

Linear Regression with Multiple Variables

TAL POINTS 5			
Suppose <i>m</i> =4 students have taken some class, and the class had a midterm exam and a final exam. You have collected a dataset of their scores on the two exams, which is as follows:			1 point
midterm exam	(midterm exam) ²	final exam	
89	7921	96	
72	5184	74	
94	8836	87	
69	4761	78	
exam score. Concretely, suppose where x_1 is the midterm score a scaling (dividing by the "max-mi	you want to fit a model of th 2 = 10 = 10 = 10 you want to fit a model of th 2 = 10 = 10 = 10 you 2	= 74 is training example 2.) Please	
-0.37			
You run gradient descent for 15 with $\alpha=0.3$ and compute $J(\theta)$ iteration. You find that the value time. Based on this, which of the most plausible? $\alpha=0.3 \text{ is an effective choice}$ Rather than use the current variable $\alpha=1.0$).	after each ${\bf e}$ of $J(\theta)$ increases over ${\bf e}$ following conclusions seems of learning rate.		1 point
	hich you should add). The no	ares (excluding the additional all-ones rmal equation is $\theta=(X^TX)^{-1}X^Ty$, , X , and y in this equation?	1 point
$\bigcirc \hspace{0.2cm} X \text{ is } 28 \times 5, y \text{ is } 28 \times 5, \theta \text{ is } 5, \theta is $	5×5		
$ \bigcirc \hspace{0.2cm} X \text{ is } 28 \times 5, y \text{ is } 28 \times 1, \theta \text{ is } 5 $	5×1		
$\bigcirc \ \ X \text{ is } 28 \times 4\text{, } y \text{ is } 28 \times 1\text{, } \theta \text{ is } 4\text{.}$	1×4		
$\bigcirc \ \ X \text{ is } 28 \times 4, y \text{ is } 28 \times 1, \theta \text{ is } 4$	\times 1		
Suppose you have a dataset with $m=1000000$ examples and $n=200000$ features for each example. You want to use multivariate linear regression to fit the parameters θ to our data. Should you prefer gradient descent or the normal equation?			1 point
$igoreal{igoreal}$ Gradient descent, since $(X^TX^TX^TX^TX^TX^TX^TX^TX^TX^TX^TX^TX^TX$	$(1)^{-1}$ will be very slow to compu	te in the normal equation.	
The normal equation, since it	provides an efficient way to dire	ctly find the solution.	
Gradient descent, since it will a	always converge to the optimal (9.	
The normal equation, since gr	adient descent might be unable	to find the optimal $ heta.$	
Which of the following are reasons for using feature scaling?			1 point
	used in the normal equation) fro	om being non-invertable	

 $\hfill \square$ It is necessary to prevent the normal equation from getting stuck in local optima.

It speeds up gradient descent by making it require fewer iterations to get to a good solution. It speeds up gradient descent by making each iteration of gradient descent less expensive to compute.

✓ I, Amrit Kumar, understand that submitting work that isn't my own may result in permanent failure of this course or deactivation of my Coursera account. 6 P P

Learn more about Coursera's Honor Code

Save

Submit