Exercises 4: Interaction and Concurrency

Luís Soares Barbosa

Exercise I.1

Suppose two variants of parallel composition have been added to the process language \mathbb{P} and defined through the following rules:

$$\frac{E \xrightarrow{a} E'}{E \otimes F \xrightarrow{a} E' \otimes F} (O_1) \qquad \frac{F \xrightarrow{a} F'}{E \otimes F \xrightarrow{a} E \otimes F'} (O_2)$$

$$\frac{E \xrightarrow{a} E' \land \overline{a} \notin \mathcal{L}(F)}{E \parallel F \xrightarrow{a} E' \parallel F} (P_1) \qquad \frac{F \xrightarrow{a} F' \land \overline{a} \notin \mathcal{L}(E)}{E \parallel F \xrightarrow{a} E \parallel F'} (P_2)$$

$$\frac{E \xrightarrow{a} E' \land F \xrightarrow{\overline{a}} F'}{E \parallel F \xrightarrow{\overline{\tau}} E' \parallel F'} (P_3)$$

- 1. Explain, in your own words, the meaning of \otimes e \parallel .
- 2. Guided by the semantic rules given, show how the synchronisation diagrams for $E \otimes F$ and $E \parallel F$ can be built from the corresponding diagrams for E and F.
- 3. Is \parallel associative with respect to \sim ?

Exercise I.2

Identify, in the list of process pairs below, which of them can be related by \approx . And by =?

- 1. $a.\tau.b.0$ e a.b.0
- 2. $a.(b.\mathbf{0} + \tau.c.\mathbf{0}) e a.(b.\mathbf{0} + c.\mathbf{0})$
- 3. $a.(b.\mathbf{0} + \tau.c.\mathbf{0})$ e $a.(b.\mathbf{0} + c.\mathbf{0}) + a.c.\mathbf{0}$
- 4. $a.\mathbf{0} + b.\mathbf{0} + \tau.b.\mathbf{0} \in a.\mathbf{0} + \tau.b.\mathbf{0}$
- 5. $a.\mathbf{0} + b.\mathbf{0} + \tau.b.\mathbf{0}$ e $a.\mathbf{0} + b.\mathbf{0}$
- 6. $a.(b.\mathbf{0} + (\tau.(c.\mathbf{0} + \tau.d.\mathbf{0}))) e a.(b.\mathbf{0} + (\tau.(c.\mathbf{0} + \tau.d.\mathbf{0}))) + a.(c.\mathbf{0} + \tau.d.\mathbf{0})$
- 7. $a.(b.\mathbf{0} + (\tau.(c.\mathbf{0} + \tau.d.\mathbf{0})))$ e $a.(b.\mathbf{0} + c.\mathbf{0} + d.\mathbf{0}) + a.(c.\mathbf{0} + d.\mathbf{0}) + a.d.\mathbf{0}$
- 8. $\tau.(a.b.\mathbf{0} + a.c.\mathbf{0}) e \tau.a.b.\mathbf{0} + \tau.a.c.\mathbf{0}$
- 9. $\tau.(a.\tau.b.\mathbf{0} + a.b.\tau.\mathbf{0})$ e $a.b.\mathbf{0}$
- 10. $\tau.(\tau.a.0 + \tau.b.0)$ e $\tau.a.0 + \tau.b.0$
- 11. $A \triangleq a.\tau.A \ e \ B \triangleq a.B$
- 12. $A \triangleq \tau . A + a.0 e a.0$
- 13. $A \triangleq \tau . A e \mathbf{0}$

Exercise I.3

Suppose processes R and T have transitions $R \xrightarrow{\tau} T$ and $T \xrightarrow{\tau} R$, among others. Show that, under this condition, R = T.

Exercise I.4

Consider the following statements about a binary relation S on \mathbb{P} . Discuss whether you may conclude from each of them whether S is (or is not) a weak bisimulation.

observacional:

1. S is the identity in \mathbb{P} . sim 2. S is a subset of the identity in \mathbb{P} .

3. S is a strict bisimulation up to sim (corrido a vermelho para -> equivalencia estrutural (simbolo mod))

4. S is the empty relation. 5. $S = \{(a.E, a.F) \mid E \approx F\}$. 6. $S = \{(a.E, a.F) \mid E \approx F\} \cup \approx$. sim

Exercise I.5

Show that

- 1. $E + \tau \cdot (E + F) = \tau \cdot (E + F)$
- 2. $a.(E + \tau.\tau.E) = a.E$
- 3. $\tau \cdot (G + a \cdot (E + \tau \cdot F)) = \tau \cdot (G + a \cdot (E + \tau \cdot F)) + a \cdot F$

Exercise I.6

Show that any process $\tau \cdot (\tau \cdot P + a \cdot \mathbf{0})$ is a solution to equation $X = a \cdot \mathbf{0} + \tau \cdot X$.

Exercise I.7

Let *E* be a process such that $fn(E) = \emptyset$. Prove or refute the following statements:

- 1. $E \mid Q \approx Q$.
- 2. $E \mid Q = Q$.
- 3. $E \mid Q = \tau.Q$.

Exercise I.8

Although concurrent systems usually deal with components exhibiting non terminating behaviour, it is sometimes useful also to consider terminating processes and their composition. Let T be a class of terminating processes which perform a special action \dagger to announce completion of all their tasks and evolve to $\mathbf{0}$ after that. In this class it is possible to define a combinator for *sequential* composition P; Q, whose behaviour is informally explained as *once* P *terminates*, P; Q *behaves like* Q. Formally,

$$P \; ; Q \;\; \triangleq \;\; \operatorname{new} \; \{m\} \; (\{m/\dagger\} \, P \; | \; \overline{m} \cdot Q)$$

where m is fresh identifier, not occurring neither in ${\cal P}$ nor ${\cal Q}.$

- 1. Define a process $U \in T$ such that U; $P \approx P$. Justify your proposal.
- 2. Prove or refute that, for any $P, Q, R \in T$,

$$(P+Q)$$
; $R \approx (P;R) + (Q;R)$

3. As sequential composition is a particular case of parallel composition, the law above could be regarded as a particular case of

$$(P+Q)\mid R\ \approx\ (P\mid R)\,+\,(Q\mid R)$$

This equation, however, is false. Confirm this by providing a suitable counter-example..

Exercise I.9

Consider the following specification of a pipe, as supported e.g. in UNIX:

$$U \rhd V \stackrel{\mathrm{abv}}{=} \mathrm{new} \left\{ c \right\} \left(\left\{ c/out \right\} U \mid \left\{ c/in \right\} V \right)$$

under the assumption that, in both processes, actions \overline{out} e in stand for, respectively, the output and input ports.

1. Consider now the following processes only partially defined:

$$U_1 \triangleq \overline{out}.T$$

$$V_1 \triangleq in.R$$

$$U_2 \triangleq \overline{out}.\overline{out}.\overline{out}.T$$

$$V_2 \triangleq in.in.in.R$$

Prove, by equational reasoning, or refute the following properties:

(a)
$$U_1 \rhd V_1 \sim T \rhd R$$

(b)
$$U_2 \triangleright V_2 = U_1 \triangleright V_1$$

2. Show or refute the associativity of \triangleright wrt process equality, *i.e.*, for all $P, T, V \in \mathbb{P}$,

$$(U\rhd V)\rhd T\ =\ U\rhd (V\rhd T)$$

3. Show that $\mathbf{0} \triangleright \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$.

Exercise I.10

Consider a combinator \circlearrowleft_n whose operational semantics is given by following rule

$$\frac{E \xrightarrow{a} E'}{\circlearrowleft_0 E \xrightarrow{a} E'} \qquad \frac{E \xrightarrow{a} E'}{\circlearrowleft_n E \xrightarrow{a} \circlearrowleft_{n-1} E^{\bullet}} \quad \text{for } n > 0$$

- 1. Explain its purpose.
- 2. Discuss whether, and for which values of m and n, one may have $\circlearrowleft_n (\circlearrowleft_m E) \sim \circlearrowleft_n E$.
- 3. Show that $E \sim F$ implies $\circlearrowleft_n E \sim \circlearrowleft_n F$.
- 4. Show, by a counter-example, that, whenever \sim is replaced by \approx , the implication above fails.
- 5. How could the operational semantics of this new combinator be changed so that the implication mentioned above holds? I.e. so that $E \approx F \implies \bigcirc_n E \approx \bigcirc_n F$?

Exercise I.11

Consider a combinator whose operational semantics is given by following rule

$$\frac{E \xrightarrow{x} E'}{E \downarrow a \xrightarrow{x} E'} \text{ if } x \neq a, x \neq \overline{a}$$

- 1. Explain its purpose.
- 2. Show that $P \downarrow a \sim Q \downarrow a$ if $P \sim Q$.
- 3. Define two processes E and F such that $E \approx F$ but $E \downarrow a \not\approx F \downarrow a$.
- 4. Prove or refute that if P=Q then $P\downarrow a=Q\downarrow a$.

Exercise I.12

Consider a new process combinator, called an action duplicator, and defined by the following rule:

$$\frac{E \xrightarrow{a} E'}{\circlearrowleft (E) \xrightarrow{a} E}$$

Note that the derivative in the rule's conclusion is E (and not E'). For example, \circlearrowleft (a.0) $\stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} a.0$. Prove or refute that

- 1. $E \sim F$ implies \circlearrowleft $(E) \sim \circlearrowleft$ (F).
- 2. $E \approx F$ implies $\circlearrowleft (E) \approx \circlearrowleft (F)$.
- 3. \circlearrowleft $(E+F) \sim \circlearrowleft$ $(E)+\circlearrowleft$ (F).