Quality Review Template

...

QUALITY REVIEW EVALUATION

Counselor Information Counselor Name: [Name] Interaction Date: [Date] Interaction ID: [ID]

Evaluation Criteria

Rate each area: 2 points (Fully Met), 1 point (Partially Met), 0 points (Not Met), or N/A

Call Opening

Answered interaction in less than 20 seconds of joining

Rating: ○ Fully Met (2) ○ Partially Met (1) ○ Not Met (0) ○ N/A

Notes: [Notes on performance for this criterion]

Opening message completed with tact

Rating: o Fully Met (2) o Partially Met (1) o Not Met (0) o N/A

Notes: [Notes on performance for this criterion]

Risk Assessment

SASS/Screener guestions attempted at least twice (unless contact requested otherwise)

Rating: o Fully Met (2) o Partially Met (1) o Not Met (0) o N/A

Notes: [Notes on performance for this criterion]

Used creative non-judgmental empathy and built rapport

Rating: o Fully Met (2) o Partially Met (1) o Not Met (0) o N/A

Notes: [Notes on performance for this criterion]

Communication Skills

Demonstrated active listening through reflective responses

Rating: o Fully Met (2) o Partially Met (1) o Not Met (0) o N/A

Notes: [Notes on performance for this criterion]

Used appropriate LGBTQ+ inclusive language

Rating: o Fully Met (2) o Partially Met (1) o Not Met (0) o N/A

Notes: [Notes on performance for this criterion]

Responded to emotional content with appropriate empathy

Rating: ○ Fully Met (2) ○ Partially Met (1) ○ Not Met (0) ○ N/A

Notes: [Notes on performance for this criterion]

Support Effectiveness

Provided appropriate resources relevant to the contact's needs Rating: \circ Fully Met (2) \circ Partially Met (1) \circ Not Met (0) \circ N/A

Notes: [Notes on performance for this criterion]

Helped identify coping strategies or action steps

Rating: o Fully Met (2) o Partially Met (1) o Not Met (0) o N/A

Notes: [Notes on performance for this criterion]

Call Closure

Summarized key points of the interaction

Rating: ○ Fully Met (2) ○ Partially Met (1) ○ Not Met (0) ○ N/A

Notes: [Notes on performance for this criterion]

Completed closure process appropriately

Rating: ○ Fully Met (2) ○ Partially Met (1) ○ Not Met (0) ○ N/A

Notes: [Notes on performance for this criterion]

Overall Feedback

[Provide comprehensive feedback about the interaction, including specific strengths and growth opportunities]

Score Calculation

Total Points: [X] out of [Y] possible points

Percentage Score: [Z]%

Quality Status

- Excellent (90-100%)
- o Good (75-89%)
- Needs Improvement (<75%)

Follow-Up Actions

- [Action item 1]
- [Action item 2]
- [Action item 3]

Reviewer: [Name]
Review Date: [Date]