

## Other Considerations on Decision Trees

Here we gonna discuss some issues and considerations related to [Decision Trees](#) :

### Categorical Predictors

When splitting a predictor that have  $q$  possible unordered values, there are

$$\text{possibilities} = 2^{q-1} - 1$$

to partition the  $q$  value into two groups.

- The computation becomes to expansive for large  $q$  possibilities

To optimize and simplify the computation we order the **predictor** by their proportion for each category  $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_q$ , for example :

Trying to predict loan default  $1 \rightarrow \text{default}$  ,  $0 \rightarrow \text{n default}$

- For the predictor **city** it has 50 cities(categories)
- Rank the cities based on their default rate from the highest to the lowest a
- Find the optimal cut point which will results in a binary outcome
  - Split 1: {City F} vs {all other 49 cities}
  - Split 2: {City F, City B} vs {remaining 48 cities}
  - Split 3: {City F, City B, City Z} vs {remaining 47 cities}
  - ...
  - Split 49: {first 49 cities} vs {last city}
- We calculate the **Gini index** or **entropy** for each split to measure the impurity  $Q(T)$
- The result might be **Cities with default rate**  $\leq 0.15$  vs **Cities with default rate**  $> 0.15$  giving us only **Left and Right node**
- giving us only 49 splits to evaluate

If we tried to calculate all the possible  $2^{q-1} - 1$  splits it will be computationally impossible with  $O(2^q)$  complexity.

While the ordered approach is  $O(q \log q)$  for sorting +  $O(q)$  for split evaluation  $\rightarrow$  computationally feasible

**Note** : even tho the partitioning algorithm tends to favor categorical predictors , many levels  $q$  can grow exponentially and in practice might need feature engineering for large values of  $q$

### The Loss Matrix