

Columbia University: Arts & Sciences

A&S Spring 2022 Standard Evaluation

Course: PSYCGU4890_001_2022_1 - Laboratory in Justice Data Science: PSYCW4890_001_2022_1_142970

Instructor: Niall Bolger, Geraldine Downey

TA: Jacob Edwards *

Response Rate: 10/13 (76.92 %)

1 - What are the strengths and weaknesses of Jacob Edwards (discussion section leader, lab section leader, grader) as an instructor, and how might Jacob Edwards's teaching be improved?

Response Rate 8/13 (61.54%)

- Jacob Edwards was a very lively instructor and opened to listen to feedback for coding assignments.
- Amazing! Always there for his students and a very clear teaching assistant.
- Jacob was so knowledgeable and helpful and a huge reason why this class was so informative. He also broke down intimidating concepts super easily and was always willing to go the extra mile to help with homeworks and the final project. I could not be more grateful to him for all of the guidance throughout the course—legit best TA I've ever had.
- Jacob was great. Very available and attentive, and led great labs.
- Jacob is a fantastic TA, with an endless amount of patience, understanding, and overall a great teaching assistant. He was great at answering questions, going through code or ideas, and his assignments were applicable and I actually learned from it.
- Jacob was excellent - really made course material digestible and understandable for people who hadn't been exposed to it before. His labs were the best part of the class and his office hours were very helpful.
- Jacob is an EXCELLENT TA. Not only did he make data science accessible and easy to learn, he also made himself available for any questions, whether simple or complex. Genuinely kind and so so dedicated to the course. Prepared intuitive, comprehensive homework assignments that were incredibly helpful for the final. So thankful for his help!
- Have Jacob to thank for teaching me R! Such a helpful and knowledgeable TA.

2 - What is the overall teaching effectiveness of Jacob Edwards?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Excellent	(5)	9	90.00%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div>4.90</div></div>			
Very Good	(4)	1	10.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
10/13 (76.92%)				4.90	0.32	5.00		