## AM207 Final Project: Mastermind

Gioia Dominedò Amy Lee Kendrick Lo Reiner Maat April 28, 2016

#### **Abstract**

#### 1 Introduction

The game **Mastermind** was invented in 1970 by Mordecai Meirowitz, and is similar to an earlier game called Bulls and Cows. There are many variations of the game<sup>1</sup>, but they all follow a broadly consistent format. The game involves two players: a code-maker and a code-breaker. The code-maker selects a sequence of length L (usually 4), where each element in the sequence is chosen with replacement from one of C colors (usually 6). At each turn, the code-breaker guesses a sequence and receives feedback in the form of black pegs and white pegs, where the black pegs denote the number of correct guesses in the right position, and the white pegs denote the number of correct guesses in the wrong position. Based on this information, the code-breaker refines her guesses and attempts to crack the code within the maximum number of guesses (usually 10).

For our project, we set out to implement different strategies and algorithms for optimizing the code-breaker's guesses. We then tested their performance based on TBC - performance metrics.

#### 2 Methods

It is helpful at this stage to introduce some mathematical notation to describe the game, which we will use consistently when describing the various methods that we tested. For simplicity, we use one-indexing in the formulas below, despite the Python code being zero-indexed. We define:

- set of possible colors:  $C = \{1, ..., C\}$
- set of positions in the code:  $L = \{1, ..., L\}$
- hidden code:  $H_i \ \forall i \in L$
- guess of the hidden code:  $T_i \ \forall i \in L$
- indicator function  $\mathbb{1}_{A=B}$ , which equals 1 if A=B and equals 0 otherwise

Using the above notation, we can denote the responses at each turn as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mastermind\_(board\_game)#Variations

- correct guesses in the right position:  $B = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \mathbb{1}_{T_i = H_i} \ \forall i \in L$
- correct guesses in the wrong position:  $W = \sum_{i=1}^{C} \min(\sum_{j=1}^{L} \mathbb{1}_{H_j=i,G_i}, \sum_{j=1}^{L} \mathbb{1}_{T_j=i,G_i}) B$

#### 2.1 Baseline: Knuth's Five-Guess Algorithm

**TODO** 

### 2.2 Random Search with Constaints / Random Sampling From Posterior

This method is described in the Mastermind literature both as a constrained random search algorithm [1] and in terms of posterior distribution updates [7]. We follow the latter approach below.

We start by defining the joint probability distribution over all possible code sequences as  $P(H_1, ..., H_L)$ . As we have no information, our prior is uniformly distributed.

$$P(H_1 = h_1, ..., H_L = h_l) = \frac{1}{C^L}$$
, for all combinations of  $(h_1, ..., h_l)$ 

We can denote the evidence that obtain at each step as e = (B, W), where B and W are defined as above, and use this to update the posterior joint distribution over code sequences as follows:

$$P(H_1 = h_1, ..., H_L = h_l | e) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|s(e)|}, & \text{if } (h_1, ..., h_l) \text{ is a possible code} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where s(e) denotes the set of possible hidden codes, given the evidence, and |s(e)| denotes the cardinality of this set.

We can define the posterior after multiple game steps analogously:

$$P(H_1 = h_1, ..., H_L = h_l | e_1, ..., e_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|s(e_1) \cap ... \cap s(e_n)|}, & \text{if } (h_1, ..., h_l) \text{ is a possible code} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $s(e_1) \cap ... \cap s(e_n)$  denotes the intersection of the sets of possible hidden codes, given the evidence at each step, and the entire denominator denotes the cardinality of this intersection.

We can use this framework to define the posterior updates at each round of the game, and then choose the next guess by sampling from the posterior distribution.

## 2.3 Maximizing Shannon Entropy

Entropy is a measure that is commonly used in information theory to quantify the average amount of information that is contained in a message. In the context of Mastermind, the "message" is the response of black and white pins that is returned by the code-maker at each turn. The goal of the code-breaker is to choose guesses that create as even a distribution as possible between the various responses<sup>2</sup>, as it will allow her to discard more possible codes at the next step.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>http://www.geometer.org/mathcircles/mastermind.pdf

Let us denote  $r_i$  as the ith response category and R as the number of possible responses<sup>3</sup>. We can then define the entropy of the discrete response space  $\{r_1, ..., r_R\}$  for a given guess as:

$$H(\text{guess}|\text{possible codes}) = \sum_{i=1}^{R} P(r_i)I(r_i) = -\sum_{i=1}^{R} P(r_i)\log_b P(r_i)$$

where  $I(r_i)$  denotes the information content of the *i*th response category. We use b = 2, meaning that we are measuring entropy in shannons, but note that any other value of b would yield a consistent ranking between guesses.

Practically, we calculate the probability of each response category for a given guess by counting (and normalizing) the total number of possible responses in each category, given the hidden codes that are still possible at that particular stage in the game. The (negative) value will depend on the shape of the probability distribution across the response categories, with the maximum entropy of 0 only achievable when there is certainty of a particular outcome (i.e.  $log_2(1) = 0$ ).

In order to improve her performance, the code-breaker will want to pick the guess that results in the highest entropy – or, if there are ties, one of the best guesses. This can be achieved through an exhaustive calculation of the entropy of all possible guesses at each stage or, for larger state spaces, through a local search technique such as simulated annealing or genetic algorithms.

#### 2.4 Simulated Annealing

**TODO** 

2.5 Genetic Algorithms

**TODO** 

3 Experiments

**TODO** 

4 Conclusion

**TODO** 

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ For example, the classic version of the game with codes of length 4 has 14 possible responses: (4,0),(3,0),(2,2),(2,1),(2,0),(1,3),(1,2),(1,1),(1,0),(0,4),(0,3),(0,2),(0,1),(0,0).

# A Figures and Tables

TODO

#### References

- [1] José Luis Bernier, C Ilia Herráiz, JJ Merelo, S Olmeda, and Alberto Prieto. Solving mastermind using gas and simulated annealing: a case of dynamic constraint optimization. In *Parallel Problem Solving from Nature? PPSN IV*, pages 553–563. Springer, 1996.
- [2] Benjamin Doerr, Reto Spöhel, Henning Thomas, and Carola Winzen. Playing mastermind with many colors. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fourth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pages 695–704. SIAM, 2013.
- [3] D.E. Knuth. The computer as a master mind. *Journal of Recreational Mathematics*, 1976.
- [4] Juan J Merelo-Guervós and Thomas Philip Runarsson. Finding better solutions to the mastermind puzzle using evolutionary algorithms. In *Applications of Evolutionary Computation*, pages 121–130. Springer, 2010.
- [5] Juan Julián Merelo-Guervós, Pedro Castillo, Antonio M Mora García, and Anna I Esparcia-Alcázar. Improving evolutionary solutions to the game of mastermind using an entropy-based scoring method. In *Proceedings of the 15th annual conference on Genetic and evolutionary computation*, pages 829–836. ACM, 2013.
- [6] Thomas Philip Runarsson and Juan J Merelo-Guervós. Adapting heuristic mastermind strategies to evolutionary algorithms. In *Nature Inspired Cooperative Strategies for Optimization* (*NICSO 2010*), pages 255–267. Springer, 2010.
- [7] Jiri Vomlel. Bayesian networks in mastermind. In *Proceedings of the 7th Czech-Japan Seminar*, 2004.