \mathcal{S}$ . Since the number of size 2 lines through any  $P$  of colour  $i$  is at most  $|X_{-i}|$ ,  $|x_Q| \leq n + 1 - n/p$ . Consequently, letting  $S_Q$  be all the points that are on a line through  $Q$ ,

$$2n + 2 - \frac{2n}{p} \geq |S_Q| \geq 1 + \underbrace{(p-1)\frac{n}{p}}_{p\text{-lines through } Q} + \underbrace{\left(n + 1 - \frac{n}{p}\right)}_{2\text{-lines through } Q} = 2n + 2 - \frac{2n}{p},$$

so  $x_Q = n + 1 - n/p$ , there are precisely  $n/p$  lines through  $Q$ , and  $|S_Q| = 2n + 2 - 2n/p$ . This implies that  $|X_1| = |X_{-1}| = n + 1 - n/p$ , and so that the number of size 2 lines (resp. size  $p$  lines) through any  $A$  is exactly  $n + 1 - n/p$  (resp.  $n/p$ ).

Each of the two  $X_i$ s is isomorphic to a Steiner 2-design with  $n/p$  lines through each point and  $p$  points on each line, so  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is isomorphic to the join of two Steiner 2-designs of the prescribed form.  $\square$

Now, consider the case where  $r \geq 2$ . We shall show that this situation cannot occur at all.

Consider the graph  $G_Q$  with vertex set  $\mathcal{S}$  where  $\alpha, \beta$  are adjacent iff  $\alpha + \beta$  is equal to 0 or 1 (in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ). Note that for any  $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$  of degree 1 and 3-line  $L$  through  $Q$ ,  $L \cap X_\alpha$  is either empty or equal to  $\{Q\}$  (in the case where  $\alpha = -1$ ). In particular, the degree of 1 in  $G_Q$  is one so no 3-line passes through a point of colour 1.

**Claim.**  $G_Q$  is acyclic.

The only possible loop (edge from a vertex to itself) is at  $(p+1)/2$ . Consider a cycle  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_m \alpha_1$ .  $m$  must be even since the two types of edges alternate. This pattern of edges also implies that  $m$  is a multiple of  $2p$  (consider the sum of all  $\alpha_i$ ). However, this is not possible since  $m \leq |\mathcal{S}| < p - 1$ , so  $G_Q$  contains no cycles. Any connected component of  $G_Q$  is a path, with possibly a loop at one end due to  $(p+1)/2$ .  $\square$

**Claim.**  $G_Q$  is not connected.

Suppose instead that  $G_Q$  is connected. By the previous claim, it is then just a path. If 1 is the only vertex of degree one, then this path is equal to  $1(-1)2(-2) \cdots (\frac{p-1}{2})(\frac{p+1}{2})$  since there must be a loop at the other end. In this case however,  $|\mathcal{S}| = p - 1$ , which is not possible. So, there is another  $-r \in \mathcal{S}$  of degree one, and the path is of the form  $1(-1)2(-2) \cdots r(-r)$  for  $1 < r < (p-1)/2$ .

Let  $T = \mathcal{P} \setminus (\{Q\} \cup X_{-r})$ . Since  $r > 1$ ,

$$|T| \leq 2n + 2 - \frac{2n}{p} - (1 + x_Q).$$

Let  $l$  be the number of lines through  $Q$  of size  $> 2$  that contain at most one point from  $T$ . Observe that any size 2 line through  $Q$  has exactly one point from  $T$ . Counting points in  $T$  that lie on lines through  $Q$ ,

$$|T| \geq 2(n + 1 - x_Q - l) + x_Q.$$

Combining the above two equations,

$$l \geq \frac{n}{p} + \frac{1}{2} > \frac{n}{p}.$$

Let  $\ell$  be such a line. We now use the fact that the sum of colours on a line is 0.

If  $\ell \cap T = \emptyset$ , then it contains at least  $(p-1)/r$  points from  $X_{-r}$  and thus at least  $(p+r-1)/r$  points in all.

If  $\ell$  does contain one point from  $T$ , then the colour of this point is  $1 + (|\ell| - 2)r$  modulo  $p$ .

If  $1 + (|\ell| - 2)r$  is greater than  $p$  (as a number), then  $|\ell| \geq 2 + (p-1)/r \geq (p+r-1)/r$ . Otherwise, we must have that this number is itself in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Since  $|\ell| > 2$ , this number is greater than  $r$  so must be in  $\{p-r, \dots, p-1\}$ . That is,  $1 + (|\ell| - 2)r \geq p - r$ . This yields once more that  $|\ell| \geq (p+r-1)/r$ .

Since  $r < (p-1)/2$ ,  $|\ell| > 3$ . Thus, we can use Equation (1.3) to get that

$$x_Q > 1 + \frac{2n}{p} + \frac{n}{p} \left( \frac{p+r-1}{r} - 3 \right) = 1 + \frac{n}{r} - \frac{n}{pr},$$

which contradicts Equation (1.4).  $\square$

Thus, suppose that  $G_Q$  is disconnected. Let  $\mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  be the set of all degree one colours. As  $G_Q$  is disconnected,  $|\mathcal{S}'| \geq 3$ .

Consider the set of points in  $\mathcal{P} \setminus \{Q\}$  that are on size 3 lines through  $Q$ . This set is of size  $2y_Q$ , and does not intersect any  $X_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'$ . Therefore,

$$2n + 2 - \frac{2n}{p} \geq 2y_Q + |\mathcal{S}'|x_Q. \quad (1.5)$$

We may then use Equation (1.2) to conclude that  $|\mathcal{S}'| < 4$ , and is so exactly 3.

Combining Equations (1.2) and (1.5),  $x_Q \geq 2 + 6n/p$ , and  $r = |\mathcal{S}|/2$  is  $< p/6$ .

$G_Q$  has two connected components of the form

$$1(-1)2(-2) \cdots t(-t)$$

for some  $1 \leq t < r$  and

$$\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{p+3}{2}\right) \left(\frac{p-3}{2}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p+1}{2} - (r-t)\right),$$

with the vertices of degree 1 being 1,  $-t$  and  $\alpha = (p+1)/2 - (r-t)$ . Consider

$$T = \{Q\} \cup X_{-t} \cup X_\alpha \cup \mathcal{P}_2 \cup \mathcal{P}_3,$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_i$  is the set of points in  $\mathcal{P} \setminus \{Q\}$  that are on size  $i$  lines through  $Q$ . We have that

$$|T| \geq 3x_Q + 2y_Q.$$

If every size 4 line through  $Q$  intersects  $\mathcal{P} \setminus T$ ,

$$2n + 2 - \frac{2n}{p} \geq z_Q + |T| \geq z_Q + 2y_Q + 3x_Q,$$

which contradicts Equation (1.1). Therefore, there exists a size 4 line  $\ell$  through  $Q$  contained in  $T$ . Further, since there is at most one line incident on a pair of points,  $\ell \subseteq \{Q\} \cup X_{-t} \cup X_\alpha$ .

If  $\ell$  contains  $0 \leq i \leq 3$  points from  $X_\alpha$ , then the sum of colours of  $\ell$  is  $-1 + (-t)(3-i) + \alpha i$ . This must be a multiple of  $p$ . Substituting each of the values of  $i$ , this is one of

$$3t+1, \quad 2(r+t)+1, \quad 2r-t, \quad 6(r-t)-1,$$

none of which can be a multiple of  $p$  since  $1 \leq t < r < p/6$ , completing the proof.  $\blacksquare$

## §2. Combinatorial Methods

### 2.1. Combinatorial Nullstellensatz

The reader is likely familiar with the following famous theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** (Hilbert’s Nullstellensatz). Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an algebraically closed field and  $f, g_1, \dots, g_m$  be elements of the ring  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of polynomials such that  $f$  vanishes on all common zeroes of the  $(g_i)$ . Then, there is an integer  $k$  and polynomials  $h_1, \dots, h_m$  in  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that

$$f^k = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i h_i.$$

Before we get to the main result of this section which is essentially an interesting form of the above when the  $g_i$  take a specific form, we give a lemma related to the size of a ‘cube’ required to evaluate a polynomial at to determine if it is the 0 polynomial.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $P = P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a polynomial over an arbitrary field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Suppose that for each  $i$ ,  $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  with  $|S_i| > \deg_i(P)$ . If  $P(s_1, \dots, s_n) = 0$  for all choices of  $s_i \in S_i$  for each  $i$ , then  $P$  is identically 0.

*Proof.* We prove this by induction on  $n$ . When  $n = 1$ , this is direct as it merely states that a polynomial of degree at most  $t$  has at most  $t$  zeroes. Suppose that the statement is true for  $n - 1$ . Let  $t_i = \deg_i(P)$  for each  $i$ . Write  $P$  as a sum

$$P = \sum_{i=0}^{t_n} x_n^i P_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}),$$

where each  $P_i$  is a polynomial with  $\deg_j$  bounded above by  $t_j$ . Observe that for any fixed tuple  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_{n-1}$ , the polynomial obtained from  $P$  by substituting the values of  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  vanishes on  $S_n$ , and thus by the  $n = 1$  case, is identically zero. Therefore, each  $P_i$  vanishes on  $S_1 \times \dots \times S_{n-1}$ . Applying the inductive hypothesis, each  $P_i$  is thus identically 0, yielding that  $P$  is identically 0 and completing the proof. ■

Later in Corollary 2.4, we give a much stronger version of this

**Theorem 2.3** (Combinatorial Nullstellensatz). Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an algebraically closed field and  $S_1, \dots, S_n \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ . Define

$$g_i(x_i) = \prod_{s_i \in S_i} (x_i - s_i)$$

for each  $i$ . Let  $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  vanish on all common zeroes of the  $(g_i)$ , that is,  $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) = 0$  if  $s_i \in S_i$  for each  $i$ . Then, there are polynomials  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  in  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i h_i.$$

and  $\deg(h_i) \leq \deg(f) - \deg(g_i)$  for each  $i$ .

Moreover, if  $f, g_1, \dots, g_n \in R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  for some subring  $R$  of  $\mathbb{F}$ , then there are polynomials  $h_i \in R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  satisfying the above.

*Proof.* Let  $t_i = |S_i| - 1$  for each  $i$ . For each  $i$ , write  $g(x_i) = x_i^{t_i+1} - g_0(x_i)$  – note that  $g_0$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $t_i$ . For each  $x_i \in S_i$ , we then have

$$x_i^{t_i+1} = g_0(x_i).$$

Now, take the polynomial  $f$  and subtract polynomials of the form  $h_i g_i$ , each of which replaces the higher degree terms of  $x_i$  (terms with  $x_i^r$  for  $r > t_i$ ) with a lower degree one using the above equation, to get a polynomial  $f_0$ . Observe that this polynomial  $f_0$  vanishes on  $S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n$ , and  $\deg_i(f_0) \leq t_i$  for each  $i$ . We can then use Lemma 2.2 to conclude that  $f_0$  is identically zero, and thus that  $f$  is equal to  $\sum_i h_i g_i$ , completing the proof. ■

The simple proof above betrays the surprising usefulness of this result.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $P = P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a polynomial over an arbitrary field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\deg(f) = \sum_i t_i$ , and let there exist a  $x_1^{t_1} x_2^{t_2} \cdots x_n^{t_n}$  term in the polynomial with non-zero coefficient. Suppose that for each  $i$ ,  $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  with  $|S_i| > t_i$ . If  $P(s_1, \dots, s_n) = 0$  for all choices of  $s_i \in S_i$  for each  $i$ , then  $P$  is identically 0.

*Proof.* Let us assume that  $|S_i| = t_i + 1$  for each  $i$ .

Suppose that the claim does not hold and let  $g_i(x_i) = \prod_{s_i \in S_i} (x_i - s_i)$  for each  $i$ . Combinatorial Nullstellensatz then implies that

$$P = \sum_i h_i g_i$$

for polynomials  $h_i$  of degree at most  $\deg(f) - \deg(g_i)$ . Now, any monomial of degree  $\deg(f)$  must come from one of the  $h_i g_i$ . However, any term in these polynomials are divisible by  $x_i^{|S_i|} = x_i^{t_i+1}$ , which implies that there is no  $x_i^{t_i}$  term in  $P$ , yielding a contradiction and completing the proof. ■