Binary Search Trees

Instructor: Jeeho Ryoo

Announcements

- No more labs!
- BST is the last topic of the semester
- Apr 10: course wrapup
- Apr 11: all day office hour

Designing a Set

How would we implement Set?

Add

Contains

Remove

First Try

Store all the elements in an **unsorted** array or linked list

What is the Big-Oh of contains? What is the Big-Oh of adding an element? What is the Big-Oh of removing an element?

					5					
3	8	9	7	5	12	4	8	1	6	75

Another attempt

What if we **sorted** the array?

What is the Big-Oh of contains? What is the Big-Oh of adding an element? What is the Big-Oh of removing an element?

_	1		_		_	_		_	_	
2	5	6	8	11	13	17	22	23	29	31

Binary Search


Fast way to search for elements in a **sorted array** Looping through elements one by one is slow [O(N)] Idea:

Jump to the middle element:


- if the middle is what we're looking for, we're done. Hooray!
- if the middle is too small we rule out the entire left side of elements smaller than the middle element
- if the middle is too big we rule out the entire right side of elements bigger than the middle element

0										
2	5	6	8	11	13	17	22	23	29	31


Search for 8:




Search for 8:



Search for 8: Look at 13 it's too big, so we rule out indices 5-10




Search for 8:
Look at 13
it's too big, so we rule out indices 5-10
Pick the new middle of the remaining elements
Look at 6:



Search for 8: Look at 13

it's too big, so we rule out indices 5-10 Pick the new middle of the remaining elements Look at 6:

it's too small, so we rule out indices 0-3



Search for 8:

Look at 13


it's too big, so we rule out indices 5-10

Pick the new middle of the remaining elements Look at 6:


it's too small, so we rule out indices 0-3

Look at 8:


it's just right! We return true




Search for 7:



Search for 7: Look at 13 it's too big, so we rule out indices 5-10




Search for 7:
Look at 13
it's too big, so we rule out indices 5-10
Pick the new middle of the remaining elements
Look at 6:



Search for 7: Look at 13

it's too big, so we rule out indices 5-10 Pick the new middle of the remaining elements Look at 6:

it's too small, so we rule out indices 0-3



```
Search for 8:

Look at 13


it's too big, so we rule out indices 5-10

Look at 6:

it's too small, so we rule out indices 0-3

Look at 8:

it's too big! We rule out elements 3-4
```



Search for 8:

Look at 13


it's too big, so we rule out indices 5-10

Look at 6:

it's too small, so we rule out indices 0-3

Look at 8:

it's too big! We rule out elements 3-4 No elements left to search – we return false



Sorted Array

```
What if we sorted the array?

What is the Big-Oh of contains?

O(log N)

What is the Big-Oh of adding an element?

O(N)

What is the Big-Oh of removing an element?

O(N)
```

		2								
2	5	6	8	11	13	17	22	23	29	31

A Modification

Problem: an array is slow to insert into or remove from Our solution was a **linked list** – have each element connected to one other element


Easy to add/remove elements

Can't skip elements – need to go in order

Maybe we can find some way to implement the jumps necessary for binary search...

A Modification

What are all the possible paths binary search could take on this array?



A Modification

We always jump to one of two elements in binary search (depending on if the element we're looking at is too big or too small)

What if we had a Linked List where we stored two pointers, allowing us to make those jumps quickly?

Binary Search Tree

A **tree** is a data structure where each element (**parent**) stores two or more pointers to other elements (its **children**)

A doubly-linked list doesn't count because, just like outside of computer science, a child can not be its own ancestor

Each node in a **binary tree** has two pointers

Some of these pointers may be NULL (just like in a linked list)

We'll see examples of non-binary trees in future lectures

A **binary search tree** is a binary tree with special ordering properties that make it easy to do binary search Similar to a Linked List:

Each element in its own block of memory

Have to travel through pointers (can't skip "generations")

(Binary) TreeNode

```
struct TreeNode {
    int data; // assume that the tree stores ints
    TreeNode *left;
    TreeNode *right;
};
```


Binary Search Trees

A binary search tree has the following property:


All elements to the left of an element are smaller than that element

All elements to the right of an element are bigger than that element

Just like our sorted array!




Tree anatomy




BST Contains

How would you search a BST for an element?




BST Contains

- How would you search a BST for an element?
- Start at root:
 - If root is too big, go left (entire right subtree is too big)
 - If root is too small, go right (entire left subtree is too small)




Trees and Recursion


- Trees are fundamentally recursive (subtrees are smaller trees)
- Start at root:
 - If root is too big, go left (entire right subtree is too big)
 - If root is too small, go right (entire left subtree is too small)




- Search for 5
- Start at root:
 - If root is too big, go left (entire right subtree is too big)
 - If root is too small, go right (entire left subtree is too small)



- Search for 5
- Start at root:
 - If root is too big, go left (entire right subtree is too big)
 - If root is too small, go right (entire left subtree is too small)



- Search for 5
- Start at root:
 - If root is too big, go left (entire right subtree is too big)
 - If root is too small, go right (entire left subtree is too small)




- Search for 5
- Start at root:
 - If root is too big, go left (entire right subtree is too big)
 - If root is too small, go right (entire left subtree is too small)

2 8 17 29 5 11 22 31


Printing Trees

- We need to be able to print our Set
- How would we print a tree?



Printing Trees

- How would we print a tree?
 - Need to recurse both left and right
 - Traverse the tree!
 - Most tree problems involve traversing the tree



Traversal trick


- To quickly generate a traversal:
 - Trace a path counterclockwise.
 - As you pass a node on the proper side, process it.

• pre-order: left side

• in-order: bottom

post-order: right side


 What kind of traversal does a for-each loop in a Set do?



pre-order: 17 4 1 6 32 24 81
in-order: 1 4 6 17 24 32 81
post-order: 1 6 4 24 81 32 17


Traversal exercise

• Give pre-, in-, and post-order traversals for the following tree:



Traversal exercise


 Give pre-, in-, and post-order traversals for the following tree:



pre: 42 15 9 86 3 39
in: 15 42 86 9 3 39
post: 15 86 39 3 9 42

Exercise: contains

Write a function **contains** that accepts a tree node pointer as its parameter and searches the tree for a given integer, returning true if found and false if not.




contains solution

```
// Returns whether this BST contains the given
integer.
// Assumes that the given tree is in valid BST
order.
int contains(TreeNode* node, int value) {
    if (node == NULL) {
        return false; // base case: not found here
    } else if (node->data == value) {
        return true; // base case: found here
    } else if (node->data > value) {
        return contains(node->left, value);
    } else {
                        // root->data < value
        return contains(node->right, value);
```

getMin/getMax

Sorted arrays can find the smallest or largest element in O(1) time (how?)
How could we get the same values in a binary search tree?



getMin/Max solution


```
// Returns the minimum/maximum value from this BST.
// Assumes that the tree is a nonempty valid BST.
int getMin(TreeNode* root) {
    if (root->left == NULL) {
        return root->data;
    } else {
        return getMin(root->left);
int getMax(TreeNode* root) {
    if (root->right == NULL) {
        return root->data;
    } else {
        return getMax(root->right);
```

Adding to a BST

Suppose we want to add new values to the BST below.


Where should the value 14 be added? Where should 3 be added? 7?

If the tree is empty, where should a new value be added?



Adding exercise

Draw what a binary search tree would look like if the following values were added to an initially empty tree in this order:



Exercise: add

Write a function **add** that adds a given integer value to the BST.

Add the new value in the proper place to maintain BST ordering.

add(root, 49); root

Add Solution


```
void add(TreeNode* node, int value) {
           if (node == NULL) {
    node = New TreeNode(value);
} else if (node->data > value) {
    add(node->left, value);
} else if (node->data < value) {
    add(node->right, value);
}
```

Free Tree

To avoid leaking memory when discarding a tree, we must free the memory for every node.

Like most tree problems, often written *recursively* must free the node itself, and its left/right subtrees

this is another *traversal* of the tree should it be pre-, in-, or post-order?



Free tree solution

```
void freeTree(TreeNode* node) {
    if (node == NULL) {
        return;
    }
    freeTree(node->left);
    freeTree(node->right);
    free(node);
}
```


Removing from a BST

Suppose we want to **remove** values from the BST below.

Removing a leaf like 4 or 22 is easy.


What about removing 2? 19?

How can you remove a node with two large subtrees under it, such as 15 or 9?




Cases for removal

- 1. a **leaf**:
- 2. a node with a **left child only**:
- 3. a node with a **right child only**:




Replace with null
Replace with left child
Replace with right child



Cases for removal

4. a node with **both** children:


replace with **min from right** (replacing with **max from left** would also work)



Exercise: remove

Add a function **remove** that accepts a root pointer and removes a given integer value from the tree, if present. Remove the value in such a way as to maintain BST ordering.

```
remove(root, 73);
remove(root, 29);
remove(root, 87);
remove(root, 55);
```



remove solution

```
// Removes the given value from this BST, if it
exists.
// Assumes that the given tree is in valid BST
order.
void remove(TreeNode* node, int value) {
     if (nodè == NULL) {
         return:
    } else if (value < node->data) {
    remove(node->left, value);
                                              // too small:
go left
    } else if (value > node->data) {
    remove(node->right, value);
                                             // too big;
go right
     } else {
         // value == node->data; remove this node!
         // (continued on next slide)
```

remove solution

```
// value == node->data; remove this node!
if (node->right == NULL) {
    // case 1 or 2: no R child; replace w/
left
                 TreeNode* trash = node;
                 node = node->left;
                 free(trash);
           } else if (node->left == NULL) {
   // case 3: no L child; replace w/ right
   TreeNode* trash = node;
                 node = node->right;
                 free(trash);
           } else {
                 // case 4: L+R both; replace w/ min
from right
                 int min = getMin(node->right);
                 remove(node->right, min);
                 node->data = min;
```

Order or Procedures

We saw how to add to a binary search tree. Does it matter what order we add in?

Try adding: 50, 20, 75, 98, 80, 31, 150 Now add the same numbers but in sorted order: 20, 31, 50, 75, 80, 98, 150