UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

ISO New England Inc.

Docket No. ER24-1290-000

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE ANSWER AND ANSWER OF ISO NEW ENGLAND INC.

)

Pursuant to Rules 101(e), 212, and 213 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure¹ of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("Commission" or "FERC"),² ISO New England Inc. (the "ISO") hereby submits its *Motion for Leave to File Answer and Answer* ("Answer") to filings submitted by several individuals in the above-captioned docket,³ in which the ISO submitted the Forward Capacity Auction ("FCA") Results Filing for FCA 18 ("FCA 18 Results Filing").⁴

As explained below, the protests submitted in response to the FCA 18 Results Filing are outside the scope of this proceeding. The ISO ran FCA 18 in accordance with its Tariff and, accordingly, the Commission should accept the results of FCA 18 as just and reasonable.

I. MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE ANSWER

In this Answer, the ISO replies to the comments filed in response to the FCA 18 Results Filing, which the ISO submitted to the Commission on February 24, 2024. The letters submitted by several individuals and groups in this proceeding include protests. While the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure allow parties to respond to comments,⁵ as a general matter, the Commission's rules prohibit responses to protests.⁶ The Commission has the authority to waive

¹ See 18 C.F.R. §§ 385.101 (e), 385.212, and 385.213 (2021).

² Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Answer have the meaning ascribed to them in the ISO New England Transmission, Markets, and Services Tariff (the "Tariff").

³ See Attachment A – List of Individuals who Submitted Letters to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in Docket No. ER24-1290-000.

⁴ See ISO New England Inc., Forward Capacity Auction Results Filing; Docket No. ER24-1290-000.

⁵ See 18 C.F.R. § 385.213(a) (3) (2021).

⁶ *Id.* at § 385.213(a) (2).

that prohibition for good cause.⁷ The Commission has found good cause to permit replies where they are otherwise prohibited in various circumstances, including where the answer would assure a complete record in the proceeding,⁸ provide information helpful to the disposition of an issue,⁹ permit the issues to be narrowed or clarified,¹⁰ or aid the Commission in understanding and resolving issues.¹¹ The ISO believes that this Answer will clarify the issues, assure a more complete record in this proceeding, and otherwise assist the Commission in understanding and resolving the issues raised in the FCA 18 Results Filing proceeding. For these reasons, the ISO respectfully requests that the Commission grant the ISO's motion to provide the following Answer.

II. ANSWER

A. THE PROTESTS FROM THE INDIVIDUALS AND GROUPS LISTED IN ATTACHMENT A ARE OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF THIS PROCEEDING

In the results filing proceeding, the ISO has to demonstrate that it followed its Commission-approved Tariff when it conducted the FCA. The Commission has also made it abundantly clear that challenges to the FCM market design are outside the scope of the results filing proceeding and, as such, the Commission will not address them when evaluating the results of the FCA.¹²

The individuals and groups listed in Attachment A to this Answer submitted comments protesting the results of FCA 18. These individuals/groups expressed concerns regarding, among other things, climate change and fossil fuels. Some of these individuals/groups also requested

⁷ *Id.* at § 385.101(e).

⁸ See, e.g., Pacific Interstate Transmission Co., 85 FERC ¶ 61,378 at 62,444 (1998), reh'g denied, 89 FERC ¶ 61,246 (1999).

⁹ See, e.g., CNG Transmission Corp., 89 FERC ¶ 61,100 at 61,287 n.11 (1999).

¹⁰ See, e.g., PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., 84 FERC ¶ 61,224 at 62,078 (1998); New Energy Ventures, Inc. v. Southern California Edison Co., 82 FERC ¶ 61,335 at 62,323 n.1 (1998).

¹¹ See, e.g., Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co., 92 FERC ¶ 61,009 at 61,016 (2000).

¹² See e.g., ISO New England Inc., 130 FERC ¶ 61,145 at P 33 (2010).

abolishment the Forward Capacity Market. The arguments made in these filings are unrelated to

whether the ISO followed its Tariff when it conducted FCA 18. Accordingly, the Commission

should reject these comments as they are outside the scope of this proceeding.

III. **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the ISO respectfully requests that the Commission deny the

requests of the individuals and groups identified in Attachment A to this Answer, and accept the

ISO's FCA 18 Results Filing as submitted by the ISO.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Margoth R. Caley

Margoth R. Caley, Esq.

Chief Regulatory Compliance Counsel

ISO New England Inc.

One Sullivan Road

Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

(413) 535-4045

Counsel for ISO New England Inc.

Dated: May 7, 2024

3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon each person designated on the official service list compiled by the Secretary in this proceeding.

Dated at Holyoke, Massachusetts this 7th day of May 2024.

/s/ Linda Morrison Linda Morrison Docket Administrator ISO New England Inc. One Sullivan Road Holyoke, MA 01040 (413) 540-4218

ATTACHMENT A

LIST OF COMMENTORS ON FCA 18 RESULTS –DOCKET NO. ER24-1290

Ellanora Lerner

Susan L. Durling

Linda M. Walsh

Montserrate Archbald

Robert Feder

Jack Hason

Sonja K. Birthisel

198 Methods

Kendra L. Ford

Regina A. Spector

Paul Shorb

Nathan G. Phillips

Susan L. Durling

Steven Botkin

James C. Mulloy

Rebecca Beaulieu

Lucy Lee

Siobhan Senier

Leif Taranta

Isaac B. Petersen

Nastasia Lawton-Sticklor

Olivia Chatfield

Rose M. Shuker-Haines

No Coal No Gas Campaign

Julie C. Macuga

David M. Hughes

Regine Spector

Healthlink

Sabine von Mering

Amended Comments Maria J. Marcum for No Coal No Gas

Mary Raven