

# LING 573: Initial Project Report

**Clara Gordon**

University of Washington  
Seattle, WA

cggordon1@uw.edu

**Claire Jaja**

University of Washington  
Seattle, WA

cjaja@uw.edu

**Andrea Kahn**

University of Washington  
Seattle, WA

andrea.m.kahn@gmail.com

## Abstract

Later, this section will have the abstract - a short high-level overview of the paper, usually 150 words or so.

Jimmy Lin. 2007. An exploration of the principles underlying redundancy-based factoid question answering. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS)*, 25(2):6.

## 1 Introduction

## 2 System Overview

A description of the major design, methodological, and algorithmic decisions in your project. It often includes a schematic of the system architecture.

## 3 Approach

This section should provide the details of the major subcomponents of your system. Your report should include at least the following three major sections corresponding to the project deliverables. You may also include other subsections as appropriate.

## 4 Results

This section should present the major results of the formal evaluation of your system and components.

## 5 Discussion

This section will analyze your results in a bit more detail. It is an appropriate location for error analysis and assessment of the strengths and weaknesses of the different components.

## 6 Conclusion

## References

- Sanda M Harabagiu, Dan I Moldovan, Christine Clark, Mitchell Bowden, Andrew Hickl, and Patrick Wang. 2005. Employing two question answering systems in trec-2005. In *TREC*.
- Lynette Hirschman and Robert Gaizauskas. 2001. Natural language question answering: The view from here. *Natural Language Engineering*, 7(4):275–300.