

## DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY HOMEWORK 2

AARON NISKIN

PID: 3337729

1. DETERMINE THE CURVATURE AND THE TORSION OF THE CURVE GIVEN BY THE INTERSECTION OF THE SURFACES  $x^3 = 3a^2y$  AND  $2xz = a^2$

Firstly if  $a = 0$ , then the resulting intersection is the  $y, z$  plane and hence not a curve. So let  $a \neq 0$  which means  $x, y, z \neq 0$  so we can divide by them. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 8x^3z^3 &= a^6 & x^3 &= 3a^2y \\ 24a^2yz^3 &= a^6 & x\frac{a^4}{4z^2} &= 3a^2\frac{a^4}{24z^3} \\ 24yz^3 &= a^4 & x &= \frac{a^2}{2z} \\ y &= \frac{a^4}{24z^3} \end{aligned}$$

So, we can let  $z = t$ , and we have that the curve is,  $c(t) = \left(\frac{a^2}{2t}, \frac{a^4}{24t^3}, t\right)$  (so formally this would be two curves, one for the positive  $t$  and one for negative, and note that the denominators throughout this problem cannot be zero because  $t$  has to be on one side of zero).  $\dot{c} = \left(\frac{-a^2}{2t^2}, \frac{-a^4}{8t^4}, 1\right)$ , and  $||\dot{c}|| = \sqrt{\frac{a^4}{4t^4} + \frac{a^8}{64t^8} + 1} = \frac{\sqrt{64t^8 + 16a^4t^4 + a^8}}{8t^4} = \frac{\sqrt{(a^4 + 8t^4)^2}}{8t^4} = \frac{8t^4 + a^4}{8t^4}$ . Hence,  $T = \frac{8t^4}{8t^4 + a^4} \left(\frac{-a^2}{2t^2}, \frac{-a^4}{8t^4}, 1\right) = \frac{1}{8t^4 + a^4} (-4a^2t^2, -a^4, 8t^4)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{T} &= \frac{1}{8t^4 + a^4} (-8a^2t, 0, 32t^3) - \frac{32t^3}{(8t^4 + a^4)^2} (-4a^2t^2, -a^4, 8t^4) \\ &= \frac{8t^4 + a^4}{(8t^4 + a^4)^2} (-8a^2t, 0, 32t^3) - \frac{32t^3}{(8t^4 + a^4)^2} (-4a^2t^2, -a^4, 8t^4) \\ &= \frac{1}{(8t^4 + a^4)^2} (-(8t^4 + a^4)8a^2t + 128a^2t^5, 32a^4t^3, 32t^3(8t^4 + a^4 - 8t^4)) \\ &= \frac{1}{(8t^4 + a^4)^2} (-64a^2t^5 - 8a^6t + 128a^2t^5, 32a^4t^3, 32a^4t^3) \\ &= \frac{8a^2t}{(8t^4 + a^4)^2} (8t^4 - a^4, 4a^2t^2, 4a^2t^2) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T' = \dot{T}t' = \frac{\dot{T}}{\dot{s}}$ , where  $s$  is the arc-length parameter, it suffices to find what  $\frac{ds}{dt} (\dot{s})$  is. Note,  $s(t) = \int_{t_0}^t ||\dot{c}(t)|| dt = F(t) - F(t_0)$ , where  $\frac{dF}{dt} = ||\dot{c}||$  (by the fundamental theorem of Calculus). Hence,

$$\dot{s} = \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt}(F(t) - F(t_0)) = \frac{dF}{dt}(t) = \|\dot{c}\|. \text{ So,}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T' &= \frac{8t^4}{8t^4 + a^4} \frac{8a^2t}{(8t^4 + a^4)^2} (8t^4 - a^4, 4a^2t^2, 4a^2t^2) \\ &= \frac{64a^2t^5}{(8t^4 + a^4)^3} (8t^4 - a^4, 4a^2t^2, 4a^2t^2) \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa &= \|c''\| = \|T'\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{64a^2t^5}{(8t^4 + a^4)^3} (8t^4 - a^4, 4a^2t^2, 4a^2t^2) \right\| \\ &= \frac{64a^2t^5}{(8t^4 + a^4)^3} \|(8t^4 - a^4, 4a^2t^2, 4a^2t^2)\| \\ &= \frac{64a^2t^5}{(8t^4 + a^4)^3} \sqrt{(8t^4 - a^4)^2 + 16a^4t^4 + 16a^4t^4} \\ &= \frac{64a^2t^5}{(8t^4 + a^4)^3} \sqrt{64t^8 - 16a^4t^4 + a^8 + 16a^4t^4 + 16a^4t^4} \\ &= \frac{64a^2t^5}{(8t^4 + a^4)^3} \sqrt{64t^8 + 16a^4t^4 + a^8} \\ &= \frac{64a^2t^5}{(8t^4 + a^4)^3} \sqrt{(8t^4 + a^4)^2} \\ &= \frac{64a^2t^5}{(8t^4 + a^4)^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$T = \frac{1}{8t^4 + a^4} (-4a^2t^2, -a^4, 8t^4)$$

$$N = \frac{1}{8t^4 + a^4} (8t^4 - a^4, 4a^2t^2, 4a^2t^2).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } B &= \frac{1}{(8t^4 + a^4)^2} (-4a^6t^2 - 32a^2t^6, 64t^8 - 8a^4t^4 + 16a^4t^4, -16a^4t^4 + 8a^4t^4 - a^8) \\ &= \frac{1}{(8t^4 + a^4)^2} (-4a^2t^2(8t^4 + a^4), 8t^4(8t^4 + a^4), -a^4(8t^4 + a^4)) = \frac{1}{8t^4 + a^4} (-4a^2t^2, 8t^4, -a^4) \end{aligned}$$

$$\tau B = N' + \kappa T$$

$$= \left( \frac{T'}{\kappa} \right)' + \kappa T$$

$$= \left( \frac{1}{8t^4 + a^4} (8t^4 - a^4, 4a^2t^2, 4a^2t^2) \right)' + \kappa T$$

$$= \frac{-256t^7}{(8t^4 + a^4)^3} (8t^4 - a^4, 4a^2t^2, 4a^2t^2) + \frac{8t^4}{(8t^4 + a^4)^2} (32t^3, 8a^2t, 8a^2t) + \frac{64a^2t^5}{(8t^4 + a^4)^3} (-4a^2t^2, -a^4, 8t^4)$$

$$= \frac{8t^5}{(8t^4 + a^4)^3} (-8a^2(-4a^2t^2), -8a^2(8t^4), -8a^2(-a^4))$$

$$= \frac{-64a^2t^5}{(8t^4 + a^4)^2} \left( \frac{1}{8t^4 + a^4} (-4a^2t^2, 8t^4, -a^4) \right) = \frac{-64a^2t^5}{(8t^4 + a^4)^2} B \Rightarrow \tau = \frac{-64a^2t^5}{(8t^4 + a^4)^2}$$

2. If  $c$  is a closed curve of length  $L$  on the unit sphere, show that:

a.  $\int_0^L \tau(s) ds = 0$ . Firstly we note that if the curve is planar then  $\tau = 0$  and the proof is done. So let us assume that  $\tau$  is not constantly 0.

Next, we shall prove that  $\tau = \frac{J'}{1+J^2}$ , where  $J = \det[c, c', c'']$ , which would lead us to (by the fundamental theorem of calculus)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^L \tau ds &= \int_0^L \frac{J'}{1+J^2} ds = \tan^{-1}(J(L)) - \tan^{-1}(J(0)) \\ &= 0 \text{ because the three vectors must be the same at the endpoints (closed curve)} \end{aligned}$$

Now to prove our claim:

Note that since the curve is on the unit sphere, the vectors  $c, c', c \times c'$  form an orthonormal frame along the curve. Hence,  $c'' = \langle c'', c \rangle c + \langle c'', c' \rangle c' + \langle c'', c \times c' \rangle c \times c'$ . But since  $\langle c, c' \rangle = 0$  (again, because it's on a sphere), we have that  $0 = \langle c, c' \rangle' = \langle c', c' \rangle + \langle c, c'' \rangle \Rightarrow \langle c, c'' \rangle = -\langle c', c' \rangle = -1$ . Furthermore,  $J = \det[c, c', c''] = \langle c'', c \times c' \rangle$ . This last part can be seen because the determinant is the unique alternating multi-linear map that sends  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  (orthonormal basis) to 1. So that  $c'' = -c + Jc \times c'$  and  $\kappa^2 = \|c''\|^2 = 1 + J^2$ . Kühnel uses this to show that  $\kappa^2 > 0$ , but we can already see that  $\kappa > 1$  by considering the oscillating circle at that point (which has radius  $1/\kappa$ ) that must have radius  $\leq 1$  if it's to be on  $S^1$ . Which means,  $\kappa \geq 1$ . Either way, we have  $\kappa > 0$ .

Furthermore,  $T = c'$ ,  $N = \frac{1}{\kappa}c''$ , and  $B = T \times N$ . But  $\langle T', c \rangle = \langle c'', c \rangle = -1 \Rightarrow 0 = \langle T', c \rangle' = \langle T'', c \rangle + \langle T', c' \rangle = \langle T'', c \rangle + 0 \Rightarrow \langle T'', c \rangle = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \langle B, N' \rangle = -\langle B', N \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{\kappa} \langle B', T' \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{\kappa} \langle (T \times N)', T' \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{\kappa} \langle (\frac{1}{\kappa}T \times T')', T' \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{\kappa} \langle \frac{1}{\kappa}T' \times T' + \frac{1}{\kappa}T \times T'' + \frac{-\kappa'}{\kappa^2}T \times T', T' \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{\kappa} \langle \frac{1}{\kappa}T \times T'' + \frac{-\kappa'}{\kappa}T \times T', T' \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{\kappa} \left( \langle \frac{1}{\kappa}T \times T'', T' \rangle + \langle \frac{-\kappa'}{\kappa^2}T \times T', T' \rangle \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\kappa^2} \langle T \times T'', T' \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{\kappa^2} \langle T \times T'', -c + Jc \times T \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{\kappa^2} \langle T \times T'', -c - JT \times c \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\kappa^2} (\langle T \times T'', c \rangle + \langle T \times T'', JT \times c \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \langle T \times T'', c \rangle \text{ because } \langle T'', c \rangle = 0 \text{ } T'' \text{ is in the } T, T \times c \text{ plane which makes the above 0} \\ &= \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \det[c, T, T''] = \frac{-1}{\kappa^2} \det[T'', T, c] = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \det[T'', c, T] = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \langle c''', c \times c' \rangle = \frac{J'}{1+J^2} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $J' = \langle c'', c \times c' \rangle' = \langle c''', c \times c' \rangle + \langle c'', c' \times c' \rangle + \langle c'', c \times c'' \rangle = \langle c''', c \times c' \rangle$

b.  $\int_0^L \frac{\tau}{\kappa} ds = 0$ . Firstly we note that if  $\tau$  is constantly zero then the integral is obviously 0. Next we will prove that  $\frac{\tau}{\kappa} = \left(\frac{\kappa'}{\tau\kappa^2}\right)'$ , so by the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$\int_0^L \frac{\tau}{\kappa} ds = \frac{\kappa'(L)}{\tau(L)\kappa^2(L)} - \frac{\kappa'(0)}{\tau(0)\kappa^2(0)} = 0 \text{ by periodicity.}$$

If we consider the oscillating sphere (which must be the same sphere), we find that the center of the sphere is given by  $m = c + \frac{1}{\kappa}N - \frac{\kappa'}{\tau\kappa^2}B$  So that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = m' &= \left(c + \frac{1}{\kappa}N - \frac{\kappa'}{\tau\kappa^2}B\right)' \\ &= T + \frac{-\kappa'}{\kappa^2}N + \frac{1}{\kappa}(-\kappa T + \tau B) - \left(\frac{\kappa'}{\tau\kappa^2}\right)'B + \frac{\kappa'}{\kappa^2}N \\ &= \left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa} - \left(\frac{\kappa'}{\tau\kappa^2}\right)'\right)B \Rightarrow \frac{\tau}{\kappa} - \left(\frac{\kappa'}{\tau\kappa^2}\right)' = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note: this works even if  $\tau$  is zero on intervals or discrete points. Since we're assuming  $\frac{\tau}{\kappa}$  is integrable (otherwise the question is nonsense), we know the set of discontinuities of  $\frac{\tau}{\kappa}$  must have measure zero and therefore the zeros of  $\tau$  cannot be dense unless  $\tau$  is constantly zero. For simplicity of the proof, let us assume that  $\tau$  is only zero on the interval  $(a, b)$  for some  $0 \leq a < b \leq L$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^L \frac{\tau}{\kappa} ds &= \int_0^a \frac{\tau}{\kappa} ds + \int_a^b \frac{\tau}{\kappa} ds + \int_b^L \frac{\tau}{\kappa} ds \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \int_0^x \frac{\tau}{\kappa} ds + \int_a^b 0 ds + \lim_{x \rightarrow b} \int_x^L \frac{\tau}{\kappa} ds \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{\kappa'(x)}{\tau(x)\kappa^2(x)} - \frac{\kappa'(0)}{\tau(0)\kappa^2(0)} + \frac{\kappa'(L)}{\tau(L)\kappa^2(L)} - \lim_{x \rightarrow b} \frac{\kappa'(x)}{\tau(x)\kappa^2(x)} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{\kappa'(x)}{\tau(x)\kappa^2(x)} - \lim_{x \rightarrow b} \frac{\kappa'(x)}{\tau(x)\kappa^2(x)} \end{aligned}$$

But  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{\kappa'(x)}{\tau(x)\kappa^2(x)} - \lim_{x \rightarrow b} \frac{\kappa'(x)}{\tau(x)\kappa^2(x)} = 0$  because  $\tau = 0$  for all  $t \in (a, b)$ , and this curve is on a sphere. That means the curve is an arc of a circle on the sphere on the interval  $(a, b)$ . But then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \kappa = \kappa_0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow b} \kappa$ , and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \kappa' = \lim_{x \rightarrow b} \kappa'$  and also,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \tau = \lim_{x \rightarrow b} \tau$ .

This argument immediately generalizes to finitely many intervals and discrete points.

3. PROVE THAT FOR ANY REAL NUMBER  $c$  THERE EXISTS A CLOSED  $\mathcal{C}^3$  CURVE  $r$  OF LENGTH  $L$ , SUCH THAT  $\int_0^L \tau ds = c$ .

Let  $L, c \in \mathbb{R}_+$  ( $\neq 0$  because that case was done in question 2 and for negatives, just consider the reverse of our construction). We will define a curve,  $r$  (parameterized by arc-length), in 4 parts such that  $r$  has length  $L$  with total torsion  $c$ . Part 1 will be  $[0, \alpha]$  for  $\alpha = L/10$ , part two will be a curve on a sphere with  $s \in [\alpha, \beta]$ , for some  $\beta \leq 3L/10$ , then part three will be a plane curve for  $s \in [\beta, \gamma]$  for some  $\gamma < L$ , and part four will be another curve on a sphere with  $s \in [\gamma, L]$ .

Part 1 ( $s \in [0, \alpha]$ ):  $r(t) = (a \cos(t), a \sin(t), bt)$ , for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  (to be figured out later and assumed positive for our purposes).

Then,  $\dot{r} = (-a \sin(t), a \cos(t), b) \rightarrow \|\dot{r}\| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ , so that  $s(t) = \int_0^t \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} dx = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}t$ , and

$$r = r(s) = \left( a \cos \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right), a \sin \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right), \frac{bs}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right).$$

$$\text{So, } T = \left( \frac{-a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \sin \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right), \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \cos \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right), \frac{b}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right),$$

$$\text{and } r'' = T' = \left( \frac{-a}{a^2 + b^2} \cos \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right), \frac{-a}{a^2 + b^2} \sin \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right), 0 \right) \Rightarrow \kappa = \frac{|a|}{a^2 + b^2} = \frac{a}{a^2 + b^2}.$$

$$N = \left( -\cos \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right), -\sin \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right), 0 \right).$$

$$\text{Next, } B = T \times N = \left( \frac{b}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \sin \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right), \frac{-b}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \cos \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right), \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right).$$

$$\tau B = N' + \kappa T$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \sin \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right), \frac{-1}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \cos \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right), 0 \right) + \kappa T \\ &= \left( \frac{a^2 + b^2 - a^2}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}^3} \sin \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right), \frac{-a^2 - b^2 + a^2}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}^3} \cos \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right), \frac{ab}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}^3} \right) \\ &= \frac{b}{a^2 + b^2} \left( \frac{b}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \sin \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right), \frac{-b}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \cos \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right), \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} \right) \Rightarrow \\ &\tau = \frac{b}{a^2 + b^2} \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $\int_0^\alpha \tau ds = \frac{b\alpha}{a^2 + b^2}$ . But we want  $\alpha = 2n\pi\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ , so that things will play out nicely in parts 2,3, and 4. So  $\int_0^\alpha \tau ds = \frac{2n\pi b}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}$ . By letting  $a = 0$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (hence  $b \rightarrow 0$ ) we see  $\int_0^\alpha \tau ds \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand, by setting  $b = 0$  (hence  $2n\pi a = \alpha = L/10$ ) we see that  $\int_0^\alpha \tau ds = 0$ . So, for any positive  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we can find  $a, b, n$  such that  $\int_0^\alpha \tau ds = c$ . Next we will connect the two endpoints of this helix in a way such that the total torsion is 0.

Part 2 ( $[\alpha, \beta]$  on the sphere): In particular, this portion will be on the oscillating sphere at the point  $s = \alpha$ . This will be any regular curve of length  $\leq L/5$  on said sphere that ends on the great circle parallel to the plane defined by  $T(\alpha)$  and the line segment connecting  $r(0)$  with  $r(\alpha)$  (the two ends of the helix). Let us call this endpoint of part 2 of this curve,  $d$ . The idea is that part 3 will be a plane curve connecting to the same point on the oscillating sphere at the bottom of the helix, thereby making that into a pseudo-closed curve on a sphere and by question 2, the total torsion 0.

Part 3 ( $[\beta, \gamma]$ ) is just any plane curve that smoothly connects the point  $d$  from above to the corresponding point  $d'$  on the oscillating sphere at  $r(0)$  in such a way that it is a continuation of part 2 on the sphere.

Part 4 ( $[\gamma, L]$ ): Essentially a continuation of part two but on the oscillating sphere at  $r(0)$ . Note:  $\int_\alpha^\beta \tau ds + \int_\gamma^L \tau ds = 0$  because it is the total torsion of a closed curve on a sphere.

$$\text{Then, } \int_0^L \tau ds = \int_0^\alpha \tau ds + \int_\alpha^\beta \tau ds + \int_\beta^\gamma \tau ds + \int_\gamma^L \tau ds = c + \left( \int_\alpha^\beta \tau ds + \int_\gamma^L \tau ds \right) = c.$$

#### 4. PROVIDE A DEFINITION OF CONVEX CURVE IN A PLANE AND A PROOF OF THE FOUR VERTEX THEOREM (THEOREM 2.33).

“A simply closed plane curve is called *convex*, if the image set of the boundary is a convex subset  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ . The convexity of a subset  $C$  is defined in the usual way, namely, for any two points contained in  $C$ , also the segment joining these two points is completely contained in  $C$ .”

In other words, a plane curve  $c$  is called *convex* if it is the boundary of a convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Next up... The Four Vertex Theorem!

*Claim:* A simply closed, regular and convex plane curve which is of class  $\mathcal{C}^3$  has at least four local extremal points for its curvature  $\kappa$  (such a point is referred to as a *vertex*).

*Proof.* Let  $c$  be a simply closed, regular and convex plane curve parameterized by arc length and from the interval  $[0, L]$  to the  $x, y$  plane. Firstly, if  $\kappa$  is constant on any interval, then every point is a vertex. So from now on, let us assume that  $\kappa$  is not constant anywhere. Furthermore, since  $\kappa$  is a local extremal point,  $\kappa' = 0$  and  $\kappa$  changes sign.

Note: Since  $[0, L]$  is compact and  $\kappa$  is continuous, by the extreme value theorem,  $\kappa$  has an absolute minimum and maximum value in  $[0, L]$ . So two vertexes are given already.

Since the curve is a closed curve, we can assume that  $\kappa(0)$  is an absolute minimum and  $\kappa(s_0)$  is an absolute maximum. Next, we can choose the coordinate system to be such that  $c(0)$  and  $c(s_0)$  are both on the  $x$  axis. Since  $c$  is convex, if  $c(s_1)$  is also on the  $x$  axis, then every point between 0 and  $s_1$ , and  $s_0$  and  $s_1$ , should be on that line too. But that contradicts the curve being regular (second derivative cannot be 0).

Now, assume toward a contradiction that these are the only two points where  $\kappa'$  changes sign. Then, if we write  $c(s)$  as  $(x(s), y(s))$ , then the function  $\kappa'y$  never changes sign (because of our choice of axis). By the Frenet equations we have,

$$T = (x', y') \quad T' = (x'', y'') = \kappa N \quad N = (-y', x') \text{ because } T \cdot N = 0$$

By matching coordinates, we find,  $x'' = -y'\kappa$ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^L \kappa'y ds &= \kappa y|_0^L - \int_0^L \kappa y' ds \\ &= \int_0^L x'' ds = x'(L) - x'(0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

But since  $\kappa'y$  never changes sign, we know  $\kappa'y$  must be constant. Since  $y$  cannot be constant (in particular it cannot be constantly 0) on any interval (because the curve is regular), we find that  $\kappa'$  is constantly zero. But that means  $\kappa$  is constant, which is a contradiction to our criteria that  $\kappa$  not be constant on any interval.

Hence, there must be at least three vertexes. Since  $\kappa'$  changes sign at each vertex and the curve is  $L$  periodic, we know there must be an even number (if finite) of vertexes. Hence, there must be at least 4.

Hence, the 4 vertex theorem. □

5. SUPPOSE THAT A FRENET CURVE IS AN INTERSECTION OF TWO REGULAR (PARAMETERIZED) SURFACE ELEMENTS. SHOW THAT IF IT IS A LINE OF CURVATURE FOR BOTH SURFACES, THEN THE SURFACES INTERSECT AT A CONSTANT ANGLE.

Let  $M, N$  be surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with surface elements  $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  respectively and let  $c$  be a line of curvature for both surfaces. Since  $c$  is a line of curvature for both surfaces, for each  $p \in U$ , we can set a basis for  $T_p\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $u_1, u_2$  such that  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial u_1}(p)(u_1) = T = \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_1}(p)(u_1)$ . (Note that here we're assuming that both surface elements have the same domain simply for simplicity. It is not a requirement for the proof, but it makes notation easier for the reader. Otherwise we would have to filter everything through  $t$  and everything would work out the same.) Ultimately we will show that  $\frac{d}{dt}\langle \nu_N(p)(c(t)), \nu_M(p)(c(t)) \rangle = 0$ .

First we will notice that

$$\frac{\partial \nu_N(p)}{\partial u_1}(u) = D\nu_N(p)|_{u_1}(u) = D\nu_N(p)(u_1) = D\nu_N(p) \circ (Df(p))^{-1}(T) = -L_N(p, T)$$

and similarly, (for ease of notation, I will no longer write  $p$  because it is understood)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\nu_M(u) = D\nu_M|_{u_1}(u) = D\nu_M(u_1) = D\nu_M \circ (Dg)^{-1}(T) = -L_M(T)$$

Furthermore, there are  $\lambda_M, \lambda_N \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $L_M(T) = \lambda_M T$  and  $L_N(T) = \lambda_N T$  because  $T$  is an eigenvector of  $L$  for both surfaces, being a line of curvature and all. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{du_1}\langle \nu_N, \nu_M \rangle &= \left\langle \frac{d\nu_N}{dt}, \nu_M \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{d\nu_M}{dt}, \nu_N \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{\partial \nu_N}{\partial u_1} \frac{du_1}{dt}, \nu_M \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial \nu_M}{\partial u_1} \frac{du_1}{dt}, \nu_N \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{du_1}{dt} \left\langle \frac{\partial \nu_N}{\partial u_1}, \nu_M \right\rangle + \frac{du_1}{dt} \left\langle \frac{\partial \nu_M}{\partial u_1}, \nu_N \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{du_1}{dt} \langle -\lambda_N T, \nu_M \rangle + \frac{du_1}{dt} \langle -\lambda_M T, \nu_N \rangle \\ &= \frac{du_1}{dt} \left( -\lambda_N \underbrace{\langle T, \nu_M \rangle}_{=0} - \lambda_M \underbrace{\langle T, \nu_N \rangle}_{=0} \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now it might be worth while to note that  $u_1$  (when seen as a function rather than a subspace of  $T_p\mathbb{R}^2$ ), is really  $u_1(c(t))$ , but there is no need to go that far into it.