```
In [1]: # Import libraries
        import pandas as pd
        import numpy as np
        import warnings
        warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')
        from sklearn.metrics import accuracy score, roc_auc_score,f1_score, prec
        ision score, recall score, classification report, confusion matrix
        from sklearn.feature extraction.text import CountVectorizer
        from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
        from sklearn.linear model import LogisticRegressionCV
        from sklearn.model selection import GridSearchCV
        from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline
        from sklearn.pipeline import make_pipeline
        from sklearn.preprocessing import Normalizer
        from sklearn.model selection import cross validate
        from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
        from imblearn.under_sampling import RandomUnderSampler
        from imblearn.over sampling import RandomOverSampler
        from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer, TfidfTransf
        from scipy import sparse
```

Task 1

```
In [2]: # Read the data

train_data = pd.read_csv('reddit_200k_train.csv',encoding='latin1')
train_data = train_data[['body','REMOVED']]
test_data = pd.read_csv('reddit_200k_test.csv',encoding='latin1')
test_data = test_data[['body','REMOVED']]
```

In [3]: train_data.head()

Out[3]:

	body	REMOVED
0	I've always been taught it emerged from the ea	False
1	As an ECE, my first feeling as "HEY THAT'S NOT	True
2	Monday: Drug companies stock dives on good new	True
3	i learned that all hybrids are unfertile i won	False
4	Well i was wanting to get wasted tonight. Not	False

The data clearly is imbalanced and we will under sample it before performing the baseline model

```
In [6]: len(test_data)
Out[6]: 55843
```

TASK 1 Bag of Words and simple Features

1.1 Create a baseline model using a bag-of-words approach and a linear model.

Under Sampling

As the data is highly skewed, we are undersampling the data to make it balanced.

```
In [9]: train X subsample.shape
Out[9]: (129476, 1)
In [13]: # create count vectorizer
         countvect = CountVectorizer()
         X = countvect.fit transform(train X subsample.ravel())
In [14]: # test the base line model
         scores = cross validate(LogisticRegression(),
                                X, train y subsample, cv=5,
                                scoring=('accuracy','average precision','recall'
         ,'f1'))
         print("----test_accuracy----\n"+str(scores['test_accuracy'].mean
         ()))
         print("----test_average_precision----\n"+str(scores['test_average_p
         recision' | .mean()))
         print("----test fl-----\n"+str(scores['test fl'].mean()))
         print("----recall-----\n"+str(scores['test_recall'].mean()))
         -----test accuracy-----
         0.6890851700496398
         -----test_average_precision-----
         0.7051205088440811
         -----test f1-----
         0.7112509023778023
         ----recall-----
         0.7658715080867582
```

1.2 Try using n-grams, characters, tf-idf rescaling and possibly other ways to tune the BoW model. Be aware that you might need to adjust the (regularization of the) linear model fordifferent feature sets

1) We will remove stop word for all the cases following

1. N Gram

The first step is to find the best parameters for n gram approach. We will tune the following parameters

```
1. min df
```

- 2. ngram_range
- 3. C

Grid search cv to get best parameters

```
In [16]: # run grid search for parameter tuning
         from sklearn.metrics import make scorer
         pipeline = Pipeline([
             ('vect', CountVectorizer(stop words='english')),
             ('lr',LogisticRegression(penalty='12'))
         1)
         parameters = {
             'vect__min_df': (5,10),
             'vect__ngram_range': ((1, 2),(1,1)),
             'lr__C':(0.1,0.05)
         }
         grid search = GridSearchCV(pipeline, parameters, cv=5,
                                        n jobs=-1, verbose=1)
         print("Performing grid search...")
         print("pipeline:", [name for name, _ in pipeline.steps])
         print("parameters:")
         print(parameters)
         grid search.fit(train X subsample.ravel(), train y subsample)
         print("Best score: %0.3f" % grid search.best score )
         print("Best parameters set:")
         best parameters = grid search.best estimator .get params()
         for param name in sorted(parameters.keys()):
             print("\t%s: %r" % (param name, best parameters[param name]))
         Performing grid search...
         pipeline: ['vect', 'lr']
         parameters:
         {'vect min df': (5, 10), 'vect ngram range': ((1, 2), (1, 1)), 'lr
         C': (0.1, 0.05)
         Fitting 5 folds for each of 8 candidates, totalling 40 fits
         [Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 8 concurrent work
         ers.
         [Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 40 out of 40 | elapsed: 2.1min finished
         Best score: 0.691
         Best parameters set:
                 lr C: 0.05
                 vect min df: 5
                 vect__ngram_range: (1, 2)
```

Now performing cross validation on best parameters to get model evaluations

```
In [58]: # run cross validation to test the model
         pipeline = make pipeline(CountVectorizer(stop words='english',ngram rang
         e=(1,2),min df=5),LogisticRegression(C=0.05,penalty='12'))
         scores = cross validate(pipeline,
                                 train X subsample.ravel(), train y subsample, cv
         =5,
                                 scoring=('accuracy','average precision','recall'
         ,'f1'))
         print("Model Performance with best parameters")
         print("-----test accuracy-----\n"+str(scores['test accuracy'].mean
         ()))
         print("----test_average_precision----\n"+str(scores['test_average_p
         recision' | .mean()))
         print("----test fl-----\n"+str(scores['test fl'].mean()))
         print("----recall-----\n"+str(scores['test_recall'].mean()))
         Model Performance with best parameters
         -----test accuracy-----
         0.6921592335082319
         -----test average precision-----
         0.7149158896517699
         -----test f1-----
         0.7245863939142969
         ----recall-----
         0.8098798065514549
```

We can see that recall increased significantly and precision and f1 score scores have increased slightly from the base line model

2. tf-idf

Next Approach is to introduce a tf-idf count vectorizer. We will remove the stop words from the data and also introduce a L2 penalty on the data.

We will tune parameters following parameters

- 1. min df
- 2. C

Grid search to find best parameters for tf-idf

```
In [60]: # run grid search to tune the parameters
         pipeline = Pipeline([
             ('tfid', TfidfVectorizer(stop words='english')),
             ('lr',LogisticRegression(penalty='12'))
         1)
         parameters = {
             'tfid min df': (5,10),
              'lr C':(0.1,0.2,0.05)
         grid search_tf_idf = GridSearchCV(pipeline, parameters, cv=5,
                                         n jobs=-1, verbose=1)
         print("Performing grid search...")
         print("pipeline:", [name for name, _ in pipeline.steps])
         print("parameters:")
         print(parameters)
         grid search_tf idf.fit(train X subsample.ravel(), train y subsample)
         print("Best score: %0.3f" % grid_search_tf_idf.best_score_)
         print("Best parameters set:")
         best parameters = grid search tf idf.best estimator .get params()
         for param_name in sorted(parameters.keys()):
             print("\t%s: %r" % (param name, best parameters[param name]))
```

Now run cross-validation on best parameters obtained from grid search for tf-idf above

```
In [61]: # run cross validation to test the model
         pipeline = make pipeline(TfidfVectorizer(stop words='english',min_df=5),
         LogisticRegression(C=0.2,penalty='12'))
         scores = cross_validate(pipeline,
                                train X subsample.ravel(), train y subsample, cv
         =5,
                                scoring=('accuracy','average_precision','recall'
         ,'f1'))
         print("Tf-idf model Performance with best parameters")
         print("-----test accuracy-----\n"+str(scores['test accuracy'].mean
         ()))
         print("----test average precision----\n"+str(scores['test average p
         recision'].mean()))
         print("----test_f1----\n"+str(scores['test_f1'].mean()))
         print("----recall-----\n"+str(scores['test recall'].mean()))
         Tf-idf model Performance with best parameters
         -----test accuracy-----
         0.6938584080068454
         -----test average precision-----
         0.7286779195419226
         -----test f1-----
         0.7107047816199041
         ----recall-----
         0.7520776119193578
```

Using tf-idf without ngram reduced the recall whereas precision and f1 score remained the same

We can again run grid search CV to tune a different set of paramters

lr C: 0.6

lr__penalty: '12'
tfid min df: 5

```
In [63]: pipeline = Pipeline([
             ('tfid', TfidfVectorizer(stop words='english')),
             ('lr',LogisticRegression())
         ])
         parameters = {
             'tfid min df': (5,10),
              'lr__penalty':('l1','l2'),
             'lr C':(0.1,0.2,0.05,0.3,0.6)
         grid search_tf_idf = GridSearchCV(pipeline, parameters, cv=5,
                                        n_jobs=-1, verbose=1)
         print("Performing grid search...")
         print("pipeline:", [name for name, _ in pipeline.steps])
         print("parameters:")
         print(parameters)
         grid search_tf idf.fit(train X subsample.ravel(), train y subsample)
         print("Best score: %0.3f" % grid_search_tf_idf.best_score_)
         print("Best parameters set:")
         best_parameters = grid_search_tf_idf.best_estimator .get params()
         for param name in sorted(parameters.keys()):
             print("\t%s: %r" % (param name, best parameters[param name]))
         Performing grid search...
         pipeline: ['tfid', 'lr']
         parameters:
         {'tfid min df': (5, 10), 'lr penalty': ('l1', 'l2'), 'lr C': (0.1,
         0.2, 0.05, 0.3, 0.6)
         Fitting 5 folds for each of 20 candidates, totalling 100 fits
         [Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 8 concurrent work
         [Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 34 tasks
                                                   | elapsed:
                                                                1.4min
         [Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 100 out of 100 | elapsed: 3.4min finished
         Best score: 0.696
         Best parameters set:
```

```
In [64]:
         pipeline = make pipeline(TfidfVectorizer(stop words='english',min_df=5),
         LogisticRegression(C=0.6,penalty='12'))
         scores = cross_validate(pipeline,
                                 train X subsample.ravel(), train y subsample, cv
         =5,
                                 scoring=('accuracy','average_precision','recall'
         ,'f1'))
         print("Tf-idf model Performance with best parameters")
         print("----test_accuracy-----\n"+str(scores['test_accuracy'].mean
         ()))
         print("----test_average_precision----\n"+str(scores['test_average_p
         recision' | .mean()))
         print("----test fl-----\n"+str(scores['test fl'].mean()))
         print("----recall-----\n"+str(scores['test recall'].mean()))
         Tf-idf model Performance with best parameters
         -----test accuracy-----
         0.6956965541819827
         -----test_average_precision-----
         0.7319059514580518
         -----test f1-----
         0.7105033377491367
         ----recall----
         0.7468256613981399
```

The different parameter set does not change the accuracy of the model much

The tf-idf vectorizer model perform best with the parameter set Best parameters set:

lr**C: 0.2**tfidmin_df: 5

3. Charcater n gram

The next approach would be to use character n gram instead of using word ngram or tf-idf vectorizer. We will tune the following parameters for char n gram now.

As done previously we will remove the stop words and introduce a L2 penalty on the data

- 1. ngram_range
- 2. C

grid serach cv for parameters

```
In [66]: from sklearn.metrics import make scorer
         pipeline = Pipeline([
             ('vect', CountVectorizer(stop words='english',min df=5,analyzer="cha
         r wb")),
             ('lr',LogisticRegression(penalty='12'))
         1)
         parameters = {
             'vect__ngram_range': ((2, 3),(1,3)),
             'lr__C':(0.2,0.05)
         }
         grid search_char = GridSearchCV(pipeline, parameters, cv=5,
                                        n jobs=-1, verbose=1)
         print("Performing grid search...")
         print("pipeline:", [name for name, _ in pipeline.steps])
         print("parameters:")
         print(parameters)
         grid search char.fit(train X subsample.ravel(), train y subsample)
         print("Best score: %0.3f" % grid_search_char.best_score_)
         print("Best parameters set:")
         best parameters = grid search char.best estimator .get params()
         for param name in sorted(parameters.keys()):
             print("\t%s: %r" % (param name, best parameters[param name]))
         Performing grid search...
         pipeline: ['vect', 'lr']
         parameters:
         {'vect ngram range': ((2, 3), (1, 3)), 'lr C': (0.2, 0.05)}
         Fitting 5 folds for each of 4 candidates, totalling 20 fits
         [Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 8 concurrent work
         [Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 20 out of 20 | elapsed: 26.9min finished
         Best score: 0.709
         Best parameters set:
                 lr C: 0.2
                 vect ngram_range: (1, 3)
```

Run cross validation on best parameters obtained above for char n gram

```
Char-ngram model Performance with best parameters
-----test_accuracy----
0.7083629453975749
-----test_average_precision----
0.757138022180604
-----test_f1----
0.7321218569996074
-----recall-----
0.7970588367933058
```

Using char n gram gives the best results by far:

- 1. precision and f1 score increased significantly
- 2. best over all accuracy achieved
- 3. Recall remains the high and similar to other models used above

Combine the above approaches

Let us try to combine the approaches char n gram and tf-idf tuned above to see how our model performs when both the methods are used

```
In [23]: # combine char n gram and tf-idf
         pipeline = make pipeline(CountVectorizer(stop words='english',min df=5,a
         nalyzer="char_wb",ngram_range=(1,3)),TfidfTransformer(),LogisticRegressi
         on(C=0.2,penalty='12'))
         scores = cross_validate(pipeline,
                                 train X subsample.ravel(), train y subsample, cv
         =5,
                                 scoring=('accuracy','average_precision','recall'
         ,'f1'))
         print("Combinations of above model performance with best parameters")
         print("----test_accuracy----\n"+str(scores['test_accuracy'].mean
         ()))
         print("----test_average_precision----\n"+str(scores['test_average_p
         recision'].mean()))
         print("----test_fl-----\n"+str(scores['test_fl'].mean()))
         print("----recall-----\n"+str(scores['test recall'].mean()))
         Combinations of above model performance with best parameters
         -----test accuracy-----
         0.7034971739898499
         -----test_average_precision-----
         0.7513355709056339
```

We can say that the combination of char n gram and tf-idf does not perform that well, as compared to when they are used individually

1.3 Extract other features

-----test_f1----0.7082026785256078
-----recall----0.7196236986135749

Create new features:

We will create the following new features: from our data

- 1. Length of document
- 2. No of hyperlinks in a document
- 3. No of exclaimation mark in the document
- 4. No of dots in the document
- 5. If the document contain a HTML tag
- 6. No of capital letters in the document

```
In [10]: train_X_subsample
```

Out[10]: array([["I always think of it as a part of our bodies failing to adapt to modern civilization fast enough.\r\n\r\nThousands of years ago, we'd be exhausted from a day of hard labor, nothing on our minds but waking up to work the next day.\r\n\r\nNow, some of us may still feel that wa y, but in our current society we're conditioned to constantly check our phones throughout the day and at night, an endless waterfall of content and alerts that our brain tries to juggle. \r\n\r\nAnd when we drift of f, alone with our thoughts, theres a seemingly endless stream of relati onships and previous encounters popping into our head, coupled with a s wathe of problems so far in the future we may never face them, but ca n't help think of them."],

["Too bad it wasn't made from marble. Marble is pretty exciting right now. "],

["Did you and the team pick this mission or did you get assigned to it? Was this y'alls life long passion to study the Moon?"], $\[\]$

• • • • •

["What's scarier, dehumanizing objectifying materialism that is legal and not reported as abuse or dehumanizing objectifying materialism that is illegal and seen as abuse. Morality doesn't depend on legality \cdot "],

["Eventually they're going to use crispr to make pig-human chime ras "],

['So india needs to make more saffron']], dtype=object)

```
In [11]: train_X_subsample_df = pd.DataFrame({'body':train_X_subsample[:,0]})
    train_X_subsample_df.head()
```

Out[11]:

	body				
0	llways think of it as a part of our bodies f				
1	Too bad it wasn't made from marble. Marble is				
2	Did you and the team pick this mission or did				
3	Tell that to our four failed cycles. Only one				
4	When I'm at home by myself with my 2 year old				

Add new features as new columns to our under sampled dataframe

In [13]: train_X_subsample_df.head()

Out[13]:

	body	length	totalHyperlink	totalExclaimation	totalDots	totalUpperCase
0	I always think of it as a part of our bodies f	712	0	0	706	4
1	Too bad it wasn't made from marble. Marble is	74	0	0	74	2
2	Did you and the team pick this mission or did	122	0	0	122	3
3	Tell that to our four failed cycles. Only one	67	0	0	67	2
4	When I'm at home by myself with my 2 year old	343	0	0	343	8

```
In [14]: # Count Vectorizer for word n gram
         new count vect = CountVectorizer(stop words='english',ngram range=(1,2),
         min_df=5)
         new_tf_idf_vect = TfidfVectorizer(stop_words='english',min_df=5)
         # Tf-idf Vectorizer
         X count vect = new count vect.fit transform(train X subsample df['body']
         .ravel())
         X tf idf vect = new tf idf vect.fit transform(train X subsample df['bod
         y'].ravel())
         # char n gram vectorizer
         new charc vect = CountVectorizer(stop words='english',ngram range=(1,3),
         min df=5,analyzer="char wb")
         X_char_vect = new_charc_vect.fit_transform(train_X_subsample_df['body'].
         ravel())
```

1. New features from + Tf-idf features + count vectorizer word n gram features

```
In [15]:
        X count tfidf vect = sparse.hstack((X count vect, X tf idf vect))
In [16]: newFeaturesMat = train X subsample df[['length','totalHyperlink','totalE
         xclaimation','totalDots','totalUpperCase']].as_matrix()
In [17]: # merge new features to our vectorized data
         new_mat1 = sparse.hstack((X_count_tfidf_vect,newFeaturesMat))
         new mat1.shape
```

```
In [86]:
        # run cross validation to test the model with new features
         scores = cross validate(LogisticRegression(C=0.2,penalty='12'),
                                new_mat1, train_y_subsample, cv=5,
                                scoring=('accuracy','average_precision','recall'
         ,'f1'))
         print("Logistic regression model Performance with best parameters and ne
         w features")
         print("----test accuracy----\n"+str(scores['test accuracy'].mean
         print("----test_average_precision----\n"+str(scores['test_average_p
         recision' | .mean()))
         print("-----test_f1-----\n"+str(scores['test_f1'].mean()))
         print("----recall-----\n"+str(scores['test recall'].mean()))
         Logistic regression model Performance with best parameters and new feat
         -----test_accuracy-----
         0.6957428921918998
         -----test average precision-----
         0.7202117224627218
         -----test f1-----
         0.7204302274873815
         ----recall----
         0.7841143900780919
```

With the new features and Tf-idf features + count vectorizer word n gram features, the model does approximately the same as the previous models.

2. New Features + Char n gram features

```
In [80]: new_mat = sparse.hstack((X_char_vect,newFeaturesMat))
```

```
In [81]: scores = cross validate(LogisticRegression(C=0.2, penalty='12'),
                                new mat, train y subsample, cv=5,
                                scoring=('accuracy','average_precision','recall'
         ,'f1'))
         print("Logistic regression model Performance with best parameters and ne
         w features")
         print("----test_accuracy-----\n"+str(scores['test_accuracy'].mean
         ()))
         print("----test average precision----\n"+str(scores['test average p
         recision'].mean()))
         print("----test_f1-----\n"+str(scores['test_f1'].mean()))
         print("----recall-----\n"+str(scores['test recall'].mean()))
         Logistic regression model Performance with best parameters and new feat
         ures
         -----test accuracy-----
         0.70845559397729
         -----test_average_precision-----
         0.7566988374354118
         -----test f1-----
         0.7322642757393277
         ----recall-----
         0.797367859063921
```

New features + char n gram features work similar to only char n gram features and there is no improvement

3. New features + Tf-idf features + count vectorizer word n gram features + char n gram features

```
In [19]: # char n gram + tf-idf + word n gram
         new mat2 = sparse.hstack((new mat1, X char vect))
         scores = cross validate(LogisticRegression(C=0.2,penalty='12'),
                                new_mat2, train_y_subsample, cv=5,
                                 scoring=('accuracy', 'average precision', 'recall'
         ,'f1'))
         print("Logistic regression model Performance with best parameters and ne
         w features")
         print("----test accuracy----\n"+str(scores['test accuracy'].mean
         print("----test average precision----\n"+str(scores['test average p
         recision' | .mean()))
         print("----test fl-----h"+str(scores['test_fl'].mean()))
         print("----recall-----\n"+str(scores['test recall'].mean()))
         Logistic regression model Performance with best parameters and new feat
         -----test accuracy-----
         0.7133985788977038
         -----test average precision-----
         0.7639181696232565
         -----test f1-----
         0.7350176974274371
         -----recall-----
         0.7950043891067118
```

The model with new features and Tf-id, char-n gram, word n gram vectorization works by far the best and guves the highestaccuracy, precision, recall and f1 score. We can say model imporved by adding new features

Task 2 Word Vectors

Will use a pretrained word-embedding (word2vec) from genism instead of the bag-of-words

Split our data into training set and validation set

```
In [76]: # function to remove None documents after transformation
def get_valid_docs(w2v_docs,y):
    indexList = []
    validDocs = []
    valid_y = []
    for i,doc in enumerate(w2v_docs):
        if(len(doc)==0):
            indexList.append(i)
        else:
            validDocs.append(doc)
            valid_y.append(y[i])
    return validDocs,indexList,valid_y
```

```
In [63]: # Transform our training data to vector using pre trained word2Vec model
    alldocs = X_train.ravel()
    y = y_train

vect_w2v = CountVectorizer(vocabulary=word2vecmodel.index2word)
    w2v_docs = vect_w2v.inverse_transform(vect_w2v.transform(alldocs))

# There are some docs which do not have mapping to word embedding and be come None on transformation
    # Removing those documents and filtering out only the valid docs
    valid_w2v_docs,indexList,valid_y = get_valid_docs(w2v_docs,y)
    valid_X = np.vstack([np.mean(word2vecmodel[doc], axis=0) for doc in valid_w2v_docs])
```

Fit our Model

Test on Validation set

```
In [66]: # Transform the validation data to vector using pre trained word2Vec mod el

alldocs = X_val.ravel()
y = y_val

vect_w2v = CountVectorizer(vocabulary=word2vecmodel.index2word)
w2v_docs = vect_w2v.inverse_transform(vect_w2v.transform(alldocs))

# There are some docs which do not have mapping to word embedding and be come None on transformation
# Removing those documents and filtering out only the valid docs

valid_w2v_docs,indexList,valid_y_val = get_valid_docs(w2v_docs,y)
valid_X_val = np.vstack([np.mean(word2vecmodel[doc], axis=0) for doc in valid_w2v_docs])
```

```
Using Word Embedding, word2vec Performance on validation set
-----validation_set_score----
0.668233402393395
-----validation_set_roc----
0.668237619554811
-----validation_set_precision----
0.66502642609805
-----validation_set_recall-----
0.6773295384234624
```

The model does not seem to perform any better, It gives rather poor scores as compared to other approaches followed in Task1 But the over all accuracy is not significantly low and this can be considered as a good base line model.