```
Mick Addington, jout w/ Don Bragg
                                               illuariants in char p.
                                                 arxiv: 2106,09949
Why this question?
      Desided cats of coh sheaves on sm. proj. vars / field k

(today: k= I or Fp)
       D'(x) behaves like H'(x)
       (i) if p: P -> X is a p bundle
                Hen H'(P) = H'(X) \otimes H'^{-2}(X) \otimes \cdots \otimes H^{-2}(X)
       D^{b}(P) = \langle p' D(X), p' D(X) \otimes O_{p|X}(1), p' D(X) \otimes O_{p|X}(2), \dots p' D(X) \otimes O_{p|X}(n) \rangle
"Semi-orthogonal decomposition"

Yex smooth of codin n then
                 H'(x) = H'(x) + H"-2(4) + H-4(4) + H1-2n+2(4)
                \mathfrak{D}(\tilde{x}) = \langle \rho' \mathfrak{D}(x) \rangle n-1 copies of \mathfrak{D}(Y) \rangle
      (3) Whenever H'(x) is related to D(Y)
expect D(x) related to D(Y)
              example: X = 1 2 quedors in PS
                                                                    H'(x) = H'^{-2}(c) + Z in deg 0 and 6 (2eid)
               O(x) = \langle O(c), two copies of <math>O(pt) \rangle (Bondel - Orlow)
 So if D(x) = D(y), what cohomological invariants are preserved?
    · Hochschild honology HH; = 2°7 (868)
           if chark=0 or > dim X then
                        HH:= PHIR(X) sover CHPR = H9(IX)

P-1=: Over other fields, defle it as
```

if char k= dilnx, still OK (Antieu + Vezzosi 17)

May fail if charkedinx (Antieu, Bhat, Mathew 19)

but low

```
if dim X \ Z Then
                             H^{\rho,1}(x) = H^{\rho,1}(y) \qquad \forall \rho, q
         (Antienn + Brazz '19)
b, := din Hét (K, De) Popat Schnell/cher o
                                  Honizs et al. / cher p
     ~ H10 and H0.1 are the. In cher O, though not in charp.
     us all H1.8 are hr. In there o for 3-folds.
 · Abnaf: in char o for dim X = 4,
             H'(\partial_x) as a rity it der. inv.
 · Orlow conjudered that D(x) = D(y)
          implies Chow motives &Q an isomorphis.
            is point courts are equal / I (fails / to)
            -> hologe to are equal / (Fils /F)
but T. it not inverset: Bak, Schwell using an example of
             Smell

X = 14 years of contenting on ab. Surfece

V y has some roles
                         is not a principal polerization, rather
                 0 -> 248 × 2/8 -> A -> A -> O
    in fact G ach freely on X and
           X/G = Pic^{\circ}(X/P') = : M (duel 06. fibration)
                                                                      really
Mg
     M \times (\hat{A}) = \delta(\hat{A})
                                    \pi_{I}(X) = 0 \pi_{I}(M) = G = \frac{2}{8} \times \frac{2}{8}
      here: D(x) \cong D(M)
                                  me 2013: Br(x) = 2/8 × 2/8 OJ Br(M) = 0
```

Bragg's idea: H'(M, 74/2) \$0 if we were over Fz, Artin-Schreyer seg 0 -> 2/2 -> 0 -> 0 -> 0 -> 0 would give H'(M, Hz) (On) so H°, (M) to $(ont M^{\circ}''X) = 0$ tried Gross tlopesus egns over Fr -- doesn't work went loack to their paper, found another example that works 7/6×µ6 C X - Y = 1 2 cabres in 11 5 over d or Fz issnes: 1) Z3 × µ3 e Z6 × µ6 acts freely but Zx pr does not. M = resolution of X/a (2) Abelian fibration $T_1(M) = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{2}$ over $C - \dots$ X -> P' has reducible fiber so Pic (XIP') is tricky but we got through 'em. Hodge #s of X or M (T: X/F3: M/=: 101878 HO(SZ) = HO(Tx) = 1-dw1 0 -> 7/3 × 7/3 -> Br X -> Br M -> 0