### Basic Relational Design

"The key, the whole key, and nothing but the key."



#### Basic Relational Design

- ▶ In a future series of lectures we'll learn relational design in detail
- For now we'll learn a semi-formal approach to normalizing a database schema to Third Normal Form (3NF)



### Basic Design Process

- Start with relation schemas derived from EER model
- ► Enumerate functional dependencies for each relation schema
- ▶ Decompose non-3NF relation schemas into 3NF schemas



#### Functional Dependencies

A generalization of superkeys. Given a relation schema R, and subsets of attributes X and Y, the functional dependency

$$X \rightarrow Y$$

Means that for any pair of tuples  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  in r(R)

if 
$$t_1[X] = t_2[X]$$
  
then  $t_1[Y] = t_2[Y]$ 

In other words, whenever the attributes on the left side of a functional dependency are the same for two tuples in the relation, the attributes on the right side of the functional dependency will also be equal.



# Relations Satisfy FDs

Α	В	C	D
$a_1$	$b_1$	$c_1$	$d_1$
$a_1$	$b_2$	$c_1$	$d_2$
a <sub>2</sub>	$b_2$	<i>c</i> <sub>2</sub>	$d_2$
a <sub>2</sub>	$b_2$	<i>c</i> <sub>2</sub>	d <sub>3</sub>
<i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	<i>b</i> <sub>3</sub>	<i>c</i> <sub>2</sub>	$d_4$

 $A \rightarrow C$  is satisfied because no two tuples with the same A value have different C values.

C o A is not satisfied because we find a  $t_1=(a_2,b_3,c_2,d_3)$  and  $t_2=(a_3,b_3,c_2,d_4)$ 



# Satisfying vs. Holding

We say that a functional dependency f holds on a relation if it is not legal to create a tuple that does not satisfy f. Alternately, we say that a relation schema (not just a particular state) satisfies a functional dependency.

name	street	city
Alice	Elm	Charlotte
Bob	Peachtree	Atlanta
Charlie	Elm	Charlotte

Here  $street \rightarrow city$  is satisifed by this relation state. However, we would not say that the functional dependency holds, or that the relation schema satisfies the functional dependency because we know there can be different cities with the same street names.



#### Trivial Functional Dependencies

A functional dependency is trivial if it is satisfied by all relations. Formally, a functional dependency  $X \to Y$  is trivial if  $Y \subseteq X$  For example:

- ightharpoonup A 
  ightharpoonup A
- ightharpoonup AB 
  ightarrow A
- ► AB → B

are trivial.

We don't write trivial functional dependencies when we enumerate a set of functional dependencies that hold on a schema for the purposes of normalization or normal form testing.

#### Normal Forms

A normal form is a set of conditions based on functional dependencies that acts as tests for the "goodness" of the design of a relation schema. Normalization is the process of decomposing existing relation schemas into new relation schemas that satisfy normal forms for the purpose of:

- minimizing redundancy, and
- minimizing insertion, deletion, and update anomalies (we'll learn later)

We cover first, second, third, and Boyce-Codd normal forms in this class (only 3NF for today). Each higher normal form subsumes the normal forms below it, e.g., a 3NF schema is also in 2NF and 1NF. The normal form of a relation schema is the highest normal form it satisfies.



# First Normal Form (1NF)

Every attribute value is atomic, which is effectively guaranteed by most RDBMS systems today.

The following relation is not in 1NF:

Dname	Dnumber	Dmgr_ssn	Dlocations
Research	5	333445555	{Bellaire, Sugarland, Houston}
Admin	4	987654321	$\{Stafford\}$
HQ	1	888665555	$\{Houston\}$

Because Dlocations values are not atomic.



# Fixing Non 1NF Schemas

Many ways to fix (see book). Best way is to decompose into two schemas:

Dname	Dnumber	Dmgr_ssn
Research	5	333445555
Admin	4	987654321
HQ	1	888665555

Dlocation	
Jiocation	
Bellaire	
Sugarland	
Houston	
Stafford	
Houston	



# Second Normal Form (2NF)

A prime attribute is part of any candidate key. A nonprime attribute is not part of any candidate key.

A relation is in 2NF if it is in 1NF and no nonprime attribute has a partial dependency on the primary key, i.e., every attribute is fully dependent on the primary key.



#### 2NF Test

# Given EMP\_PROJ( <u>Ssn</u>, <u>Pnumber</u>, Hours, Ename, Pname, Plocation) and

- ► FD1: Ssn, Pnumber → Hours
- ▶ FD2: Ssn  $\rightarrow$  Ename,
- ► FD3: Pnumber → Pname, Plocation

EMP\_PROJ is not in 2NF due to FD2. Nonprime attribute Ename is partially dependent on the primary key Ssn, Pnumber.

EMP\_PROJ is also not in 2NF due to FD3. Nonprime attributes Pname and Plocation are only partially dependent on the primary key.



# Fixing non 2NF Schemas

Move the nonprime attributes that are dependent on part of the primary key to their own schemas with the part of the primary key on which they are fully dependent.

EMP\_PROJ( Ssn, Pnumber, Hours, Ename, Pname, Plocation)

**Becomes** 

EMP( Ssn, Ename)

EMP\_PROJ( Ssn, Pnumber, Hours)

PROJ( Pnumber, Pname, Plocation)



# Third Normal Form (3NF)

A schema is in 3NF if it is in 2NF and no nonprime attribute is transitively dependent on the primary key. Given EMP\_DEPT( <u>Ssn., Ename, Bdate, Address, Dnumber, Dname, Dmgr\_ssn</u>) and

- ightharpoonup FD1: Ssn ightarrow Ename, Bdate, Address, Dnumber, Dname, Dmgr\_ssn
- ▶ FD2: Dnumber  $\rightarrow$  Dname, Dmgr\_ssn

EMP\_DEPT is not in 3NF because Dname and Dmgr\_ssn are transitively dependent on Ssn via dependency on Dnumber.



#### Fixing Non-3NF Schemas

Move the nonprime attributes that are transitively dependent on the primary key through another attribute to a separate schema along with the attribute through which they are transitively dependent on the PK. EMP\_DEPT(<u>Ssn</u>, Ename, Bdate, Address, Dnumber, Dname, Dmgr ssn)

Dingr\_ssn

becomes

EMP(Ssn, Ename, Bdate, Address, Dnumber)

DEPT( <u>Dnumber</u>, Dname, Dmgr\_ssn)

Note that a natural join on Dnumber will recover the original relation.



## Basic Relational Design Summary

- ▶ Every relation must have a key, and the 1NF assumption of the relational model asures that attributes are atomic. (Dont' "hide" extra information in strings!)
  - ▶ "The key,"
- ▶ A relation is in 2NF if it is in 1NF and no nonprime attribute has a partial dependency on the primary key, i.e., every attribute is fully dependent on the primary key.
  - "the whole key,"
- ➤ A schema is in 3NF if it is in 2NF and no nonprime attribute is transitively dependent on the primary key.
  - "and nothing but the key.

Normalize relations schemas by decomposing according to problematic functional dependencies.

