# BAGCHI'S CONJECTURE

### Amit Rajaraman

Last updated May 10, 2022

#### **Contents**

1 Definitions 1
2 Inamdar's Result 2

# §1. Definitions

**Definition 1.1** (Incidence System). An *incidence system* is a pair  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is a set and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a set of subsets of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Elements of  $\mathcal{P}$  are called *points* and elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  are called *lines*. A line  $\ell$  is said to be *incident* on a point p if  $p \in \ell$ .

**Definition 1.2** (Partial Linear Space). An incidence system  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is said to be a partial linear space if

- 1. for each  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $|\ell| \geq 2$ .
- 2. for distinct  $x, y \in \mathcal{P}$ , there is at most one  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $\{x, y\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ .

An incidence system is said to be a *linear space* if in the second condition above, the "at most one" is replaced with "exactly one".

**Definition 1.3.** Given an incidence system  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  and a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , we define the linear code  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathcal{X})$  over  $\mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{P}}$  as follows. Identify each line  $\ell$  with the codeword in  $\mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{P}}$  whose xth coordinate is 1 if  $x \in \ell$  and 0 otherwise.  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathcal{X})$  is then the space spanned by the codewords corresponding to the lines in  $\mathcal{L}$ . If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_q$ , we sometimes denote the above as  $\mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{X})$ .

We also often denote this as  $C_q(\mathcal{L})$  if the point set is clear from context. The incidence system  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to be *trivial* at q if  $C_q(\mathcal{X})$  is all of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathcal{P}}$ . **Definition 1.4** (Join). Given two partial linear spaces  $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$  and  $(\mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{L}_2)$  with  $\mathcal{P}_1 \cap \mathcal{P}_2 = \emptyset$ , one can define the *join* of the two partial linear spaces by  $(\mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2 \cup \mathcal{L}_3)$ , where

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = \{ \{x, y\} : x \in \mathcal{P}_1, y \in \mathcal{P}_2 \}.$$

## §2. Inamdar's Result

**Theorem 2.1.** If a PLS  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is non-trivial at p and has at least p+1 lines through every point, then  $|\mathcal{P}| \geq 2p$ . Moreover, equality holds iff  $\mathcal{X}$  is the join of two p-lines.

For the rest of this section, assume that  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$  is a partial linear space of the above prescribed format with  $\mathcal{P} \leq 2p$ . We wish to show that  $\mathcal{P} = 2p$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{X})$ . It may be shown that it can be assumed that

- 1. Each point is incident on exactly p+1 lines (throw away extra lines).
- 2.  $C^{\perp}$  is one-dimensional. Suppose that it is equal to  $\langle w \rangle$  (restrict to the support of the minimum support word in  $C^{\perp}$ ).
- 3. For any line  $\ell$  and any  $\ell' \subseteq \ell$ ,  $\langle w, \ell' \rangle \neq 0$  (do the splitting procedure).

Also consider the colouring of  $\mathcal{P}$  wherein each point P is coloured w(P).

**Proposition 2.2.** If  $\mathcal{X}$  has a p-line, it is equal to a join of two p-lines.

Proof. Let  $P_1 \cdots P_p$  be a p-line. Since each point has p lines remaining, there must be at least p points other than the  $P_i$ , say  $(Q_i)_{i=1}^p$ . Since  $|\mathcal{P}| \leq 2p$ , these constitute all the points. Further, since each  $P_i$  has p lines to the  $Q_j$ , there must be a 2-line  $P_iQ_j$  for each  $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ . Now, suppose that  $w(P_1) = 1$ . Because  $w(P_1) + w(Q_j) = 0$  for all j ( $P_1Q_j$  forms a p-line),  $w(Q_j) = -1$  for all j. Each of the  $Q_i$  now has one line not accounted for. This line must be contained within the  $Q_i$ . However, due to all of them having the same colour, the size of any such line must be p, completing the proof.

**Proposition 2.3.** If  $\mathcal{X}$  has no p-line, the largest line in  $\mathcal{X}$  is of size at most 2p/3.

*Proof.* Let  $\ell$  be a line with  $|\ell| > 2p/3$ . Given a  $P \in \ell$ , let  $x_P$  be the number of 2-lines through P. We then have that

$$2p - |\ell| \ge \underbrace{x_P}_{\text{points in 2-lines}} + \underbrace{2(p - x_P)}_{\text{points in } > 3\text{-lines}},$$

so  $x_P \ge \ell$ . Observe that if  $x_P > (2p - |\ell|)/2$  for all  $P \in \ell$ , it follows by a pigeonhole argument that any two points P,Q in  $\ell$  have a "2-neighbour" (a point u such that uP and uQ are 2-lines) in common. This is indeed the case because  $x_P \ge |\ell| > (2p/3) > (2p - |\ell|)/2$ . This in turn implies that w(P) = w(Q), because w(P) = -w(u) = w(Q). Therefore,  $\ell$  is monochromatic, so for any fixed  $P \in \ell$ ,

$$0 = \sum_{P \in \ell} w(P) = |\ell| w(P).$$

As  $w(P) \neq 0$ ,  $|\ell| = p$ , yielding a contradiction.

As a result, we are done if we manage to show that  $\mathcal{X}$  has a line of size greater than 2p/3.

Now, let us restrict ourselves to the case where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a linear space with exactly p+1 lines through each point. Let C be the  $m \times n$  incidence matrix of  $\mathcal{X}$ , where  $m = |\mathcal{L}|$  and  $n = |\mathcal{P}|$ . Observe that  $(C^tC)_{ij} = pI + J$ , where J is the all 1s matrix. As a result, its characteristic equation is just  $(X - (p+n))(X - p)^{n-1}$ . Recall that the characteristic matrix of  $CC^t$  and  $C^tC$  only differ by a power of X. That is, the characteristic equation of  $CC^t$  is  $X^{m-n}(X - (p+n))(X - p)^{n-1}$ . Over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , this becomes  $X^{m-1}(X - n)$ .

Suppose that y is an eigenvector with eigenvalue 0. That is,  $CC^ty = 0$ . A question to ask is: is  $C^ty$  always 0? If it is not, we can explicitly get a vector in  $C^{\perp}$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** There exists y in the kernel of  $CC^t$  such that  $C^t y \neq 0$  (in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ).

*Proof.* Indeed, note that the kernel of  $CC^t$  is of dimension m-1, whereas the kernel of  $C^t$  is of dimension at most m-n. It follows that the kernel of  $C^t$  is a proper subset of  $CC^t$ .

**Definition 2.1** (Irreducible Matrix). A matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$  is said to be *irreducible* if the (directed) graph  $G_A$  with vertex set [n] and edge from i to j iff  $a_{ij} \neq 0$  is strongly connected.

Observe that  $CC^t$  is irreducible! Indeed, given any lines  $\ell, \ell'$ , there is a line  $\ell''$  through some (any)  $x \in \ell$  and  $y \in \ell'$ .

**Theorem 2.5** (Perron-Frobenius Theorem). Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$  be a non-negative irreducible matrix. Then,

- 1. There exists a positive eigenvalue  $\lambda$  such that any other eigenvalue is of absolute value at most  $\lambda$ . Further, there is a number h called the *period* such that  $\lambda \omega_h^k \lambda$  is an eigenvalue for every  $0 \le k < h$ . These are the only eigenvalues with absolute value  $\lambda$ .
- 2.  $\lambda$  is a simple root of the characteristic polynomial. As a result, its corresponding eigenspace is one-dimensional.
- 3.  $\lambda$  has an eigenvector v with all positive entries. Any eigenvector with all positive entries is in the span of v.

Since  $CC^t$  is irreducible and non-negative with maximum eigenvalue n + p, there is an eigenvector v of n + p with all positive entries.

For example, we now have that

$$\begin{split} m(n+p) &= (n+p)\mathbf{1}^{\top}\mathbf{1} \\ &\geq \mathbf{1}^{\top}CC^{\top}\mathbf{1} \\ &= \sum_{\ell,\ell'\in\mathcal{L}} |\ell\cap\ell'| \\ &= \sum_{\ell\in\mathcal{L}} (p+1)|\ell| \qquad \qquad \text{(each point on $\ell$ has $p+1$ lines through it)} \\ &= (p+1)\cdot n(p+1) = n(p+1)^2 \end{split}$$

Since  $p + 2 < n \le 2p$ ,

$$m \ge \frac{n(p+1)^2}{n+p} > \frac{(p+1)^2}{2}.$$

The system has many lines! Can we use this to show that either there is a "large" line somewhere or the code is trivial?

Can we draw stronger conclusions by using another vector in place of 1? It seems that the ideal choice is to assign a larger weight to larger lines. What about the vector defined by  $v_{\ell} = |\ell|$ ? Recall that

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} |\ell| = n(p+1).$$

**Proposition 2.6.** The following bound is true.

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} |\ell|^2 = n(n+p).$$

*Proof.* Consider the vector v with  $v_{\ell} = |\ell|$ . Then,

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} |\ell|^2 = v^t v$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{n+p} v^t C C^t v$$

$$= \frac{1}{n+p} \left( \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \underbrace{v_{\ell}^2 |\ell|}_{|\ell|^3} + \sum_{\substack{\ell, \ell' \in \mathcal{L} \\ \ell \neq \ell' \\ \ell \cap \ell' \neq \varnothing}} v_{\ell} v_{\ell'} \right).$$

Let us compute the second quantity.

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\substack{\ell,\ell' \in \mathcal{L} \\ \ell \neq \ell' \\ \ell \cap \ell' \neq \varnothing}} v_{\ell} v_{\ell'} &= \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{\substack{\ell,\ell' \ni P \\ \ell \neq \ell'}} |\ell| |\ell'| \\ &= \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left( \sum_{\substack{\ell,\ell' \ni P \\ \ell \ni P}} |\ell| |\ell'| - \sum_{\substack{\ell \ni P \\ \ell \ni P}} |\ell|^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left( \sum_{\substack{\ell \ni P \\ \ell \ni P}} |\ell| \right)^2 - \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{\substack{\ell \ni P \\ \ell \ni P}} |\ell|^2. \end{split}$$

The second summation is just

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{\ell \ni P} |\ell|^2 = \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \sum_{P \in \ell} |\ell|^2 = \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} |\ell|^3.$$

The  $\sum |\ell|^3$  terms cancels out! Therefore, we get

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} |\ell|^2 \ge \frac{1}{n+p} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left( \sum_{\ell \ni P} |\ell| \right)^2.$$

On one hand, linearity and regularity imply that for any P,  $\sum_{\ell\ni P}|\ell|=(n-1)+(p+1)$ . Indeed, there is precisely one line through P and any point  $Q\ne P$ , and there are p+1 lines in all (in which P is present). Therefore,

$$\sum_{\ell \ni \mathcal{L}} |\ell|^2 \ge \frac{1}{n+p} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (n+p)^2 = n(n+p).$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality on the other hand,

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} |\ell|^2 \ge \frac{1}{n+p} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left( \sum_{\ell \ni P} |\ell| \right)^2$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{n(n+p)} \left( \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{\ell \ni P} |\ell| \right)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n(n+p)} \left( \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} |\ell|^2 \right)^2$$

$$n(n+p) \ge \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} |\ell|^2,$$

completing the proof.

In fact, one similarly gets that for any m,

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} |\ell|^{2m} \ge \frac{1}{n+p} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left( \sum_{\ell \ni P} |\ell|^m \right)^2.$$

The right is easily computable for m=0 (because of regularity) and m=1 (because of linearity). Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality as we did in the previous proof, one further gets that

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} |\ell|^{2m} \ge \frac{1}{n(n+p)} \left( \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} |\ell|^{m+1} \right)^2.$$

**Porism 2.7.** An eigenvector of  $CC^t$  corresponding to the eigenvalue n+p is the vector  $v: \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $v_{\ell} = |\ell|$ .

Consider the graph G with vertex set  $\mathcal{L}$ , and  $u \leftrightarrow v$  iff  $u \cap v \neq \emptyset$ . What do our conditions on the linear space correspond to?

- 1. Each point has exactly p+1 lines through it: any maximal clique in G is of size p+1.
- 2. There is a line passing through any two points (linearity): any two maximal cliques have a single point in common.

We wish to count the number of (maximal) cliques in the graph.

Note that each vertex  $\ell$  has degree  $|\ell|$ . As a result, the graph has  $\frac{1}{2}n(p+1)$  edges. Consider the random variable X that chooses a vertex with probability proportional to its degree (the measure of  $\{\ell\}$  is  $|\ell|/n(p+1)$ ). Note that this corresponds to the stationary distribution of a standard random walk on the graph! Further, we have that

$$\mathbb{E}d(v) \ge \frac{p+n}{p+1}.$$

Let D be the  $m \times m$  diagonal matrix with  $D_{ii} = |\ell_i|$ . Let  $A_G$  and  $L_G$  be the adjacency matrix and Laplacian of G respectively. Then, observe that

$$L_G = pD - A_G$$
 and  $CC^t = D + A_G$ .