

*Veterinarian Hospital Wait Time Estimator*

*Amie Davis*

*Bellevue University*

*Spring 2021*

<https://amodavis.github.io/>

### Abstract

This project was requested by a veterinary hospital to address wait times. They are unable to estimate how long a patient is expected to wait due to urgent cases that come in and require special attention. Currently, staff provides a wild guess on how long the wait will be. The intent of this project is to demonstrate a use case in supervised regression. In it, you will see the problem defined and business objective set. I will guide you through my research and methodologies, resulting in a usable wait time estimator.

### Veterinarian Hospital Wait Time Estimator

Veterinarian clinics struggle with wait times. These clinics are unique since they provide specialized services, as well as emergency care for pets. Those with appointments often take a backseat to urgent cases. There are also unknown factors with appointment length and the staff required for each case since many are unique. Agrawal (2017) compares the operation of a hospital to that of an airport and poses several ways that operations can be streamlined. The key is to collect data at every point, so you can take advantage of machine learning.

The pandemic has increased pet ownership, causing the sheer volume of appointments to increase, both in-person and over the phone. Shannon (2021) indicates the more people are at home with their pets, the more likely they are to notice problems. This increases the need for veterinary visits. However, with the onset of the pandemic came closures and changes in protocols, limiting the number of appointments available at routine veterinary offices. This forced some owners to take their pets to the ER for what might normally be a routine office visit (Mechanic, 2020). Longer wait times at the veterinarian hospital can also be attributed to miscommunications that can occur over remote discussions between the vet and pet owners. Face-to-face interaction at your normal vet clinic can often resolve problems before they escalate (Dock, 2020).

So, what is a reasonable wait time? Shupe (2015) shows results from a survey indicating that most pet owners start to get upset after 15 minutes. He also makes recommendations on how to reduce stress levels during the wait. Interestingly, “Create technical interactions to keep clients focused on milestones” is second on that list, which is where an estimated wait time can help. Batt & Terwiesch (2015) highlight a notion that we have all experienced. Patients do not like being skipped. In clinics, it is not first come, first serve. A critical case, which may not always be apparent, is likely to be pushed

forward in the queue. The activity in the waiting area is often not reflective of the activity in treatment areas. That perception can have a negative effect.

## Method

### Business Problem

The demand for emergency treatment of pets has created a backlog in veterinarian hospitals. Although facilities are staffed 24 hours 7 days a week, they are unable to keep up the pace. Customers have to wait with their pets an uncomfortable amount of time and get impatient without knowing how long they can expect to wait.

*Business Objective 1: Let clients know anticipated wait time.*

*Business Objective 2: Understand factors that contribute to longer wait time so they can be mitigated.*

### Data Sources

I received three spreadsheets of data from an active veterinarian hospital. The first dataset reflects snapshots of the two waiting areas in 5-minute increments. The second dataset includes details of a patient's appointment, such as appointment time and symptoms, by department. Unfortunately, many triage and treatment codes, although standardized, were left blank. The third spreadsheet was an export from a wait time estimator known to be inaccurate and not customized to the facility or domain. Due to the known inaccuracies, I did not utilize this final dataset. The only commonality among the data from the waiting areas and the patient records is time. In addition to datetime data, there are also categorical consultation codes and appointment types. Since data from multiple appointments were stored in the

same data fields in the same record for the patient dataset, I used Trifacta to split the data into separate fields.

Unfortunately, I did not receive patient wait times. Rather, I received patient check-in times and running counts of patients in the waiting room. There was a lot of missing categorical data. It appears these fields are not required when making an appointment or to complete the check-in process. There was also a lack of event tracking. Even without pre-determined wait times, I expected to compute them based on check-in, treatment, and check-out times, however these event times were not provided. There was a disconnect in the time intervals provided in the waiting room whiteboard data. Most checkpoints were approximately five-minute intervals but not exactly. This made it more difficult to associate waiting rooms to patient appointments.

Since this dataset is from a current, operating animal hospital, I will not post the data. No personal patient information is included, and the clients are animals, so the data are not considered Protected Health Information (PHI). Aggregated data are viewable in visualizations provided in the results section.

### **Exploratory Data Analysis**

Both R and Python were used to prepare data for the initial statistical analysis and visualizations. This enabled me to get to know the data and the available features. I reviewed datasets to determine data types and volume of data. Distributions were analyzed and correlation between features determined. I analyzed descriptive statistics to identify outliers and anomalies. PowerBI was used to demonstrate responses to specific questions which allowed me to focus on features in which the clinic expressed specific interest.

## Technical Approach

The biggest question remaining was how to train a model to calculate wait times when only appointment times were provided. Troccoli, et al. (n.d.) used multiple methods to approach the problem of wait times. You can treat the target variable as continuous, creating a regression problem, or you can set up “buckets” of time as categorical target variables for a multi-classification problem. Perception of time can have a powerful impact, both positively and negatively.

So, how do we calculate wait time? Without timestamps for each stage in the process (check-in time, treatment time, check-out time), I was unable to accurately compute wait times. I updated my modeling objective to “Predict how many cases, given triage types, are treated concurrently in a given 5-minute waiting room window.” For example, if cases of triage types 1, 2, and 3 are being treated, 5 total patients can be treated at one time.

This capacity can then be used to calculate waiting time, using Little’s Law. Little’s Law states that the average length of a line (L) is the product of the waiting time in line (W) multiplied by the throughput to the system (Lambda) (Kosven, 2019).

$$L = W\lambda$$

*or*

$$\text{Wait Time (min)} = \text{People Waiting} / \text{Number Concurrent Cases treated}$$

I originally approached this problem as a multi-class classification problem with wait-time buckets. However, after I changed the approach to calculate throughput with the data provided, this turned into a regression problem using the throughput of patients as the target variable. I created a new derived column to store the patient change per interval to represent the throughput of the treatment area.

*Modeling Objective: Perform supervised learning to predict patient throughput.*

Using Python, I compared three different regression models: Decision Tree Regressor, Random Forest Regressor, and an AdaBoost Regressor. The advantage of tree models is the ability to explain the features impacting wait, which was a secondary objective of the customer. To assess model performance for each, data was split into training and validation datasets. Models were then assessed using the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) to explain variability. The best performing model progressed to the tuning phase, where multiple hyperparameters were adjusted to optimize  $R^2$ . For model deployment, I used Python to create a small application to prompt for contributing attributes. The estimator accepts current patient counts from the waiting areas and treatment rooms and provides an estimated wait time.

## **Results**

### **Exploratory Data Analysis**

I was surprised that the volume of patients at the veterinarian hospital did not drop at the onset of the pandemic, nor did it peak. This demonstrated that animal emergencies, which are normally lower in March, remained at their usual level, despite shutdowns at many places. Veterinarian hospitals, like human hospitals, do not shut down, and their workers were considered essential to provide imperative treatment during the pandemic. However, trips to the animal hospital did begin to increase between April and July 2020, and the increase has yet to drop back to pre-pandemic levels.

When reviewing abandonment data, I was pleasantly surprised to find the distributions represented to be normal, for both Outpatient and Intensive Care Unit (ICU) waiting areas. Although, I was shocked to see that anomalies for the number of patients waiting in the outpatient waiting area ranged from 60 to over 100 patients.

There was an outlier identified while reviewing Emergency Critical Care (ECC) counts. The maximum value of patients in that department per waiting room count was 73. Upon reviewing the data, I identified an almost 24-hour gap in the whiteboard data, which resulted in all patients treated during that time to be assigned to the last whiteboard entry. This outlier record was removed. When assessing feature

relationships, no features were overly correlated, so they were all retained for use in training the model.

See Figure 1 below.




Figure 1: Correlation Matrix

## Research Question Visualizations

PowerBI visualizations were used to review features in which the hospital expressed interest. I held a meeting with hospital IT personnel to understand some of the areas of concern. I also paid particular attention to possible causes brought up in an article by Union Lake Veterinary Hospital (2018). In it, typical causes of wait times at the vet were suggested, such as emergencies, late arrivals, nervous patients, and difficult diagnoses.



- How many patients in the waiting room when a customer leaves?

Outpatient waits for abandoned appointments is normally distributed with outliers of 68 or more people waiting. The peak abandonment in the outpatient waiting area occurs when 23 patients are already waiting. ICU waits for abandoned appointments is also normally distributed, peaking at 14 patients (Figure 2).




Figure 2: Patient Abandonment from Outpatient and ICU Waiting Rooms

- What is the average patient count in the waiting room during normal office hours?
- When are the best/worst times to book an appointment?

The average number of patients waiting in both waiting areas is around 30 for every hour, with the exception of the 6 am and 5 pm hours. Those are the best times to visit if you want to minimize your wait. See Figure 3 below.




Figure 3: Waits Per Hour

- Has there been an increase in the number of patients waiting since the pandemic?

Reviewing Figure 4, you see there has been a steady increase in the number of patients per day.

However, there was a sharp increase at the onset of the pandemic in April 2020, which leveled off in July 2020. Note, however, that number of patients continued the steady increase and have yet to fall back to pre-pandemic levels.





Figure 4: Patients Per Day

- How many urgent (“STAT”) cases are seen a day?

As you can see in Figure 5, the number of STAT cases per day varies from 3 to 23. STAT patients are designated by their triage type, which did not begin tracking until 2021.



*Figure 5: STAT Patients Per Day*

- What is the maximum/average/minimum number of patients treated in each department?

As you can see in Figure 6 below, most patients are treated in the Emergency Critical Care (ECC) department, around 85%, while the remaining are split between the Cardiology (CARDIO) and Internal Medicine (IM) departments. Whereas the ECC patients increased dramatically from April to July 2020, inline with overall patient volume, patients treated in other departments continues to vary from day to day.




Figure 6: Patients By Department

## Modeling

Scikit-Learn's Decision Tree Regressor was selected for its explainability. There was no need to encode or scale data before training decision trees. Mean Absolute Error (MAE) was used for the decision metric, since we are not concerned about smaller errors. The initial model resulted in a coefficient of determination of -0.38, which is considered a weak model.

Using Scikit-Learn's Random Forest Regressor resulted in a coefficient of determination of -0.10, which is a poor model, even after restricting to important features only. However, an advantage of a random forest model is its ability to relay the features having the most impact on the model (Figure 7). This allowed me to further refine features used in all models. The AdaBoost Regressor did not perform much better with a coefficient of determination of 0.2.




Figure 7: Features contributing the most to split decisions

Due to its initial performance, I selected the decision tree model to tune further. Limiting features increased the coefficient of determination from -0.38 to -0.44. Changing the criterion metric from MAE to Poisson increased  $R^2$  further to -0.49. Selecting the best random split increased it to -0.51. Considering the square root of the number of features at each decision point increased  $R^2$  again to -0.59. This provided a feasible model with a moderate rating. The resulting tree was quite complex, although it decreased from a depth of 51 with 4252 branches to a tree depth of 32 with 3970 leaves.

The deployed estimator application prompts for the specific status of both the waiting areas and treatment areas to provide an estimated wait time. See a sample of the estimator below.

Enter number of patients currently waiting in the Outpatient Waiting Room 12  
 Enter number of patients currently waiting in the ICU Waiting Room 12  
 Enter number of patients checked in for the ECC Dept 1  
 Enter number of patients checked in for the CARDIO Dept 1  
 Enter number of patients checked in for the IM Dept 0

Throughput is expected to be 8 patients per hour.  
 Current wait time is estimated to be 182 minutes.

## **Discussion**

### **Limitations**

This project was limited by the dataset provided. Without known wait times and patient severities for all records, the accuracy and scalability of the model is limited. See recommendations below. Due to several missing fields, only about 4 months of data was usable. This did not provide the volume of data needed to accurately train a model. Time was also a limitation. This was a short 4-week sprint. A lot of time was spent estimating throughput, limiting time that could have been spent on natural language processing.

### **Future Recommendations**

There are a few recommendations I have that are essential before the model can be improved to a deployable state. Most of these recommendations are related to data collection. The model can only be as accurate as the data on which it is trained.

1. Start collecting data at each checkpoint. Log the times when a patient checks in, is sent back to the treatment room, is consulted by the vet and/or assistant, and when the patient checks out. This is imperative to determine where wait times are most affected.
2. Require presenting problem and therapeutic procedure data fields to be entered. These data are essential to correlate wait times with various types of procedures. Although it appears that descriptions are standardized, they are often left blank. There is likely a billing system that has more detailed procedure data that could be linked.
3. Categorize clinical descriptions. Descriptions of problems reported are entered free form. Although notes can provide added details required for treatment, it would be helpful to categorize the problems reported. For example, a multiple choice of common ailments can be selected from a dropdown list.

4. For future models, I recommend using natural language processing to pull out commonly used words and frequency from both patient descriptions and vet treatment notes. These could also be used to determine commonalities impacting treatment times for each case.

## **Conclusion**

While we want to take care of our pets, we are also trying to minimize the time spent indoors in public, like a waiting room. If a clinic can provide an estimated wait time for their clients, they could spend that time outside with the pet until they are closer to getting called back for their examination, or safely wait in their cars.

There are several studies that show that customers are more patient when given an estimated time upfront. They can better plan their day and are more likely to bring their pet in for routine checkups. Animals who are ill are often anxious. This anxiety is passed to their owner. Providing an estimated wait time in such a case can bring that anxiety down. When a pet owner is calm, their pet will also be calm, and vice versa.

Yu (2021) tells us "Americans spend roughly 37 billion hours each year waiting in line," so we are used to waiting. However, we would like to know how much patience to bottle up.

### References

- Agrawal, S. (2017, October 19). Why hospitals need better data science. Retrieved April 13, 2021, from <https://hbr.org/2017/10/why-hospitals-need-better-data-science>
- Albon, C. (2018). Machine learning with Python cookbook practical solutions from preprocessing to deep learning. O'Reilly.
- Batt, R., & Terwiesch, C. (2015). Waiting Patiently: An Empirical Study of Queue Abandonment in an Emergency Department. *Management Science*, 61(1), 39–59. <https://doi-org.ezproxy.bellevue.edu/10.1287/mnsc.2014.2058>
- Chadwick, E. (2020, November 5). AI to help emergency Departments improve patient waiting time information. Retrieved April 13, 2021, from <https://www.jcu.edu.au/news/releases/2020/november/ai-to-help-emergency-departments-improve-patient-waiting-time-information>
- Dock, T. F. (2020, July 08). Why is my vet visit taking so long?!?! Retrieved April 12, 2021, from <https://www.noahshospitals.com/post/why-is-my-vet-visit-taking-so-long>
- Kosven, E. (2019, July 14). *Finding the Average Wait Time: Little's Law*. ISSSP for Lean Six Sigma. <https://issp.org/finding-the-average-wait-time-littles-law/>
- Mechanic, A. (2020, August 26). Veterinary emergency rooms see historic wait times because of coronavirus. Retrieved April 12, 2021, from <https://katu.com/news/coronavirus/veterinary-emergency-rooms-see-historic-wait-times-because-of-coronavirus-covid-19>
- Mithrakumar, M. (2019, November 12). How to tune a Decision Tree? Medium. <https://towardsdatascience.com/how-to-tune-a-decision-tree-f03721801680>.



Shannon, J. (2021, January 30). You may have to wait longer for a vet appointment during the pandemic.

here's why. Retrieved April 12, 2021, from

<https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/nation/2020/12/19/vet-appointments-during-covid-19-pandemic-longer-waits-busy-vets/3926813001/>

Shupe, C. (2015, November 23). Client happiness is a reasonable wait at your veterinary practice.

Retrieved April 12, 2021, from <https://www.dvm360.com/view/client-happiness-reasonable-wait-your-veterinary-practice>

Troccoli, N., Capoor, B., & Troute, M. (n.d.). Predicting CS106 Office Hours Queuing Times (Rep.).

Retrieved April 9, 2021, from Stanford University website:

<http://cs229.stanford.edu/proj2017/final-reports/5244299.pdf>

Union Lake Veterinary Hospital. (2018, November 29). Waiting game: The reasons behind wait times to

see your vet. Retrieved April 12, 2021, from <https://unionlakeveterinaryhospital.com/blog/waiting-game-the-reasons-behind-wait-times-to-see-your-vet>

Yu, Q. (2021, October 21). When providing wait times, it pays to underpromise and overdeliver.

Retrieved April 13, 2021, from <https://hbr.org/2020/10/when-providing-wait-times-it-pays-to-underpromise-and-overdeliver>

## Appendix A

### Presentation Q&A

Q: What factors were considered when determining wait times?

A: Outpatient Count, Time of Day, ECC Patient Count, and ICU Patient Count.

Q: Why did you select a regression model?

A: I selected regression since the target variable, throughput (a rate), is a continuous value.

Q: Do you think a model with an accuracy of 0.59 is deployable?

A: I think it depends on context. In this case, I would not recommend deployment. The resulting wait times are too fluctuated which may anger customers further.

Q: How will you determine if the estimated wait times provided to patients are accurate? Is there a feedback mechanism?

A: As stated in the recommendation section, it is important to track time at each checkpoint. After more data is collected the data can be re-trained. It should be periodically validated against new data to verify accuracy.

Q: Is the patient expected to count the number of patients in the waiting room?

A: No, the intent of this estimator is for use by office staff at check-in.

Q: Why is it necessary to enter the number of patients being treated in each department?

A: Given the data provided, this is the only method to determine how many patients are waiting. Once check-in and treatment times are collected, the estimator can be updated to make this determination automatically.

Q: How did you handle null values?

A: If initial appointment dates were missing, secondary dates were used. If neither appointment date nor

appointment type existed, the records were removed. Many records were missing triage type and procedure codes. These were labeled as EMPTY and included as a separate category, since they were categorical variables.

Q: Why do you think there are less patients waiting at 0600 and 1700?

A: Those times are representative of shift changes. During that time, both the arriving and departing staff are working.

Q: What do you think caused the spike in patients on 2 Jan 2020?

A: Typically, New Years and Independence Day are the busiest days of the year. Loud noises, fireworks and alcohol are contributing factors.

Q: Why are there no urgent care patients until 2021?

A: The Urgent Care program did not start until 2021. It sees stable patients Fri-Mon when routine vet care may not be available.