Separate Compilation

Rob Hackman

Winter 2025

University of Alberta

Table of Contents

Declarations and Definitions

The C Preprocessor

The Linker

Double Includes

Declarations and Definitions

We've created variables, functions, and structures. Everytime we've created one of these so far we've always both *declared* and *defined* it. There is a difference between definition and a declaration.

Declarations and Definitions

We've created variables, functions, and structures. Everytime we've created one of these so far we've always both *declared* and *defined* it. There is a difference between definition and a declaration.

- A declaration only asserts that an entity of a given type and name exists. You can declare an entity as many times as you like.
- A definition is the full details of an entity, and what it comprises. For variables and functions space is allocated. An entity can only be defined once.

Forward declarations, a necessity

Declarations allow us to make a promise to the compiler that some entity exists, so the compiler should allow us to refer to it in our code.

Consider the following two functions:

```
void bar(int x) {
void foo(int x) {
                                   if (x == 1) return;
                              2
x = x/2;
                                x = 3*x + 1:
printf("%d\n", x);
                                   printf("%d\n", x);
                              4
  if (x \% 2 == 0) foo(x);
                                   if (x \% 2 == 0) foo(x);
                              5
  else bar(x);
                                   else bar(x):
                              6
                                 }
```

Which function should we place first in our program? If we place foo first then it isn't aware bar exists. If we place bar first then it isn't aware foo exists.

Function calls — Where to go

When the compiler generates code for a function call, it doesn't need to know *where* that function's code is yet (the definition of that function). The compiler can generate placeholder code for jumping to the location in memory where that code resides. The *linker* fills in the placeholder so the code jumps to the right location.

The compiler, however, must be promised that such a function exists. This can be done by declaring the function ahead of time. We call this a *forward declaration*.

Fixing foo and bar with forward declarations

```
// Forward declaring bar
void bar(int x);
                                  void bar(int x) {
                              10
                                    if (x == 1) return;
                              11
void foo(int x) {
                                    x = 3*x + 1;
                              12
 x = x/2;
                              printf("%d\n", x);
                                    if (x \% 2 == 0) foo(x):
printf("%d\n", x);
                              14
  if (x \% 2 == 0) foo(x);
                                    else bar(x);
                             15
  else bar(x);
                              16 }
```

By forward declaring bar, the code for foo now knows that the function it calls exists.

The four steps of C/C++ compilation

So far when we've invoked gcc there have actually been four steps to building our executable, only one of them is really "compilation".

- The *C preprocessor* translates the text file into another text file, following any *preprocessor directives* in the code.
- The *compiler* translates the preprocessed C code into *assembly* code.
- The assembler translates the assembly code into binary machine code, producing a binary object file.
- The *linker* combines object files into a single executable.

Table of Contents

Declarations and Definitions

The C Preprocessor

The Linker

Double Includes

Preprocessor directives

Preprocessor directives are the lines of our code that begin with a #. They are not actual code for your program to execute, but rather instructions for how the preprocessor should translate your text file.

We've already seen the #include directive. All the #include directive does is copy and paste the contents of the specified file directly in place.

#include <stdio.h> finds the file stdio.h and copies its
contents into your program.

Preprocessor directives — Definitions

We can define identifiers for the preprocessors use:

```
// Defines the preprocessor value MAX_SIZE

#define MAX_SIZE 1000

// All future occurrences (not in strings) of MAX_SIZE

// will be replaced literally with the text 1000

// Defines a preprocessor flag - used when

// the existence of the flag is enough

#define FLAG
```

We can also define preprocessor constants with compiler flags. Consider the following program, which is in the file define.c

```
int main() {
  printf("%d\n", PREX);
}
```

When compiled with the command gcc define.c a compilation error is produced that says PREX is not undeclared.

We can specify preprocessor constants with the -D flag, which takes the form -D<identifier> for simple definitions (we call these flags), or -D<identifier>=<value> for providing a value for the identifier.

We can also define preprocessor constants with compiler flags. Consider the following program, which is in the file define.c

```
int main() {
  printf("%d\n", PREX);
}
```

We can also define preprocessor constants with compiler flags. Consider the following program, which is in the file define.c

```
int main() {
  printf("%d\n", PREX);
}
```

If we compile this program with the command gcc -DPREX=5 define.c then the preprocessor constant PREX is defined with the value 5.

We can also define preprocessor constants with compiler flags. Consider the following program, which is in the file define.c

```
int main() {
  printf("%d\n", 5);
}
```

If we compile this program with the command gcc -DPREX=5 define.c then the preprocessor constant PREX is defined with the value 5.

Once the preprocessor has processed this file, all instances of PREX are replaced literally with 5.

ifdef and ifndef

We can also write simple conditionals that check if a preprocesor flag has been defined (or not defined).

These are written in the form:

```
#ifdef IDENTIFIER
<code to include only if IDENTIFIER is defined>
#endif
```

When the preprocessor sees this directive, if IDENTIFIER is a defined preprocessor flag then the code is included in the file the compiler will see. If it is *not* defined then all of the code between the #ifdef and #endif is omitted.

#ifndef does the opposite, only including the text if the identifier is *not* defined, and not including the text if it is.

ifdef example

Consider the following program, debug.c:

```
int main() {
  int x = 1, y = 2000;
  while (x < y) {
    #ifdef DEBUG
    printf("x is now: %d\n", x);
    printf("y is now: %d\n", y);
    #endif
    x = x*2:
    y = y + 50;
```

If compiled just with the command gcc debug.c the generated executable will not print anything, as the print statements were omitted by the preprocessor since the flag DEBUG was not defined.

ifdef example

Consider the following program, debug.c:

```
int main() {
  int x = 1, y = 2000;
  while (x < y) {
    #ifdef DEBUG
    printf("x is now: %d\n", x);
    printf("y is now: %d\n", y);
    #endif
    x = x*2:
    y = y+50;
```

If compiled, instead, with gcc -DDEBUG debug.c then the DEBUG flag is defined, and the calls to printf are included.

Table of Contents

Declarations and Definitions

The C Preprocessor

The Linker

Double Includes

Large programs — a reality

The programs we've written in this class have been fairly small, and it hasn't been much of a problem to write them all in one file.

But real-world programs are often large, and having all the code in one giant file would be an unorganized mess.

Additionally, there are often components of a program that are reusable in other programs — like our List ADT.

So how do we break up a program into multiple files in C?

printArray

We've often printed out arrays to see their values to demonstrate C code.

Let's place our printArray function into its own file: printArray.c

```
#include <stdio.h>
void printArray(int *arr, size_t len) {
   for (size_t i = 0; i < len; ++i) {
      printf("%d ", arr[i]);
   }
}</pre>
```

Compiling printArray.c

If we try to compile printArray.c with the command gcc printArray.c we get an error something like the following:

```
/usr/lib/gcc/.../Scrt1.o: In function `_start': (.text+0x20): undefined reference to `main' collect2: error: ld returned 1 exit status
```

This is note a compilation error. This is a *linker* error. It's because when we invoke gcc by default we are running all four steps of building an executable, including linking.

The linker tries to find the main function, which an executable needs as its entry point. Since our code has no main function the linker produces an error.

Compiling without linking

A C file that has been compiled into machine code without linking it into a final executable is called an *object* file. An object file is your C code compiled into machine code, along with a bit of additional information for the linker which combines object files.

We can specify to gcc that we would like to only compile a file, and not link it, to generate an object file. We do so with the -c flag. So we compile our printArray.c file with the command

gcc -c printArray.c

Which produces a file printArray.o, the generated object file.

Writing a main that uses printArray

Now, we must write a C file that contains a main to build an executable. Let's write main.c

```
int main() {
  int arr[5] = {1, 2, 3, 4, 5};
  printArray(arr, sizeof(arr)/sizeof(int));
}
```

We try to compile the program into an object file with gcc -c main.c, but we get a warning

Declare before use

We should declare any functions we use before we use them (when it comes to variables we *must* declare them before use).

We don't need the full definition though, that can be found by the linker later. So we update our program

```
void printArray(int *, size_t);
int main() {
  int arr[5] = {1, 2, 3, 4, 5};
  printArray(arr, sizeof(arr)/sizeof(int));
}
```

And we can generate our object file without warning. gcc -c main.c produces the object file main.o

Combining object files

To combine object files into a final exectuable we must invoke the linker. As gcc by default invokes the linker we can do so by running

gcc main.o printArray.o -o builtProgram

When gcc sees a file that ends with .o it assumes it is an object file and treats it as such. It runs only the linker to combine these two object files into a final executable named builtProgram

Building a module

So we take the code for our List ADT and place it in a C file list.c so that we can reuse our ADT in any program.

Our code for List contains many definitions, it contains definitions for the List structure itself and eight functions we wrote to use our ADT.

Does that mean that every file that wants to use our List ADT must declare all of these entities manually? Have we had to declare printf manually before we use it?

Header files — providing the interface

Heade files don't need to declare *everything* in the module, only the things the client programmer needs.

Our List.h header for example omits the struct Node declaration and deleteNode function, since the client programmer does not need to know about the implementation of our List or about the helper function deleteNode.

In this way a header file should provide the interface we expect our client programmer to use. The client programmer should be able to use our module by using only the details provided to them in our header file. Our header file can include comments to describe the behaviour of function.

Including headers

We learned earlier that the #include directive simply instructs the preprocessor to copy and paste a files contents into your C program. This is how we can get access to the entities the module designer intended our program to have, by including the header.

When including header files for standard libraries we've used a preprocessor directive of the form

The angle brackets tell the preprocesor to look for this file in a location defined by the compiler, as the compiler is the one who provides the standard library implementations.

Including custom headers

Headers we write for our own modules will not be located in the same directories the compiler will search by default¹, so we include them a different way.

Including a file with double quotes instead of angle brackets tells the compiler to look in the current working directory, we can even write a relative or absolute path in the quotes.

#include "List.h"

Generally, we will use double quotes to include our own program's header files.

 $^{^{1}}$ We can include our own directories or headers in the include path that is searched. Read up on the CPATH and C_INCLUDE_PATH environment variables if you're curious

Using our custom module

Let's use our custom module. We move all the code for our List ADT into a file List.c that contains no main function, and we've written List.h to provide the interface.

Let's write a program printLargest.c that reads every integer from the input stream and once EOF has been reached prints out the largest number read in.

The printLargest program

```
#include "list.h"
#include <stdio.h>
int main() {
  int x = 0;
  struct List *l = createList():
  while (scanf("%d", &x) == 1) {
    addToFront(1, x);
  int maxSoFar = ith(1, 0);
  size_t maxInd = 0;
  for (size_t i = 0; i < len(1); ++i) {
    if (ith(1, i) > maxSoFar) {
      maxSoFar = ith(1, i);
      maxInd = i:
  printf("The largest number entered was %d\n", maxSoFar);
  deleteList(1):
```

Creating a List on the stack

What if we wanted to create a List on our stack? We might try writing a program, onStack.c, like so:

```
#include "list.h"
int main() {
    struct List 1;
    addToFront(&1, 1);
}
```

But when we try to generate an object file with the command gcc stackList.c we get a compilation error.

Incomplete types

At the point of compiling stackList.c the type struct List is known as an *incomplete* type. The compiler has been promised that the type struct List exists, but knows no details about it — including its size.

The code for stackList.c tries to place a struct List varible on the stack, for all stack variables the compiler must generate the code that allocates the appropriate space on the stack. How can the compiler generate the code to make space for our variable if it doesn't know how many bytes it is?

It can't. But in this case that's a good thing! We don't want the user creating their own struct List entities. They should only be created with our createList function. That way we know the client programmer can't create an invalid struct List.

Incomplete types — How to use them

Our code for printLargest.c works because while we use struct List as at type, we never ask the compiler to allocate one!

We only ever placed a pointer to a struct List on the stack, and we only ever created that through the createList function that the compiler was promised exists. The compiler always knows how big a pointer is, so it has no problem making space for our pointer on the stack.

At no point in the code for printLargest.c did the compiler need to know the details of the details of a struct List.

Any code that requires the definition of struct List cannot compile as our header does not include the definition!

Encapsulation

The concept of *encapsulation* is that our ADTs should be black boxes which the client programmer does not need to know any details about, nor can they interfere with the implementation of our ADT.

We noticed before that the client programmer being able to modify the fields of a List structure was a problem. The example given was the client programmer modifying the next pointers inside our Nodes to point in a cyclical fashion, or even to point at Nodes stored on the stack!

If the Nodes in our List form a cycle our functions would cease to work. Also, if any pointers to Nodes stored in our List are stored on the stack then our deleteList function will crash.

Invariants and Encapsulation

In programming we often talk about *invariants*. An *invariant* is a property we require to hold true in order for our code to work properly. It is very hard to write programs of meaningful size without relying on invariants. It is a programmers job to ensure their code never violates their invariants.

Our List implementation has many invariants, one is that each Node pointer stored in our List is a pointer to a valid heap allocated Node or NULL (if the end of our list), another is that the len variable matches the length of our list. If the client programmer can modify the individual fields of our ADT then they may break our invariants.

Incomplete types for encapsulation

By providing only the declaration of struct List in our List.h header file we also stop the client programmer from being able to interact with our ADT *except* through the interface provided. Consider code that tries to modify our data type directly, it won't compile anymore! Consider:

```
#include "list.h"
int main() {
  struct List *l = createList();
  l->len = 10;
}
```

This code won't compile, as the compiler has no idea at this point what the fields of struct List are! It only knows the type exists!

Types that should be complete

What if we want to create a data type that the user *can* put on the stack. A simple data type that does not have any invariants, for example a data type to represent a point on a 2D euclidean plane.

```
struct Point {
  int x, y;
};
```

In order to be able to put a data type on the stack the client *must* have access to its definition. So for any type we want the client to be able to create freely, we must provide the definition by placing it in the header file.

Point.h

Consider the header file Point.h shown here

```
struct Point {
  int x, int y;
};

float euclidDist(struct Point, struct Point);
int manhattanDist(struct Point, struct Point);
```

Table of Contents

Declarations and Definitions

The C Preprocessor

The Linker

Double Includes

Writing code in headers

The best practice is to avoid writing code in your header files, and only put declarations in your header files while full definitions of functions (and potentially datatypes as well) is included only in the implementation (.c) file.

However, it is common to see that programmers will write small definitions in their header files. While it may not necessarily be best practice, it does happen.

Declarations only in headers - an example

Consider a project with one million implementation and header files, but the header files contain no code.

- When a project is built for the first time, all of the million implementation files must be compiled which takes time, then the resultant object files must be linked to build the final executable.
- A bug is found and one implementation file must be updated.
 Now, only that single implementation file must be recompiled and then the object files can be linked again.
- If that code was instead in the header file, and thousands of implementation files included that header file, then all of those implementation files must be recompiled, since if a file they include has changed then they too have changed.

The file addArray.h

Let's say you create a C header to add the contents of one array to the contents of another array of the same length. Since the function is small, you decide to write the code directly in the header and not write an implementation file.

```
// addArray.h
#include <stddef.h>
int mutateAdd(int *dest, int *src, size_t len) {
  for (size_t i = 0; i < len; ++i) {
    dest[i] = dest[i] + src[i];
  }
}</pre>
```

The file doubleArray.h

You also write a function that doubles the contents of the arrays, it is in its own header file, but you figure you might as well reuse the implementation of mutateAdd.

```
// doubleArray.c
#include "addArray.h"
#include "stddef.h"
void doubleArray(int *arr, size_t len) {
  mutateAdd(arr, arr, len);
}
```

A main that needs both

Since you wrote both these header files, you probably did so because you use these functions often. Eventually you write a main that uses both of these...

```
// main c
#include "addArray.h"
#include "doubleArray.h"
#include "printArray.h"
int main() {
  int arr[] = \{1, 2, 3\};
  int twos[] = \{2, 2, 2\}:
  doubleArray(arr, 3);
  mutateAdd(twos, arr, 3);
  printArray(arr, 3);
  printArray(twos, 3);
```

Definitions in headers - another problem

When trying to compile your main you find you get a compilation error that looks like this:

The double definition error

The error here is that you have defined the function mutateAdd twice, which C does not allow. Remember - you can declare an entity as many times as you like but define it only once.

How did we define the function twice? Well, remember how the preprocessor handles include directives - it copies and pastes the contents of the header file directly into place.

Consider how that affects the code of main.c which includes both doubleArray.h and addArray.h.

The double include

Since the header file doubleArray.h includes the header file addArray.h the code inside of the former is pasted in to the latter by the preprocessor whenever it sees the contents of addArray.h. This means that the file addArray.h ends up with a copy of the implementation of mutateAdd.

Our main.c uses both the functions mutateAdd and doubleArray so it includes both the headers addArray.h and doubleArray.h. This copies and pastes the contents of both, and both those contents also get preprocessed. Due to this, our main.c ends up with two definitions of the function mutateAdd, one from doubleArray.h and one from addArray.h.

How can we fix the errors caused by double inclusion?

1. If headers only ever include declarations, this problem wouldn't arise.

- 1. If headers only ever include declarations, this problem wouldn't arise.
 - But, we know that people will include implementations in headers, so this isn't a realistic solution.

- 1. If headers only ever include declarations, this problem wouldn't arise.
 - But, we know that people will include implementations in headers, so this isn't a realistic solution.
- The writer of main.c could be aware that doubleArray.h includes addArray.h and then only include doubleArray.h

- 1. If headers only ever include declarations, this problem wouldn't arise.
 - But, we know that people will include implementations in headers, so this isn't a realistic solution.
- The writer of main.c could be aware that doubleArray.h includes addArray.h and then only include doubleArray.h
 - Can the writer of every C program be expected to know all the includes made by every header file? Is that realistic?

- 1. If headers only ever include declarations, this problem wouldn't arise.
 - But, we know that people will include implementations in headers, so this isn't a realistic solution.
- 2. The writer of main.c could be aware that doubleArray.h includes addArray.h and then only include doubleArray.h
 - Can the writer of every C program be expected to know all the includes made by every header file? Is that realistic?
- 3. What about some way to stop a header from being pasted by the preprocessor if it's already been pasted?

- 1. If headers only ever include declarations, this problem wouldn't arise.
 - But, we know that people will include implementations in headers, so this isn't a realistic solution.
- 2. The writer of main.c could be aware that doubleArray.h includes addArray.h and then only include doubleArray.h
 - Can the writer of every C program be expected to know all the includes made by every header file? Is that realistic?
- 3. What about some way to stop a header from being pasted by the preprocessor if it's already been pasted?
 - This is how we solve the problem, with what is called a *header* guard.

Header Guards

Every header file we write should include a header guard, which is a set of preprocessor directives to ensure that file is never included more than once in any given file.

How can preprocessor directives help us to stop a file from being included multiple times? First, recall that ifdef and ifndef will completely omit the enclosed code if their condition is not met.

Constructing a header guards

We want to write either an ifdef or ifndef that will only be true once, the first time that file is included. That way, the file will never be included in the same file more than once.

However, ifdef and ifndef only check if a preprocessor flag has been defined or not — how can we keep track of whether or not a files been included already?

Observation: inside of the ifdef or ifndef we could ourselves define the flag in question...

The format of a header guard

We can construct a header guard using only ifndef and define preprocessor macros. To construct a header guard use a name that will ideally be unique to your header for the preprocessor flag, and wrap the entire header guard in the following preprocessor macros

```
#ifndef MYHEADER_H_
#define MYHEADER_H_
// Contents of your header file.
#endif
```

How does the header guard work?

The header guard works since the first time the file is included the given preprocessor flag is not defined, so the contents are included by the preprocessor. These contents themselves include the definition of that preprocessor flag. As such, the second time the header file is included the ifndef is false, as that flag is defined, and the code does not get included a second time.

If we go back and wrap our headers in header guards, our main.c can compile just fine as it no longer receives multiple definitions of the same entity.