

## Chapter 2, Adam P. and Josh F.

## ► What is a Theory?

- Uses First Order Logic(Variables, Logical symbols, Nonlogical symbols, Syntax) as a generic syntactic framework
- Each theory has its own restriction on Nonlogical symbols
- INTERPRETATION of Nonlogical symbols is also important
- Most of the theories we will see in this book are “quantifier-free”, and the logical axioms(restrictions on the interpretation of logical symbols) are built-in: common to all FO theories



# Theory 1: Propositional Logic

- ▶ Simple syntax: Or, Not, (), atom
- ▶ Three atoms: Boolean-identifiers, TRUE, FALSE
- ▶ Many applications: database queries, planning problems in AI, automated reasoning, circuit design, etc.



- ▶  $n$  radio stations
- ▶  $k$  transmission frequencies,  $k < n$
- ▶ Two stations that are too close together cannot have the same frequency(call this set  $E$ )



- ▶ Every station is assigned at least one frequency
- ▶ Every station is assigned not more than one frequency
- ▶ Close stations are not assigned the same frequency



- ▶ **Example** Consider three persons,  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  who must be seated in a row, with the following constraints:  $A$  won't sit next to  $C$ ,  $A$  won't sit in the left chair and  $B$  won't sit to the right of  $C$ . Write a propositional formula that is satisfiable *iff* there is a seat assignment which satisfies all constraints.
- ▶ **Example 2** Given the following programs, show they are equivalent:  
$$!(a||b)?h : !(a == b)?f : g$$
$$!(!a||!b)?g : (!a \& \& !b)?h : f$$



- ▶ Given a Boolean formula  $\beta$ , a SAT solver decides whether  $\beta$  is satisfiable. If so, reports satisfying assignment
- ▶ REMEMBER: For this chapter, all inputs are in CNF
- ▶ Vast improvement of SAT solvers in recent years: learn from wrong assignments, prune large search spaces quickly, and focusing on "important" variables first



## ► DPLL framework

- Traversing and backtracking on a binary tree
- Internal nodes represent partial assignments, leaves represent full assignments
- Complete(terminates AND returns “Valid” when input formula is valid)

## ► Stochastic search framework

- Solver guesses a full assignment
- If the formula is evaluated to FALSE under this assignment, starts to flip values of variables according to some (greedy) heuristic
- Most are incomplete





- ▶ **decision-** assign a value to a variable
- ▶ **decision level-** depth in the binary decision tree in which a decision is made, starting from 1.
- ▶ **ground level-** decision level 0; clauses with a single literal
- ▶ **satisfied clause-** one or more of its literals are satisfied
- ▶ **conflicting clause-** all of its literals are assigned but not satisfied
- ▶ **unit clause-** not satisfied and all but one of its literals are assigned
- ▶ **unresolved clause-** otherwise



- ▶ **unit clause rule-** Given a partial assignment under which a clause becomes unit, it **must** be extended so that it satisfies the unassigned literal
- ▶ For a given unit clause  $C$  with an unassigned literal  $l$ , we say that “ $C$  implies  $l$ ” and that  $C$  is the antecedent clause of  $l$ , denoted by  $Antecedent(l)$ .
- ▶ If more than one unit clause implies  $l$ , we refer to the clause that the **SAT solver used** in order to imply  $l$  as its antecedent
- ▶ High level diagrams on pg. 30



- ▶ Repeated application of **unit clause rule** until either a conflict or no more implications possible
- ▶ Best visualized(and modeled) with an **implication graph**
- ▶ A **partial implication graph** is a subgraph which illustrates the BCP at a specific decision level



- ▶ After reaching a **conflict node**  $k$ , the ANALYZE-CONFLICT function chooses a “smart” **conflict clause** to add to the list of formula constraints
- ▶ Most competitive solvers design ANALYZE-CONFLICT to generate **asserting clauses** only.
- ▶ ANALYZE-CONFLICT also chooses the decision level to backtrack to. According to **conflict-driven backtracking** strategy, choose the **second most recent decision level in the conflict clause**



- ▶ Is this process guaranteed to terminate?
- ▶ Yes. It is never the case that the solver enters decision level DL again with the same partial assignment (See node x1 in figures on pg. 33)



# Chapter 3, Adam P. and Paul K.



# Theory 2: Equality Logic(and Uninterpreted Functions)

- ▶
- ▶
- ▶



D. Kroening and O. Strichman, Decision Procedures: An Algorithmic Point of View, Springer, 2008. ISBN: 978-3-540-74104-6

