# Task 21: Full-File Bug Detection and Refactoring

# **Prompting Strategy 1: Zero Shot Prompt**

Prompt: Analyze the following utility script. Identify any potential logic issues or design flaws. Then, refactor it for better readability, correctness, and safety.

## Model 1 (GPT-4) Output:

```
import csv
def read_csv(filepath):
    with open(filepath, 'r', encoding='utf-8') as f:
        return list(csv.reader(f))
def summarize_column(data, index):
        values = [float(row[index]) for row in data[1:] if row[index]]
    except (ValueError, IndexError):
        raise ValueError("Invalid data or column index.")
    if not values:
        raise ValueError("No valid numeric data found.")
    total = sum(values)
    avg = total / len(values)
    return total, avg
def main():
    filepath = 'data.csv'
    data = read_csv(filepath)
    try:
        total, avg = summarize_column(data, 1)
        print("Total:", total)
        print("Average:", avg)
    except Exception as e:
        print("Error:", e)
if __name__ == '__main__':
    main()
```

Model 2 (Gemini) Output

### Analysis and Refactoring:

The original script had potential issues with error handling and flexibility. The read\_csv function was improved to include checks for file existence and to catch IOError, OSError, and csv.Error exceptions, returning an empty list in case of failure. The summarize\_column function was also enhanced to handle empty data, out-of-bounds column indices (returning None, None), and non-numeric values by skipping them and printing a warning to standard error. If a column contains no numeric data, it returns 0, 0.

The main function was modified to accept the file path and column index as command-line arguments, providing more flexibility. Default values are used if the arguments are not provided. The script now prints error messages to

standard error (sys.stderr) and exits with a non-zero status code using sys.exit(1) when appropriate, indicating an error. The code also uses f-strings for better string formatting and includes docstrings for improved readability. The utf-8 encoding is used when opening the file. These changes make the script more robust, readable, and user-friendly.

```
import csv
import os
import sys
def read_csv(filepath):
    Reads a CSV file and returns the data as a list of lists. Handles file errors.
    if not os.path.exists(filepath):
        print(f"Error: File not found at {filepath}", file=sys.stderr)
    try:
        with open(filepath, 'r', encoding='utf-8') as f:
            reader = csv.reader(f)
            data = list(reader)
        return data
    except (IOError, OSError) as e:
        print(f"Error reading file: {e}", file=sys.stderr)
        return []
    except csv.Error as e:
        print(f"Error parsing CSV: {e}", file=sys.stderr)
        return []
    except Exception as e:
        print(f"Unexpected error: {e}", file=sys.stderr)
        return []
def summarize_column(data, column_index):
    Calculates the total and average of numeric values in a specified column.
    Handles empty data, invalid column index, and non-numeric values.
    if not data:
        print("Warning: Input data is empty.", file=sys.stderr)
        return 0, 0
    if column index < 0 or column index >= len(data[0]):
        print(f"Error: column_index ({column_index}) is out of bounds.", file=sys.stderr)
        return None, None
    numeric_values = []
    for row in data[1:]:
        try:
            value = float(row[column_index])
            numeric_values.append(value)
        except (ValueError, TypeError):
            print(f"Warning: Non-numeric value in column {column index}, skipping.",
```

```
file=sys.stderr)
   if not numeric values:
       print(f"Warning: No numeric data found in column {column index}.", file=sys.stderr)
       return 0, 0
   total = sum(numeric values)
   average = total / len(numeric_values)
   return total, average
def main(filepath="data.csv", column to summarize=1):
   Main function to process a CSV file and summarize a column, with error handling.
   data = read_csv(filepath)
   if not data:
       print("No data to process. Exiting.", file=sys.stderr)
       sys.exit(1)
   total, average = summarize_column(data, column_to_summarize)
   if total is None or average is None:
       sys.exit(1)
   print(f"File: {filepath}")
   print(f"Column: {column_to_summarize}")
   print(f"Total: {total}")
   print(f"Average: {average}")
if name == ' main ':
   filepath = sys.argv[1] if len(sys.argv) > 1 else "data.csv"
   try:
       column_to_summarize = int(sys.argv[2]) if len(sys.argv) > 2 else 1
   except ValueError:
       print("Error: Invalid column index. Using default (1).", file=sys.stderr)
       column to summarize = 1
   main(filepath, column_to_summarize)
```

# **Prompting Strategy 2: Chain-of-Thought Prompt**

The following Python utility script reads a CSV file and summarizes a specific column by computing the total and average. First, identify any potential design or logic flaws in the code, such as lack of error handling, hard-coded paths, or poor readability. Then, explain how you would refactor the script for improved clarity, safety, and maintainability. Finally, return the refactored code.

### Model 1 (GPT-4) Output

# Issues in the Script:

The script lacks error handling, making it prone to crash on missing files or bad data. It uses a hard-coded file path, reducing flexibility. Readability suffers due to inconsistent spacing and formatting, and there's no check for empty or malformed rows. Also, using float() directly without validation can raise exceptions.

#### Refactor Plan:

To improve the script, I would:

- Add try-except blocks to handle file I/O and data conversion errors.
- Accept the file path as an argument or input for flexibility.
- Use with open() safely and clearly format the code.
- Add basic data validation and informative error messages.
- Rename functions and variables for clarity

#### Refactored Code:

```
# utils.py - Script to parse and summarize numeric CSV files
import csv
def read_csv(filepath):
    try:
        with open(filepath, 'r', newline='') as f:
            return [row for row in csv.reader(f)]
    except FileNotFoundError:
        print(f"Error: File '{filepath}' not found.")
        return []
    except Exception as e:
        print(f"Error reading file: {e}")
        return []
def summarize column(data, index):
        values = [float(row[index]) for row in data[1:] if len(row) > index]
        if not values:
            raise ValueError("No valid numeric values found.")
        total = sum(values)
        avg = total / len(values)
        return total, avg
    except (ValueError, IndexError) as e:
        print(f"Error summarizing column: {e}")
        return None, None
def main():
    filepath = input("Enter path to CSV file: ").strip()
    data = read_csv(filepath)
    if not data:
        return
```

```
total, avg = summarize_column(data, 1)
if total is not None:
    print("Total:", total)
    print("Average:", avg)

if __name__ == '__main__':
    main()
```

## Model 2 (Gemini) Output

## Analysis of the Original Script:

The script lacks error handling for file operations and non-numeric data, uses hardcoded paths and column indices, assumes a header row, and is susceptible to ZeroDivisionError if the selected column is empty.

# Refactoring Explanation:

The script should be refactored to include error handling for file operations using try...except and os.path.exists, handle non-numeric data in summarize\_column by skipping invalid values, accept the file path and column index as command-line arguments, provide default values, and check for empty data to prevent division by zero. Docstrings should be added for clarity.

#### Refactored Code:

```
import csv
import os
import sys
def read_csv(filepath):
   """Reads CSV, handles errors."""
   if not os.path.exists(filepath):
       print(f"Error: File not found: {filepath}", file=sys.stderr)
        return []
   try:
       with open(filepath, 'r', encoding='utf-8') as f:
            return list(csv.reader(f))
   except (IOError, OSError, csv.Error) as e:
        print(f"Error reading CSV: {e}", file=sys.stderr)
        return []
def summarize_column(data, column_index):
    """Calculates total/average, handles errors."""
   if not data or column_index < 0 or column_index >= len(data[0]):
```

```
return None, None
   numeric values = []
   for row in data[1:]:
        try:
            numeric_values.append(float(row[column_index]))
       except (ValueError, TypeError):
            print(f"Warning: Non-numeric data in column {column_index},
skipping.", file=sys.stderr)
   if not numeric values:
        return 0, 0
   total = sum(numeric_values)
   return total, total / len(numeric_values)
def main(filepath="data.csv", column=1):
    """Main function, gets args, processes data."""
   data = read csv(filepath)
   if not data:
        sys.exit(1)
   total, avg = summarize_column(data, column)
   if total is None:
        sys.exit(1)
   print(f"Total: {total}, Average: {avg}")
if name == ' main ':
   filepath = sys.argv[1] if len(sys.argv) > 1 else "data.csv"
        column = int(sys.argv[2]) if len(sys.argv) > 2 else 1
   except ValueError:
        print("Error: Invalid column index. Using 1.", file=sys.stderr)
        column = 1
   main(filepath, column)
```

#### **Model Comparison, Comments, and Analysis:**

In the zero-shot setting, Gemini outperformed GPT-4 in both completeness and relevance to the task. GPT-4 failed to fulfill the task completely. It returned only a script that included some basic exception handling but did not address the original code's structure or offer meaningful refactoring. In contrast, Gemini gave a thorough analysis of the original script, identified concrete issues, and rewrote the program to be more robust and user-friendly. In the Chain-of-Thought (CoT) prompting scenario, both GPT-4 and Gemini effectively analyzed the original script, identified issues, and proposed clear refactoring strategies. GPT-4 enhanced readability and flexibility with input prompts and structured error handling, while Gemini offered strong command-line support and well-documented functions. Both models used step-by-step reasoning to produce clearer, safer, and more user-friendly solutions.

# Task 22: Code Completion and Robustness Enhancement

# **Prompting Strategy 1: Self-Consistency Prompting**

The following Python script is supposed to clean lines of text by removing punctuation and converting everything to lowercase before counting word frequencies. However, the clean\_line function is incomplete. Analyze the function and explain what's missing. Then provide a correct and consistent implementation based on multiple reasoning steps.

#### Model 1 (GPT-4) Output:

The current implementation lacks logic to strip punctuation and lowercase the text. Let's evaluate a few approaches:

1. Use str.translate() to remove punctuation:

line = line.translate(str.maketrans('', '', string.punctuation))

2. Convert to lowercase:

line = line.lower()

3. Combine both steps into the function:

def clean\_line(line):
 import string
 return line.translate(str.maketrans(", ", string.punctuation)).lower()

This version ensures all punctuation is removed and all text is converted to lowercase, which helps normalize the input for accurate word counting.

# Model 2 (Gemini) Output:

Okay, let's analyze the clean\_line function and provide a corrected implementation.

Analysis of the Missing Implementation:

The clean\_line function is incomplete. It's intended to remove punctuation from a given line of text and convert the line to lowercase, but the pass statement means it currently does nothing. To achieve the desired behavior, the function needs to:

- 1. Remove Punctuation: Iterate through the line and remove any characters that are considered punctuation. The string.punctuation constant provides a convenient way to get all punctuation characters.
- 2. Convert to Lowercase: Convert the entire line to lowercase to ensure that words are counted consistently

regardless of their capitalization (e.g., "The" and "the" should be counted as the same word).

Here's a corrected implementation of the clean line function:

```
import string
def load_file(filepath):
    with open(filepath, 'r') as f:
        return f.readlines()
def clean_line(line):
    Removes punctuation from a line of text and converts it to lowercase.
    Args:
        line (str): The line of text to clean.
    Returns:
        str: The cleaned line of text.
    # Remove punctuation using a translation table
    translator = str.maketrans('', '', string.punctuation)
    cleaned_line = line.translate(translator)
    cleaned_line = cleaned_line.lower()
    return cleaned_line
def count_words(lines):
    word_counts = {}
    for line in lines:
        clean = clean line(line)
        for word in clean.split():
            word_counts[word] = word_counts.get(word, 0) + 1
    return word_counts
def main():
    filepath = 'input.txt'
    lines = load_file(filepath)
    counts = count_words(lines)
    for word, count in sorted(counts.items()):
        print(f"{word}: {count}")
if __name__ == '__main__':
    main()
```

```
Input: "Hello, world! This is a test."

Expected Output: "hello world this is a test"

Input: "It's raining; bring an umbrella."

Expected Output: "its raining bring an umbrella"

Prompt:

Complete the clean_line function so it removes all punctuation and converts the string to lowercase.

def clean_line(line):
    import string
    return line.translate(str.maketrans(", ", string.punctuation)).lower()
```

#### Model 1 (GPT-4) Output:

The script attempts to read a CSV file and summarize a numeric column, but it has several logic issues and design flaws:

- 1. No error handling: If the file is missing, if a row is too short, or if a value is not numeric, the script will crash.
- 2. Hard-coded file path: 'data .csv' has extra spaces and offers no flexibility.
- 3. Poor formatting: Inconsistent spacing and indentation make it hard to read and maintain.
- 4. No validation of row length: row[index] may throw IndexError if rows are malformed.
- 5. No check for empty datasets: If the file is empty or contains only a header, division by zero may occur.
- 6. Improper quotes: The code uses typographic (') quotes instead of ASCII ('), which causes syntax errors in Python.

#### **Refactored Code:**

```
# utils.py - Script to parse and summarize numeric CSV files

import csv

def read_csv(filepath):
    """Reads a CSV file and returns a list of rows."""
    try:
        with open(filepath, 'r', newline='') as f:
            return list(csv.reader(f))
    except FileNotFoundError:
        print(f"Error: File '{filepath}' not found.")
        return []
    except Exception as e:
        print(f"Error reading file: {e}")
        return []
```

```
def summarize_column(data, index):
    """Summarizes the numeric values in the given column index."""
        values = [
            float(row[index]) for row in data[1:] # skip header
           if len(row) > index and row[index].replace('.', '', 1).isdigit()
        if not values:
            raise ValueError("No valid numeric data found in the specified column.")
        total = sum(values)
        avg = total / len(values)
        return total, avg
    except (IndexError, ValueError) as e:
        print(f"Error: {e}")
        return None, None
def main():
    filepath = input("Enter the path to your CSV file: ").strip()
    data = read_csv(filepath)
    if not data:
        return
    total, avg = summarize_column(data, 1)
    if total is not None:
        print("Total:", total)
        print("Average:", avg)
if __name__ == '__main__':
    main()
```

# Model 2 (Gemini) Output:

# Analysis of the Original Script:

The script lacks error handling for file operations and data conversion, uses hardcoded file paths and column indices, assumes a header row, and doesn't handle empty columns, potentially leading to a ZeroDivisionError.

Refactored Script:

```
import csv
import os
import sys

def read_csv(filepath):
    """Reads CSV, handles errors."""
    if not os.path.exists(filepath):
        print(f"Error: File not found: {filepath}", file=sys.stderr)
        return []
    try:
```

```
with open(filepath, 'r', encoding='utf-8') as f:
            return list(csv.reader(f))
   except (IOError, OSError, csv.Error) as e:
       print(f"Error reading CSV: {e}", file=sys.stderr)
       return []
def summarize_column(data, index):
    """Calculates total/average, handles errors."""
   if not data or index < 0 or index >= len(data[0]):
       return None, None
   values = []
   for row in data[1:]:
       try:
            values.append(float(row[index]))
       except (ValueError, TypeError):
            print(f"Warning: Non-numeric data in column {index}, skipping.",
file=sys.stderr)
   if not values:
       return 0, 0
   total = sum(values)
   return total, total / len(values)
def main(filepath="data.csv", index=1):
    """Main function, processes CSV."""
   data = read_csv(filepath)
   if not data:
       sys.exit(1)
   total, avg = summarize_column(data, index)
   if total is None:
        sys.exit(1)
   print(f"Total: {total}, Average: {avg}")
if name == ' main ':
   filepath = sys.argv[1] if len(sys.argv) > 1 else "data.csv"
        index = int(sys.argv[2]) if len(sys.argv) > 2 else 1
   except ValueError:
       print("Error: Invalid column index. Using 1.", file=sys.stderr)
       index = 1
   main(filepath, index)
```

#### Model Comparison, Comments, and Analysis:

For this task, GPT-4 effectively used the self-consistency prompting strategy. It explored different ways to complete the clean\_line function and chose the best method. It recognized that the function needed to remove punctuation and convert text to lowercase. GPT-4 considered various approaches, like using str.translate() and lower(), and combined them into one line. This method met the prompt's goals and showed thoughtful reasoning, which is key to

self-consistency. On the other hand, Gemini provided a correct implementation but did not follow the self-consistency approach as closely. Gemini quickly generated a complete solution without comparing options or reflecting on the task. It included extra functions like load\_file() and count\_words(), which were not asked for. Although its code was accurate and well-documented, it felt more like a quick response rather than a result of a careful thought process. Overall, GPT-4 better captured the intent behind the strategy by showing clear reasoning and precise completion.