- (9.12)

 // A is an input array of A-length = n, containg +ve integers

 // B A is assumed to be global
- 1 Guiven i, S1, S2 compoult

 3PART (i, S1, S2) = whether A[1...i] can be direided unlo

 3 parts with target sum S1 and S2, for

 first two partitions respectively.

 2 3PART (i, S1, S2) =

 3PART (i-1, S1-A[i], S2); iif S1> A[i]
- $3PART(i, S1, S2) = \begin{cases} 3PART(i-1, S1 + ICC1, S2) \\ 3PART(i-1, S1, S2 A[i]); & \text{if } S2 > A[i] \\ 3PART(i-1, S1, S2) \\ 3PART(i-1, S1, S2) \\ 3PART(i, S1, S2) = True \end{cases}$ $3PART(i, S1, S2) = True \end{cases}$
- 3 3PART (i, S1, S2) tells whether A[1...i] can be direided unto 3 parts where the first two partitions farmed with target sum S1 and S2.

Now the ith element may be included in the 1st partition or in the 2nd partition or may not be included in first live partitions (i.e., it will be in the 3rd partition).

Because either of these three cases will take place at a time, logical OR operation is needed in bitween these three far the recurrence. If far the first partition the target sum has not decreamented to zero, then we might consider including the ith element in the 1st partition. Thus

3PART (i-1, S1-A[i], S2) reliving whether A[1...i-1] can be divided into 3 partitions where first two partitions well have target sum S1-A[i] and S2 respectively, so that the

target sum of first partition of A[1...i] effectively
becomes \$1. Now if A[i] is included in first partition
i.e., 3PART (i-1, \$1-A[i], \$2) returns True, then
the other two reccurences will not be checked, because
A[i] can't be included in more than one partition due
to the disjoint property of the three partitions.

If 3PART (i-1, \$1-A[i], \$2) is returning False then
we check for including A[i] in 2nd partition or the
3rd partition one by one. Similarly.

In case of Base condition, 3PART (i,0,0) will be True, because there A[1...i] is being divided into 3 partitions where first two partitions have target sum = 0, which is trivially possible if first two partitions are considered as emply sels.

3PART (0, S1, S2) will be false, because A[1...0] can't be divided into three partitions with first two partitions having target sum S1 and S2.

- 4 P[0...n][0...sum/3][0...sum/3] is an 3D array, where $n = A \cdot length$, and sum = sum of all elements of A[1...n] P[i][j][k] will stare value of 3PART(i,j,k)
- 5 If Sum [A[1...n]) i.e., sum of all array elements is not direisible by 3, i.e., Sum (A[1...n]) $\frac{1}{2}$ 3 != 0 then return False.

 $\forall s1 \in [0...8/3]$ and $\forall s2 \in [0...8/3]$ (here s=sum of initialise P[0][S1][S2] = False A[1]....A[n])

∀ i ∈ [0...n] vinitialise P[i][0][0] = Taue

for i=1...n

for S1 = 1... 5/3

for S2 = 1... 5/3

compute P[i][j][k] using recursive

formula on array A.

- 6 Tohether A can be directed unto 3 disjoint partitions
 B, C, D such that BUCUD = A and total value of B, C, D
 are equal, is equivalent to DP problem SPART (m, \$13, \$13)
 where n = A length and \$ = &um (A[1...n])
 where are just considering target sum of two partitions
 because if the partitions have equal rum = \$13, i.e.,
 (28/3) becomes rum of first two partitions, then the
 third partition will definitely have a rum of \$13.

 Thus finding whether A can be divided unto 3 partitions
 where first two partitions having rum of \$13 each, will
 actually meet the purpose of the problem i.e., finding if
 B, C, D exists as not with BUCUD=A and
 rum (B) = rum (C) = rum (D) = rum (A) /3
- Force complexity = $O(m^3)$ Time complexity = $O(m^3)$ since computing 3PART (i,j,k)will require O(1) time complexity and there are $O(m^3)$ entries in the 3D array PCICICI.