# Linear Algebra Applications - Discrete Linear Dynamical Systems

## Alexander Xie<sup>1\*</sup>

#### alex.xie1@student.unsw.edu.au

## February 2025

#### Abstract

Dynamical systems are processes that evolve in time. Through forms of mathematical modelling, the study of dynamical systems is beneficial to comprehend a variety of natural phenomena. In particular, discrete linear dynamical systems are useful to model a scenario as a series of state snapshots. In this paper, we focus on discrete linear dynamical systems in the form of first-order homogenous matrix recurrence relation. We then illustrate the application of these systems through a real-world case study by modelling the spread of a virus.

# Contents

1	Discrete Linear Dynamical Systems	2
	1.1 A matrix recurrence relation	2
	1.2 Diagonalisation	2
	1.3 Case Study - Problems	4
2	Code Extracts	4

<sup>\*</sup>With support from Pau Battle

# 1 Discrete Linear Dynamical Systems

#### 1.1 A matrix recurrence relation

For a square matrix A and an initial state vector  $x_0$ , define the first-order homogenous recurrence:

$$x_t = Ax_{t-1}, \text{ for } t > 1.$$

Iterating yields a sequence of vectors  $x_0, Ax_0, A^2x_0, \ldots, A^kx_0, \ldots$  which represent the evolution of the system starting from  $x_0$ . This sequence is called the **orbit** of the system starting from  $x_0$ .

An important question to raise about this dynamical system is: what is its long-term behaviour? For example, if our system models a particular population of rabbits, it would be worthwhile to observe the population a few months ahead. What happens as  $t \to \infty$ ? Does the system have limiting behaviours?

To compute the elements in our orbit, we require an efficient method to compute  $A^n$  for large n, as repeated matrix multiplication is cumbersome.

#### 1.2 Diagonalisation

Recall that it is easy to multiply diagonal matrices (square matrices in which the entries outside the main diagonal are all zero):

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & & & & \\ & a_{22} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & & & & \\ & b_{22} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & b_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}b_{11} & & & & \\ & a_{22}b_{22} & & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & a_{nn}b_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This simplicity of multiplying diagonal matrices prompts us to investigate if A is similar to a diagonal matrix; does there exist an invertible matrix P and a diagonal matrix D such that A can be written as  $PDP^{-1}$ ? If so, then we say that A is **diagonalisable**. This condition is equivalent to AP = PD. Observe that it is easier to computer powers of a diagonalisable matrix:

$$A^{n} = (PDP^{-1})(PDP^{-1})\cdots(PDP^{-1})$$

$$= PD\underbrace{(P^{-1}P)}_{I}D\underbrace{(P^{-1}P)}_{I}D\cdots\underbrace{(P^{-1}P)}_{I}DP^{-1}$$

$$= PD^{n}P^{-1}$$

**Theorem 1.1.** A square matrix is diagonalisable if and only if it has n linearly independent eigenvectors.

*Proof.* ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is diagonalisable. Then there exists an invertible matrix  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and a diagonal matrix  $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that AP = PD.

Let  $P = (v_1 \quad v_2 \quad \cdots \quad v_n)$  where  $v_i$  are linearly independent columns, and let  $D = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ .

Writing AP = PD as columns, we have  $(Av_1 \quad Av_2 \quad \cdots \quad Av_n) = (\lambda_1 v_1 \quad \lambda_2 v_2 \quad \cdots \quad \lambda_n v_n)$ .

Thus,  $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots n$ . Hence,  $v_i$  is an eigenvector associated to  $\lambda_i$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Conversely, suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  has n linearly independent eigenvectors  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  associated to the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$  respectively. Then for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  we have  $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$ .

Let  $P = (v_1 \ v_2 \ \cdots \ v_n)$  where  $v_i$  are the columns. Note that P is invertible as the columns are linearly independent. Also, let  $D = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots \lambda_n)$ .

Now the equations  $Av_i = \lambda_i v_i$  for each i = 1, ..., n can be compactly expressed as AP = PD, which implies  $A = PDP^{-1}$ . Hence, A is diagonalisable.

Corollary 1.2. An eigen-formula for diagonalisable matrices.

In 1.1, observe that P and D are constructed by the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of A. So if  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  has n linearly independent eigenvectors  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  associated to the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ , then

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

**Example 1.3.** Diagonalise  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ , if possible.

We will first find the eigenvalues of A by solving  $\det(\lambda I - A) = 0$ :

$$0 = \det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & \lambda - 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & \lambda + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= (\lambda - 2) \det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -1 \\ 0 & \lambda + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= (\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 1)(\lambda + 1).$$

Thus the eigenvalues of A are  $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 2, \lambda_3 = -1$ .

To find the eigenvectors of A, we solve  $(\lambda_i I - A)v_i = 0$  for each i = 1, 2, 3.

By row reducing each equation, it can be shown that we have  $v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $v_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

The eigenvectors of A are clearly linearly independent, thus by 1.1, A is diagonalisable, and by 1.2, we can write A as  $PDP^{-1}$  where  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & -5 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

### 1.3 Case Study - Problems

1)

$$\begin{split} x(k+1) &= A(x(k)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1.03 & 0 & -c \\ 0.1 & 0.5 & c \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S_k \\ L_k \\ I_k \end{pmatrix} \\ &= S_k \begin{pmatrix} 1.03 \\ 0.1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + L_k \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{pmatrix} + I_k \begin{pmatrix} -c \\ c \\ 0.1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1.03S_k - cI_k \\ 0.1S_k + 0.5L_k + cI_k \\ 0.5L_k + 0.1I_k \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we have  $S_{k+1} = 1.03S_k - cI_k$ ,  $L_{k+1} = 0.1S_k + 0.5L_k + cI_k$  and  $I_{k+1} = 0.5L_k + 0.1I_k$ .

- **2**) Taking c = 0.3 and  $x(0) = (3340000, 2100, 1500)^T$ ,
  - (a)  $x(52) = A^{52}(x(0))$

Computing eigenvalues and eigenvectors is a routine exercise, so we will use numpy (see code extract 2a). It turns out that A is diagonalisable, thus  $A^{52} = PD^{52}P^{-1}$ , where P and D are the matrices in 1.2. Running the 2a Python code, the population after 52 weeks is 1702386.

# 2 Code Extracts