

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/321907319>

Investigating the neural mechanisms of syntactic expectations

Poster · November 2017

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.32037.81129

CITATIONS

0

READS

49

3 authors, including:



[Leon O.H. Krocze](#)

Universität Regensburg

8 PUBLICATIONS 2 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[Thomas C. Gunter](#)

Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences

142 PUBLICATIONS 6,207 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Communicative cueing [View project](#)



Top-down mechanisms of language comprehension [View project](#)

Introduction

- Languages have dominant word orders¹ that allow listeners to build expectations about the structure of an upcoming sentence², making language processing more efficient
- Expectancy is therefore a potential confound in studies relating to syntactic complexity, because complex sentences are typically less frequent (i.e. unexpected) and vice versa
- We implemented a paradigm in which the expectation for a word order was coupled to speaker identity by manipulating the speaker-specific probability of a particular word order
- This paradigm allows to distinguish between the processing of syntactic complexity and the processing of syntactic expectations
- Ambiguous probe trials were used to measure top-down expectations both on a behavioral and on a neural level


Research questions

- Is there a functional differentiation between syntax and expectancy?
- How are top-down expectations for syntax implemented in the brain?

Methods


- Twenty-eight right-handed, native German participants completed a training session and a testing session on two consecutive days
- In regular trials, sentences had either an easy **Subject-Object-Verb (SOV)** or a complex **Object-Subject-Verb (OSV)** structure. In probe trials, sentences were syntactically ambiguous (determiners replaced with noise)
- Two speakers (male/female) were operationalized as **SOV-Speaker** (high-frequent SOV vs. low-frequent OSV) or **OSV-Speaker** (high-frequent OSV vs. low-frequent SOV). Speaker gender was balanced across participants
- Speaker-syntax coupling was established in the regular trials during the exposure phase. Speaker-specific expectations were monitored via probe trials
- In these probe trials, participants had to select the subject/object in a comprehension task. This allowed to infer whether a sentence was interpreted as SOV or OSV
- The Test Session was conducted inside the MRI-scanner (3-Tesla, Preprocessing and Analysis in SPM12, Clusters are FWE-corrected $p < .05$, cluster-forming-threshold $p < .001$)

Paradigm




Results

Regular Trials




Expectancy




Probe Trials

Adaptation of Expectations



After exposure to the speaker-syntax coupling, participants build-up specific syntactic expectations based on speaker identity.
(Speaker x Session x Position: $F(1,27) = 11.89$, $p = .002$)

Top-down speaker effect



Discussion

- Listeners adapted their syntactic expectations to the individual language use of a particular speaker
- We observed separate networks for syntactic complexity processing and the processing of expectancy based on speaker identity.
- Syntactic complexity effect was located in left-lateralized fronto-temporal regions (IFG pars opercularis, pMTG, premotor cortex, preSMA). Especially the left IFG and pMTG have been related to core linguistic computations of syntactic structure processing³
- Unexpected structures showed increased activation in a bilateral fronto-parietal network compared to expected structures (IFG pars orbitalis, MFG, Angular gyrus, preSMA, right IFG pars triangularis). This network might be related to pragmatic integration of speaker and syntax⁴
- Top-down effects in the absence of syntactic structure information (probe trials) were observed in cortical and sub-cortical areas including left Insula, left Putamen and ACC/preSMA. This regions possibly support controlled syntactic processing by means of re-sequencing^{4,5}

Conclusion

Separate networks for linguistic syntax processing and para-linguistic expectations based on speaker identity

Syntactic complexity processing in a left-lateralized fronto-temporal network

Higher-order integration of speaker-identity and syntactic information in a bilateral, right-dominant fronto-parietal network

Top-down effects of syntactic expectations are supported by cortical and subcortical brain regions underlying controlled syntactic processing

References

- Dryer, M.S. (2013). Order of Subject, Object and Verb. *The World Atlas of Language Structures Online*.
- Kuperberg, G. R. & Jaeger, T.F. (2015). What do we mean by prediction in language comprehension?. *Language, Cognition and Neuroscience*, 31(1), 32-59.
- Friederici, A.D. (2012). The cortical language circuit: from auditory to sentence comprehension. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 16(5), 262-268.
- Chan, S., Ryan, L. & Bever, T.G. (2013). Role of the striatum in language: Syntactic and conceptual sequencing. *Brain & Language*, 125(3), 283-294.
- Cona, G. & Semenza, C. (2017). Supplementary motor area as key structure for domain-general sequence processing: A unified account. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 72, 28-42.