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# 1 Introduction

T

RAFFIC conditions are influenced by a lot of factors particularly in countries like The United States of America which is highly diverse in the environment, culture and technology used.

We would like to start our discussion with a small story that happened in my last vacation to collarado. In our return trip, we started with snowfall in Colorado, continued our drive-in rain and ended it in hot and Sunny Arizona. Environmental conditions contribute a lot to the driving conditions and can make driving challenging. For example, snowfall and rain can contribute to skidding and a bright sun can blind our vision. Driving conditions change from place to place with season. Arizona winter are friendlier comapared to driving compared to Seattle/Colorado winter where as a Seattle summer is more driving friendly compared to Arizona Summer. Every year National Highway Traffic SafetyAdministration(NHTSA) makes the Fatality Anality Reporting system(FARS) data publicly available. This diversity in weather conditions will lead to interesting patterns in Geographical analysis of accident data which leaded us to decide on analyzing the accident data related to The United States of America.

There are a lot of technological advancements in the last 10 years that assist drivers by enchancing the driving experience. Advanced mapping technologies with voice assisted navigation reduces the effort required to navigate and advancements in technology and Artifical Intelligence led to intelligent Driver Safety technologies like Automated collision prevention and obstacle detection. All these technologies should help to reduce the probability of an accidents. However, the technological advacements has its own disadvantages along with the advantages. Increase in the mobile usage will lead to increase in distractions like texting and driving, mobile usage while driving. Along with the trends in the data we also want to visualize any seasonal trends in the accidentThese technical advancements in the past 10 years will lead to interesting temporal patterns. Therefore, we are considering the 10 years of data i.e., 2006-2015 for the analysis.

FARS is a rich data set with a granural data about the accident, the vehicles involved in the accident and the persons involved in the accident for every accident that is reported. It also has data related to the factors that would have contributed to the accident. The granularity of the data will allow us to ask interesting questions on the data like How is manner of collision correlated to weather condition in the incident? Therefore, we want to visualize FARS data using multivariate, geographical and termporal visualizations.

# 2 Related Work

## 2.1 Accident data

Previous work on accident data and their short comings.

## 2.2 Multi Variate Visualization

## 2.3 Geographic Visualization

Previous work on geographic visualizations.

## Temporal visualization

Previous work on time series visualizations.

## Spacio-temporal Visualization

Previous work on time series visualization.

# 3 Design principles

## Data Discussion

Discuss about our overwhelmingly large dataset and the challenges we faced while preparing this dataset for Visualization.

## Visual Variables

Location:

Parallel sets visusalization is located at the top of the page to give an overview of the correlation of the factors as the data is aggregate for all the years in the dataset. It is oriented horizontally. Each horizontal axis represents a factor selected. Divergent color theme is utilized since the categories of the selected factor are nominal in nature. Each factor is stacked horizontally and the divergent color schem is applied to the top layer by default. Color calue of the ribbon increases when the mouse hover on it to bring users attention to the information displayed in the tooltip. This increases the readability of the information.

Discuss about why we chose some colors and other different visual varialbes.

## Questions that can be answered

Different questions our visualization system can answer. How we decided on using stacked area to alleviate problems with previous spacio temporal visualization systems.

paralle sets is used for comparing categorical data of factors selected by the user. For eample, user can compare factors like manner of collision (having ‘Front’, ‘Rear’, ‘Angle’, ‘Random’ categories) and weather conditions (having ‘Moderate’,’Rain’,’Snow’,’Fog’,’Winds’ categries) and can draw the conclusions that xxxxx is xxxx. From this observation, we can hypothesize that a xxxxxx and take necessary precautions to reduce fatalities involving these factors. Similarly, user can compare different factors draw conclusions from them.

# System

## Parallel sets

Parallel sets visualization is used for the purpose of visualizing any correlation between the factors nominal data with categorical sets. The discrete lines on the axis for a factor represents the categories and the width of the ribbons are scaled according to the frequency of the occurrence of categorical data in the dataset.

## Geographic Visualization

Write about visual varables, interactive elements and other design related stuff particular to this visualization

## Time Series Visualization

Write about visual varables, interactive elements and other design related stuff particular to this visualization

## Interactive elements in the system

Write about visual varables, interactive elements and other design related stuff particular to this visualization

For parallel sets, user can add new factors to existing list of factors to generate correlation between the factors which can help the user to develop insights on the data. Addition of factors can be done through a dropdown button with list of factors from which user can choose. Divergent color scheme is used to represent different categories of a factor in the first axis and they are traced down to different levels of axes of other selected factors. This helps the user to identify the correlation between various categories of different factors. Each level of the visualization has an option to rearrange the categories based on the category frequency or alphabetic order of the category name. User can hover over a particular ribbon that connects two categories of different factors and get the total aggregate and precentage of frequency of occurrence of such combination in the dataset.

Parallelsets take the filtered array of data as input and generated parent and child nodes with related information to generate parallel sets. Barcharts for all the selected factors of parallelsets are provided to the right side of visualization which acts as drill-down for each selected category and also as a filter for the user to select a particular category from a factor to better understand its correlation to other factors.

## Technology stack

Write about the different technologies we used for developing this project like crossfilterJS, DC.js, D3.Js, python, Http-Server, d3.parsets.js and github for team management

## Limitations and possible extensions

Write about slow loading times of our project and possible extensions with enough time.

# 5 Results

Different observations we found using our system.

As a final result, we designed a parallel set visualization that can provide correlation between various interesting factors that a user can select. Initially, at the start of the visualization, it provides an overall correlation between selected factors. The correlation between factors changes as the user interacts with other visualizations to gain insights. For example, when the user selects a particular year for analysis, this filter of data is applied for parallel sets as well. The user can hover over the correlation lines to know the magnitude of frequency of occurrence and its overall percentage in the dataset. This follows the Shneiderman’s visualization mantra of overview first and details on demand.

# 6 Conclusion
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