

6.10

- (a) 61% is a sample statistic, it's the observed sample proportion.
 (b) A 95% confidence interval can be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{p} \pm z^* \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}} &= 0.61 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{0.61 \times (1-0.61)}{1578}} \\ &= 0.61 \pm 1.96 \times 0.012 \\ &= 0.61 \pm 0.024 \\ &= (0.586, 0.634)\end{aligned}$$

We are 95% confident that approximately 58.6% to 63.4% of Americans think **marijuana** should be legalized.

- (c) 1. Independence: The sample is random, and comprises less than 10% of the American population, therefore we can assume that the individuals in this sample are independent of each other.
 2. Success-failure: The number of successes (people who said **marijuana** should be legalized: $1578 \times 0.61 = 962.58$) and failures (people who said it shouldn't be: $1578 \times 0.39 = 615.42$) are both greater than 10, therefore the success-failure condition is met as well.
 Therefore the distribution of the sample proportion is expected to be approximately normal.
 (d) Yes, the interval is above 50%, suggesting, with 95% confidence, that the true population proportion of Americans who think **marijuana** should be legalized is greater than 50%.

6.13

- (a) The hypotheses are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}H_0 : p &= 0.5 \text{ (Results are equivalent to randomly guessing)} \\ H_A : p &\neq 0.5 \text{ (Results are different than just randomly guessing)}\end{aligned}$$

Before conducting the hypothesis test, we must first check that the conditions for inference are satisfied.

1. Independence: The sample is random, therefore whether or not one person in the sample can identify a **soda** correctly is independent of another.
2. Success-failure: $80 \times 0.5 = 40 > 10$ and $80 \times 0.5 = 40 > 10$. Since the observations are independent and the success-failure condition is met, \hat{p} is expected to be approximately normal.

The test statistic and the p-value can be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{p} &= \frac{53}{80} = 0.6625 \\ Z &= \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}} = \frac{0.6625 - 0.5}{\sqrt{\frac{0.5 \times 0.5}{80}}} = \frac{0.1625}{0.0559} = 2.91 \\ p\text{-value} &= 2 \times P(\hat{p} > 0.6625 \mid p = 0.5) = 2 \times P(Z > 2.91) = 2 \times (1 - 0.9982) = 0.0036\end{aligned}$$

Since the p-value $< \alpha$ (use $\alpha = 0.05$ since not given), we reject the null hypothesis. Since we rejected H_0 and the point estimate suggests people are better than random guessing, we can conclude the rate of correctly identifying a **soda** for these people is significantly better than just by random guessing.

- (b) If in fact people cannot tell the difference between diet and regular **soda** and they were randomly guessing, the probability of getting a random sample of 80 people where 53 or more identify a **soda** correctly (or 53 or more identify a **soda** incorrectly) would be 0.0036.

6.24

(a) The hypotheses are:

$$H_0 : p_{CA} = p_{OR}$$

$$H_A : p_{CA} \neq p_{OR}$$

We have confirmed in Exercise ?? that the independence condition is satisfied but we need to recheck the success-failure condition using \hat{p}_{pool} and expected counts.

$$success_{CA} = n_{CA} \times p_{CA} = 11,545 \times 0.08 = 923.6 \approx 924$$

$$success_{OR} = n_{OR} \times p_{OR} = 4,691 \times 0.088 = 412.8 \approx 413$$

$$\hat{p}_{pool} = \frac{success_{CA} + success_{OR}}{n_{CA} + n_{OR}} = \frac{924 + 413}{11,545 + 4,691} = \frac{1,337}{16,236} \approx 0.0821 - \hat{p}_{pool} = 1 - 0.082 = 0.918$$

$$11,545 \times 0.082 = 946.69 > 10 \quad 11,545 \times 0.918 = 10598.31 > 10$$

$$4,691 \times 0.082 = 384.662 > 10 \quad 4,691 \times 0.918 = 4306.338 > 10$$

Since the observations are independent and the success-failure condition is met, $\hat{p}_{CA} - \hat{p}_{OR}$ is expected to be approximately normal. Next we calculate the test statistic and the p-value:

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \frac{(\hat{p}_{CA} - \hat{p}_{OR}) - (p_{CA} - p_{OR})}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_{pool}(1-\hat{p}_{pool})}{n_{CA}} + \frac{\hat{p}_{pool}(1-\hat{p}_{pool})}{n_{OR}}}} \\ &= \frac{(0.08 - 0.088) - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{0.082 \times 0.918}{11,545} + \frac{0.082 \times 0.918}{4,691}}} \\ &= \frac{-0.008}{0.00475} = -1.68 \end{aligned}$$

$$p\text{-value} = P(|\hat{p}_{CA} - \hat{p}_{OR}| > 0.008 \mid (p_{CA} - p_{OR}) = 0) = 2 \times P(|Z| > 1.68) = 2 \times 0.0465 = 0.093$$

Since the p-value $> \alpha$ (use $\alpha = 0.05$ since not given), we fail to reject H_0 and conclude that the data do not provide strong evidence that the rate of [sleep deprivation](#) is different for the two states.

(b) Type II, since we may have incorrectly failed to reject H_0 .

6.25

- (a) College grads: $\frac{154}{438} = 0.352$
 Non-college grads: $\frac{132}{389} = 0.339$

- (b) Let p_{CG} represent the proportion of college graduates who support offshore drilling, and p_{NCG} represent the proportion of non-college graduates who do so. Then,

$$H_0 : p_{CG} = p_{NCG}$$

$$H_A : p_{CG} \neq p_{NCG}$$

Before calculating the test statistic we should check that the conditions are satisfied.

1. Independence: Both samples are random and unrelated, so independence is satisfied.
2. Success-failure: First we need to find \hat{p}_{pool} and then use that to calculate the numbers of expected successes and failures in each group.

$$\hat{p}_{pool} = \frac{success_{CG} + success_{NCG}}{n_{CG} + n_{NCG}} = \frac{154 + 132}{438 + 389} = \frac{286}{827} = 0.346$$

$$1 - \hat{p}_{pool} = 1 - 0.346 = 0.654$$

$$438 \times 0.346 = 151.548 > 10 \quad 438 \times 0.654 = 286.452 > 10$$

$$389 \times 0.346 = 134.594 > 10 \quad 389 \times 0.654 = 254.406 > 10$$

Since the observations are independent and the success-failure condition is met, $\hat{p}_{CG} - \hat{p}_{NCG}$ is expected to be approximately normal. Next we calculate the test statistic and the p-value:

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \frac{(\hat{p}_{CG} - \hat{p}_{NCG}) - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_{pool}(1-\hat{p}_{pool})}{n_{CG}} + \frac{\hat{p}_{pool}(1-\hat{p}_{pool})}{n_{NCG}}}} \\ &= \frac{(0.352 - 0.339)}{\sqrt{\frac{0.346 \times 0.654}{438} + \frac{0.346 \times 0.654}{389}}} = \frac{0.013}{0.033} = 0.39 \end{aligned}$$

$$p\text{-value} = P(|\hat{p}_{CG} - \hat{p}_{NCG}| > 0.013 \mid (p_{CG} - p_{NCG}) = 0) = P(|Z| > 0.39) = 2 \times 0.3483 = 0.6966$$

Since the p-value $> \alpha$ (0.05), we fail to reject H_0 . The data do not provide strong evidence of a difference between the proportions of college graduates and non-college graduates who support off-shore drilling in California.