

Diffusion with Discontinuous Galerkin Schemes

Eric Shi Ammar Hakim

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ

Outline

- 1 Antisymmetric LDG
- 2 Symmetric LDG
- 3 Direct DG
- 4 Symmetric Direct DG
- 5 Interface Recovery Scheme
- 6 LDG, DDG and Recovery Schemes Compared

Outline

- Looked at the following equation:

$$g = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}$$

- Explicit update formulas derived for approximating solution using piecewise linear basis functions:

$$u_h(x, t) = u_0 + \frac{x - x_j}{\Delta x/2} u_1$$

- Von Neumann analysis to find eigenvalues

Notation

$$[u] = u^+ - u^-$$

$$\bar{u} = \frac{u^+ + u^-}{2}$$

$$u^\pm = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^\pm} u(x + \epsilon, t)$$

To simplify analysis we use the shift operator and its inverse

$$Tu(j) = u(j + 1)$$

$$T^{-1}u(j) = u(j - 1)$$

Asymmetric Local DG (AS LDG)

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + f = 0, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} + w = 0$$

- Two choices of fluxes:

- $\hat{f} = f^+, \hat{w} = w^-$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \begin{pmatrix} 4T^{-1} - 8 + 4T & 2T^{-1} + 2 - 4T \\ -12T^{-1} + 6 + 6T & -6T^{-1} - 24 - 6T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- $\hat{f} = f^-, \hat{w} = w^+$

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \begin{pmatrix} 4T^{-1} - 8 + 4T & 4T^{-1} - 2 - 2T \\ -6T^{-1} - 6 + 12T & -6T^{-1} - 24 - 6T \end{pmatrix}$$

AS LDG Eigenvalues

- Both choices of fluxes result in the same eigenvalues for the Von Neumann analysis
- Defining $x = k\Delta x$,

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \left(-16 - 2 \cos x - \sqrt{186 + 136 \cos x + 2 \cos 2x} \right)$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \left(-16 - 2 \cos x + \sqrt{186 + 136 \cos x + 2 \cos 2x} \right)$$

- $k \ll 1$ limit:

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{36}{\Delta x^2} + 3k^2 - \frac{k^4 \Delta x^2}{6} + \frac{k^6 \Delta x^4}{270} + O[k^7 \Delta x^5]$$

$$\lambda_2 = -k^2 + \frac{k^6 \Delta x^4}{540} + O[k^7 \Delta x^5]$$

Symmetric Local DG (S LDG)

- By averaging the results from the two AS LDG schemes, we get a symmetric scheme for LDG

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \begin{pmatrix} 4T^{-1} - 8 + 4T & 3T^{-1} - 3T \\ -9T^{-1} + 9T & -6T^{-1} - 24 - 6T \end{pmatrix}$$

- Defining $x = k\Delta x$,

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \left(-16 - 2 \cos x - 2 \sqrt{42 + 40 \cos x - \cos 2x} \right)$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \left(-16 - 2 \cos x - 2 \sqrt{42 + 40 \cos x - \cos 2x} \right)$$

- $k \ll 1$ limit:

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{36}{\Delta x^2} + 3k^2 - \frac{k^4 \Delta x^2}{12} + O[k^6 \Delta x^4]$$

$$\lambda_2 = -k^2 - \frac{k^4 \Delta x^2}{12} + O[k^6 \Delta x^4]$$

Direct DG (DDG)

- We looked at two versions of Direct DG, the standard asymmetric version with interface corrections (DDG) and a symmetric version (SDDG)
- DDG solves the following weak form:

$$\int_{I_j} u_t v dx - \widehat{(u_x)} v \Big|_{x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}} + \int_{I_j} u_x v_x dx + \frac{1}{2}[u](v_x)_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^- + \frac{1}{2}[u](v_x)_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+ = 0$$

- The flux is defined as:

$$\widehat{u_x} = \beta_0 \frac{[u]}{\Delta x} + \overline{u_x} + \beta_1 \Delta x [u_{xx}]$$

- For $k = 1$, took $\beta_0 = 2$ and $\beta_1 = 0$

DDG Scheme

- Obtained the following update formulas:

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \begin{pmatrix} 2T^{-1} - 4 + 2T & T^{-1} - T \\ -3T^{-1} + 3T & -12 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Defining $x = k\Delta x$,

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \left(-8 + 2 \cos x - 2 \sqrt{6 + 4 \cos x - \cos 2x} \right)$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \left(-8 + 2 \cos x + 2 \sqrt{6 + 4 \cos x - \cos 2x} \right)$$

- $k \ll 1$ limit:

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{12}{\Delta x^2} - k^2 + \frac{k^4 \Delta x^2}{4} + O[k^6 \Delta x^4]$$

$$\lambda_2 = -k^2 - \frac{k^4 \Delta x^2}{12} + \frac{k^6 \Delta x^4}{40} + O[k^7 \Delta x^5]$$

Symmetric DDG (SDDG)

- SDDG solves the following weak form:

$$\int_{I_j} u_t v dx - \widehat{(u_x)} v \Big|_{x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}} + \int_{I_j} u_x v_x dx + ([u] \widehat{v_x})_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^- + ([u] \widehat{v_x})_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^+ = 0$$

- The flux is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{u_x} &= \beta_0 \frac{[u]}{\Delta x} + \overline{u_x} + \beta_1 \Delta x [u_{xx}] \\ \widehat{v_x} &= \beta_0 \frac{[v]}{\Delta x} + \overline{v_x} + \beta_1 \Delta x [v_{xx}]\end{aligned}$$

- v is nonzero only inside the cell I_j , so only half of the terms contribute to the computation of $\widehat{v_x}$

Symmetric DDG Results

- Used same values of $\beta_0 = 2$ and $\beta_1 = 0$ from DDG
- Get same update equations for piecewise linear case as the symmetric LDG method

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \begin{pmatrix} 4T^{-1} - 8 + 4T & 3T^{-1} - 3T \\ -9T^{-1} + 9T & -6T^{-1} - 24 - 6T \end{pmatrix}$$

- The two methods might be different when higher-order basis functions are used ($k > 1$)

Interface Recovery Schemes

A second integration by parts is performed to give weak form

$$\int_{I_j} u_t v dx = (v f_x - v_x f) \Big|_{x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}} + \int_{I_j} v_{xx} u dx$$

where $f(\zeta)$, $\zeta = x_{j-1/2} - x \in [-\Delta x, \Delta x]$, is a *reconstructed* polynomial that extends across two cells and defined as

$$f(\zeta) = f_0 + \zeta f' + \frac{1}{2} \zeta^2 f'' + \dots$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_{j-1}} v f dx &= \int_{I_{j-1}} v u dx \\ \int_{I_j} v f dx &= \int_{I_j} v u dx \end{aligned}$$

For piecewise linear basis function this leads to

$$\frac{1}{4\Delta x^2} \begin{pmatrix} 9T - 18 + 9T^{-1} & -5T + 5T^{-1} \\ 15T - 15T^{-1} & -7T - 46 - 7T^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues for this scheme are

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{75 \sin^2 x + 64 \cos^2 x + 112 \cos x + 49} + \cos x - 16 \right)$$
$$\lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{75 \sin^2 x + 64 \cos^2 x + 112 \cos x + 49} - \cos x + 16 \right)$$

with expansion

$$\lambda_1 = -k^2 + \frac{k^6 \Delta x^4}{360} + O(k^8 \Delta x^6)$$

Comparison of LDG, DDG and Recovery Schemes

