

Summary

For all eight of your tree-based classifiers—XGBoost, LightGBM, CatBoost, HistGradientBoosting, ExtraTrees, BalancedRandomForest, RandomForest, and DecisionTree—**feature scaling is generally unnecessary**, because these models split on feature thresholds rather than compute distances, making them invariant to any monotonic transformation of the inputs ([Why Does Tree and Ensemble based Algorithm don't need feature ...](#), [Do Decision Trees Need Feature Scaling Or Normalization?](#)). Only in rare scenarios—such as when you mix tree models with scaling-sensitive learners in a stacked pipeline or when extremely large feature magnitudes introduce numerical instability in gradient-based regularization—might you consider scaling ([What are the implications of scaling the features to xgboost?](#), [Does XGBoost Need Feature Scaling Or Normalization? - Forecastegy](#)).

Why Tree-Based Models Are Scale-Invariant

- **Threshold Splits, Not Distances**

Decision-tree algorithms recursively partition data by asking “is feature $F \leq \theta$?”; they never compute Euclidean or other distance metrics ([Why Does Tree and Ensemble based Algorithm don't need feature ...](#), [Do Decision Trees Need Feature Scaling Or Normalization?](#)).

- **Monotonic Transformations Preserve Order**

Any strictly increasing transformation (e.g. min–max, z-score) leaves the rank order of observations unchanged, so the chosen split points simply map to new thresholds with identical predictive power ([Feature Scaling in Machine Learning: Which Popular Algorithms ...](#), [Scaling/Normalization not need for tree based models](#)).

- **Ensembles Inherit Invariance**

RandomForest, ExtraTrees, and gradient-boosted trees (XGBoost, LightGBM, CatBoost, HistGradientBoosting) all build on single-tree logic, so they inherit this same scale-invariance property ([Feature Scaling in Machine Learning: Which Popular Algorithms ...](#), [What are the implications of scaling the features to xgboost?](#)).

When Scaling *Can* Matter

1. **Regularization in Gradient Boosters**

XGBoost and LightGBM apply L1/L2 penalties to leaf weights. If one feature’s gradient

statistics vastly outsize others, it may be slightly over-penalized—though in practice this is rare ([What are the implications of scaling the features to xgboost?](#), [Does XGBoost Need Feature Scaling Or Normalization? - Forecastegy](#)).

2. Numerical Stability

Extremely large or tiny feature values can, in edge cases, lead to floating-point issues during split-gain calculations ([Scaling to Success: Implementing and Optimizing Penalized Models](#)).

3. Mixed Pipelines

When you stack tree models with scaling-sensitive learners (e.g. SVM, KNN), you might scale first for uniformity—and accept that scaling is a no-op for the trees ([Does XGBoost Need Feature Scaling Or Normalization? - Forecastegy](#), [Feature Scaling is NOT Always Necessary - by Avi Chawla](#)).

Model-wise Recommendations

Copy and paste the table below directly into Obsidian. The “Scaling Recommended?” column reflects the default best practice, and the “Why / When to Consider” gives any exceptions.

Model	Scaling Recommended?	Why / When to Consider
XGBoost	No	Splits only on thresholds; monotonic transforms don't change cut points. Rarely consider scaling if using linear boosters or encountering numeric instability (What are the implications of scaling the features to xgboost? , decision trees - Is it necessary to normalize data for XGBoost?).
LightGBM	No	Leaf-wise tree construction unaffected by scale. Scale only if you observe odd regularization behavior (Feature Scaling in Machine Learning: Which Popular Algorithms ... , Does XGBoost Need Feature Scaling Or Normalization? - Forecastegy).
CatBoost	No	Native handling of numerical features; scale only when mixing with non-tree models (Feature Scaling in Machine Learning: Which Popular Algorithms ... , Why Does Tree and Ensemble based Algorithm don't need feature ...).

Model	Scaling Recommended?	Why / When to Consider
HistGradientBoosting	No	Operates on binned histograms—preserves order, not magnitude (Do Decision Trees Need Feature Scaling Or Normalization? , Scaling/Normalization not need for tree based models).
ExtraTrees	No	Random splits on sorted values; invariant under any monotonic transform (Why Does Tree and Ensemble based Algorithm don't need feature ... , Feature Scaling in Machine Learning: Which Popular Algorithms ...).
BalancedRandomForest	No	Built-in resampling ignores scale; handle imbalance but don't scale ☐.
RandomForest	No	Majority-vote ensemble of threshold splits; scale only alongside other learners (Feature Scaling in Machine Learning: Which Popular Algorithms ... , Scaling/Normalization not need for tree based models).
DecisionTree	No	Pure threshold logic; scaling never alters predictive splits (Do Decision Trees Need Feature Scaling Or Normalization? , Why Does Tree and Ensemble based Algorithm don't need feature ...).
