



CoGrammar Code Review

RECOMMENDATION ON APPLICANT SUBMISSION

Review ID: 2105JRKE

Applicant: kayondo edward

Email: kayondoedward13@gmail.com

Applicant Submission: <https://github.com/amkayondo/auto-mart>

App / UI Url: <https://amkayondo.github.io/auto-mart/>

Pivotal Tracker Board: <https://www.pivotaltracker.com/n/projects/2346076>

Scoring Key:

0 - Does not meet expectations

1 - Meets Expectations

2 - Exceeds Expectations



Challenge 1: Create UI Templates

Criterion	Score (0 / 1 / 2)
Project Management	2
Version Control with Git	1
Front-End Development	1
UI / UX	0

Upon first inspection, the application has a good look and feel along with an easy flow. The applicant included a brief, but informative README file. Regarding Github, descriptive committing, branching and merging has been implemented. The applicant also kept a tidy directory structure along with good choice in file naming.

Further on, the applicant's HTML is generally clean and the majority of class and id declarations have been done in a descriptive manner, which is always a plus. The CSS has been broken up into separate files logically, making things slightly more organized. The applicant has also showcased some knowledge in JS.

Although the application looks really good on desktop, unfortunately, the application can't be declared as responsive, as when shifting to mobile, divs overlap and sections are partially cut off. Despite this, the project requirements were met.

Detailed feedback / comments

The applicant included a brief, but informative README file, along with the implementation of descriptive committing, branching and merging. The applicant appears to have a solid understanding of said area. A clean directory structure along with good choice in file naming was also present.

The applicant's HTML is clean and well sectioned out through the utilization of divs. However, perhaps through also incorporating sections, headers and footers, the code could've been slightly more logical, but that's not too major and is easily fixable / implemented. The applicant's CSS looks good and has been broken up into different files representing different areas of the application, which makes style navigation slightly easier.

The application looks good on desktop, but is unfortunately not responsive on mobile, as different elements overlap and / or are being partially cut off upon switching to mobile. Despite this, the project requirements have been met.

Do you have feedback about this review? [CLICK HERE](#)