# **Mutation testing in Ruby**

#### **Amol Verma**

Team Lead 株式会社メディ・ウェブ (MediWeb, Inc.)

# Background: Unit testing (specifically, rspec)

```
def weekend?(date)
  date.saturday? || date.sunday?
end
```

```
describe 'weekend?' do
  subject { weekend?(date) }
  context 'for a Sunday' do
    let(:date) { Date.new(2023, 1, 15)) }
    it { is_expected.to be true }
  end
  context 'for a Monday' do
    let(:date) { Date.new(2023, 1, 16)) }
    it { is_expected.to be false }
  end
end
```

# Background: Code coverage

- A metric that helps us understand how much of our source code is tested
- Usually measured in the form of:
  - Line coverage: lines of source code executed during tests
  - Branch coverage: branches of control structures executed during tests
- Ruby: simplecov gem (example on next slide)

#### app/models/user.rb

#### 75.0 % covered

8 relevant lines. 6 lines covered and 2 lines missed.

```
# frozen_string_literal: true
 2.
     class User < ApplicationRecord</pre>
 3.
       # Include default devise modules. Others available are:
 4.
       # :confirmable, :lockable, :timeoutable, :trackable and :omniauthable
 5.
 6.
       devise :database_authenticatable, :registerable, :trackable,
 7.
               :recoverable, :rememberable, :validatable
 8.
 9.
       has_one_attached :avatar
10.
11.
       validates :email, uniqueness: true
12.
13.
       def admin?
14.
         self.role == "admin"
15.
       end
16.
17.
       def name
18.
          "#{first_name} #{last_name}"
19.
       end
20.
     end
```

# The problem with (line-based) code coverage

- Tells us which lines of source code were executed by tests
- Does NOT tell us whether correctness of source code matters (example on next slide)

```
def weekend?(date)
  date.saturday? || date.sunday?
end
```

```
describe 'weekend?' do
  subject { weekend?(date) }
  context 'for a Sunday' do
    let(:date) { Date.new(2023, 1, 15)) }
    it { is_expected.to be true }
  end
  context 'for a Monday' do
    let(:date) { Date.new(2023, 1, 16)) }
    it { is_expected.to be false }
  end
end
```

If we remove one of these tests, we still have 100% code coverage!

How can we tell if code correctness matters? This is where mutation testing comes in...

# What is mutation testing?

- The practice of modifying code in small ways to check if our tests can catch these changes
- Make small modifications to your code ("mutants"), and check if the tests fail for this modified version
- Kill mutants, and increase how much code correctness matters!

# Demo

#### Pros

- Increases how much code correctness matters
- **Very effective** at eliminating certain classes of errors: nil handling, datatype errors, etc.
- Eliminating small errors like these prevents larger cascading errors
- Encourages you to think rigorously about how code works

#### Cons

- Resource intensive
  - Development time
  - Computation
- Gives you less freedom to choose coding style (may be especially onerous for Rubyists)
- At what point do returns start to diminish?
  - o == vs .eql? vs .equal?
  - 0 [0] vs .at(0)

#### **Conducive usecases**

- Projects that require highly robust code
- Inherited (legacy?) code with low test coverage
- Code with extensive unit tests
  - ∘ Gems ✓
- Rails
  - Models \( \operatorname{\operatornam
  - Controllers / requests
  - Views / features 🔀

# Things I wanted to talk about, but don't have the time to cover

- Incremental mutation testing
- mutant gem's licensing (free for OSS, \$90/month/developer for commercial projects)
- Using mutant in Cl

#### **Citations**

- Simplecov image: https://github.com/simplecov-ruby/simplecov/issues/718
- Referred to https://accu.digital-medium.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Kill-All-Mutants-ACCU-BRISTOL.pdf for flow of ideas in presentation

#### Acknowledgements

- Thanks to Paul McMahon for encouraging me to present, and for working on the skeleton of the presentation with me!
- Thanks to MediWeb and Yves-Eric Martin for letting me spend work time on learning and experimenting with things I'm interested in!

# Thank you!

Questions, comments, concerns, criticisms, complaints, queries? Contact me:

email: <email>

GitHub: amolverma