

Group id: 1801cs08_1801cs11_1801cs53

Group Members:

- 1. Ammaar Ahmad - 1801cs08**
- 2. Ayush Pandey- 1801cs11**
- 3. Sumit Raj- 1801cs53**

Subject: Artificial Intelligence Lab 1

Date: 02/09/2021

Note: all the python codes are written and tested on google colab.

e. Constraints to be checked:

- 1. h_1 = admissible, h_2 = admissible and h_3 = not admissible.**
- 2. $h_3 = h_1 * h_2$ - is not admissible as it gives an estimate higher than the true cost of optimal solution.**
- 3. If blank tile is considered as another tile, then the heuristics h_1 and h_2 are no longer admissible.**
If the blank tile is not considered as another tile and only a blank, then h_1 and h_2 are admissible.
- 4. If the search algorithm gets stuck into local optimum, then we can do the following things:**
 - (i) If it is *hill-climbing search*, then we can try another variants of it, like, *random restart hill-climbing*. It will increase the probability of finding a global maximum.**
 - (ii) If it is *simulated annealing*, then the algorithm will come out of the local optimum if the temperature is lowered appropriately. It will move to a neighbour with higher energy with some probability if the temperature is high enough. Then, it may find a global maximum.**

Now, let's analyse the feedback of these two algorithms in 8-puzzle problem:

1. Hill Climbing:

- a. the algorithm stops at a local maximum (a plateau) after exploring around 7-14 states, with sideways movements allowed.
- b. It cannot guarantee to find a solution when it exists.
- c. There are $9!$ States in total, but only 8 discrete values for heuristic function h_1 . So, many states have the same value, and the hill climbing algorithm finds it difficult to navigate through.
- d. It almost always took a constant amount time before getting stuck on a local maxima. The time complexity depends on how far it is from goal, how quickly it can make uphill moves and the number of sideways movements allowed.

2. Simulated Annealing:

- a. the algorithm discovers around 4-6 states before getting stuck on a local maximum
- b. It is less probable that it finds a path to the solution.
- c. If the temperature is decreased slowly enough, then it will more chances of finding the solution, But the time complexity will increase.
- d. it is incomplete as it cannot guarantee to find a solution when it exists
- e. The time complexity depends on the temperature schedule and how quickly the cooling function decreases the temperature to 0.
- f. if an exponential cooling function is chosen, then the algorithm will finish quickly, and when a linear cooling function is chosen, it will take longer.