A. Key Findings

- Raw Model Results Interpretation

- General Model Fit: The model converges properly, indicating that the estimates are reliable.
 - Used 93 observations from 31 subjects who were present for all three visits and used the applications.
- **Time Effect**: The effect of time on MARS5 was significant at some points, suggesting changes over time irrespective of the group.
- **Group Effect**: The difference between the intervention and control group was not consistently significant, indicating that the intervention might not have had a uniform effect across all time points.
- Interaction Effect: The interaction between group and time showed some significant effects, particularly at specific time points, which suggests that the intervention's impact varied over time.

- Model Adjusted for Confounders Results Interpretation

- Model Fit and Convergence: Similar to the raw model, the adjusted model shows good fit and convergence, ensuring the reliability of the estimates.
- Time and Group Effects: Adjusting for confounders affected the significance of the time and group effects, which might suggest that some of the observed effects in the raw model were due to these confounders.
- **Significant Interaction:** The interaction terms in the adjusted model remained significant at certain time points, reinforcing the idea that the intervention's effects are time-dependent.

B. Detailed Analysis With respect to groups

- Raw Model Interpretation

- Group 0 (**Control**) Results:
- 1. **t0**: Baseline mean estimate of MARS5 is 21.4706 with 95% CI [20.1959, 22.7453].
- 2. t2: Mean increases to 22.8235 with 95% CI [21.8153, 23.8317]; significant improvement from t0 with p=0.0164.
- 3. **t3:** Mean slightly decreases to 22.0000 with 95% CI [20.9688, 23.0312]; **no significant change** from **t0** (p=0.3268).

- Group 1 (Intervention) Results:
- 1. **t0:** Baseline mean estimate of MARS5 is 20.7143 with 95% CI [19.0885, 22.3400].
- 2. **t2:** Mean increases to 21.4286 with 95% CI [19.7519, 23.1052]; **not significantly different** from **t0** (p=0.4124).
- 3. **t3:** Mean increases to 22.5714 with 95% CI [21.3718, 23.7711]; **significant improvement** from **t0** (p=0.0166).

- Interaction Effects

• **Significant interaction** effect between Group*temps at t2 (p=0.0046), indicating that the intervention group shows a **different** pattern of change compared to the control group at this time point.

- Model Adjusted for Confounders Interpretation

- Group 0 (**Control**) Adjusted Results:
 - t0 to t2: The difference in means slightly decreases, showing less improvement compared to the raw model, which suggests that some of the initial observed effects were due to confounders.
 - t3: Similar to the raw model, no significant change from t0.
- Group 1 (Intervention) Adjusted Results:
 - t0 to t2: No significant change, which aligns with the raw model findings but adjusted for confounders.
 - t3: Consistent significant improvement from t0, reinforcing the effectiveness of the intervention at this later stage even after adjusting for confounders.

- Interaction Effects

• Consistent significant interaction effect at t2 (p=0.0133 in the adjusted model), suggesting that the <u>timing</u> of the intervention effects is <u>critical</u> and varies by group.

C. Conclusion and Recommendations

- 1. **Effective Timing:** The intervention appears to have **the most significant effect** at **t3** for the **intervention** group, which is consistent across **both** models. This suggests that the intervention might take time to manifest its full effects.
- 2. **Influence of Confounders**: Adjusting for confounders **does not significantly** alter the key findings, indicating **robustness** in the intervention's effects.
- 3. **Future Focus**: Further research could explore **additional confounders** and perhaps **extend the timeline of the study** to understand the **evolution** of the intervention's effects.