

URBAN DYNAMICS

Key Concepts for Cravetown Game Design

Extracted from Jay W. Forrester's
Urban Dynamics (1969)

A Systems Dynamics Framework for
Civilization Management Games

Contents

1. Why Urban Dynamics Matters for Cravetown	3
2. Core Principle: Feedback Loop Structure	4
3. The Three-Population Model	6
4. The Attractiveness Concept	8
5. Growth, Stagnation & Decay Lifecycle	10
6. Counterintuitive Behavior of Complex Systems	12
7. Why 'Obvious' Solutions Fail	14
8. The Revival Policies That Actually Work	16
9. Key Equations & Relationships	18
10. Direct Applications to Cravetown	20
Appendix: Summary Tables & Quick Reference	22

1. Why Urban Dynamics Matters for Cravetown

Jay W. Forrester's *Urban Dynamics* (1969) revolutionized our understanding of how cities grow, stagnate, and either revive or decay. Using computer simulation and systems dynamics, Forrester demonstrated that urban areas are complex feedback systems where intuitive solutions often backfire.

For Cravetown—a civilization management game exploring human desire through strategic gameplay—this book provides the theoretical foundation for modeling how social classes interact, how cravings cascade through society, and why managing a growing settlement is inherently counterintuitive.

The Core Parallel

Forrester's central discovery: **complex systems create their own problems through internal feedback loops**, not external forces. The structure of the system determines its behavior. This mirrors Cravetown's craving cascade system precisely.

Urban Dynamics	Cravetown
Housing aging → population shift	Cravings flow downward through classes
Business decline → job loss	Unfulfilled cravings → social unrest
Attractiveness equilibrium	Social stability/instability balance
3 population classes	4 social classes
250-year lifecycle	40-60 year game span

2. Core Principle: Feedback Loop Structure

Forrester identifies four hierarchies of structure that exist in ALL dynamic systems:

- **Closed boundary** around the system
- **Feedback loops** as the basic structural elements within the boundary
- **Level (state) variables** representing accumulations within the feedback loops
- **Rate (flow) variables** representing activity within the feedback loops

Levels and Rates

The distinction between **levels** and **rates** is fundamental. Levels are accumulations (like a bank balance, population count, or housing stock). Rates are flows that change levels (like income, births, or construction). **Levels can only be changed by rates. Rates depend only on information about levels.**

"A level is the accumulation resulting from the flows in and out of the level. Mathematically the levels are integrations... The rate equations are the statements of system policy. They determine how the available information is converted to an action stream."

For Cravetown:

LEVELS (accumulations): Population by class, housing stock, industry count, resource reserves, craving satisfaction scores, social stability index.

RATES (flows): Birth/death rates, migration rates, construction rates, craving fulfillment rates, class mobility rates, resource production/consumption.

The Closed Boundary Concept

Forrester's model treats the urban area as a self-contained system that communicates with but does not significantly alter its external environment. The environment serves as an infinite reservoir—it can supply people when the area is attractive and absorb them when it's not.

For Cravetown, this means your game world (Thornwick Vale) should be modeled as a closed system with the outside world serving as an infinite source/sink for population and trade goods.

3. The Three-Population Model

Forrester divides the urban population into three economic classes, each with distinct characteristics, needs, and behaviors:

Class	Characteristics	Housing Type	Cravetown Equivalence
Managerial-Professional	Leaders, business owners, professionals	Premium Housing (3 persons/unit)	Landed Elite
Labor	Skilled workers fully participating in economy	Worker Housing (6 persons/unit)	Merchant Class + Yeoman Farmers
Underemployed	Unskilled, marginal, unemployed	Underemployed Housing (12 persons/unit)	Peasant Workers

Population Flows

Population can flow between classes through **upward mobility** (underemployed → labor → managerial) and **downward mobility** (labor → underemployed). Each class also has:

- **Arrivals** from outside the system (immigration)
- **Departures** to outside the system (emigration)
- **Births** (net of births minus deaths)

Key Insight: Upward Mobility

The rate at which underemployed move into the labor class depends on multiple factors: fraction of underemployed working, ratio of labor to labor jobs, ratio of labor population to underemployed population, and tax expenditure (schools, services).

For Cravetown: Class mobility should depend on job availability, proximity to higher classes, and public services/education. A small number of peasants mixed with successful yeoman farmers can advance more easily than a large peasant population isolated in slums.

4. The Attractiveness Concept

One of Forrester's most powerful concepts is **relative attractiveness**. People migrate toward areas that are more attractive and away from less attractive areas. The system naturally tends toward an **attractiveness equilibrium** where inflows balance outflows.

Components of Attractiveness (for Underemployed)

Factor	Effect	Cravetown Parallel
Upward Mobility	Higher mobility = more attractive	Class advancement rate
Housing Availability	More vacancies = more attractive	Shelter satisfaction
Public Expenditure	More services = more attractive	Public amenities
Job Availability	More jobs = more attractive	Employment/purpose
Housing Programs	Active programs = more attractive	Welfare/support systems

The Equilibrium Trap

"A specific composite attractiveness can result from many different mixtures of attractiveness components. As one component rises, another can fall. The urban area may have no choice in the composite value of attractiveness because of the equalizing effect of population movement, yet it may have a wide choice in the trade-offs between components of that attractiveness."

This is crucial: **the city can't change its overall attractiveness** (that's set by equilibrium with the environment), but it CAN change WHICH components create that attractiveness. A city can be equally 'attractive' with good jobs and bad housing, OR bad jobs and good housing.

For Cravetown: Players can't simply make their settlement 'more attractive' overall—migration will balance it out. But they CAN choose whether to attract people with jobs, housing, or services. The mix matters enormously for long-term health.

5. Growth, Stagnation & Decay Lifecycle

Forrester's model generates a characteristic 250-year lifecycle for urban areas:

Phase 1: Growth (Years 0-100)

- Land is mostly empty and available
- New enterprise construction is high
- Employment per unit of industrial land is high
- Housing is new, population density is low
- Economic activity is vibrant
- All classes are growing

Phase 2: Maturity (Years 100-150)

- Land area becomes filled
- New construction decreases (no room)
- Buildings begin to age
- Industrial vitality starts declining
- Housing begins shifting to lower-quality categories

Phase 3: Stagnation (Years 150-250)

- High levels of underemployed housing (slums)
- High proportion of declining industry
- Too high a ratio of underemployed to skilled labor
- Employment per industrial unit has fallen
- Population density per housing unit has risen
- Economic mix becomes unfavorable

The Critical Insight

"Starting from a balance between industry and people at the end of the growth phase, employment declines while population rises until an equilibrium is reached in which the economic condition of the area falls far enough to limit further growth in population."

For Cravetown (40-60 year span): Compress this lifecycle. Early game = growth/prosperity. Mid-game = aging crisis as structures deteriorate and population shifts. Late game = either successful revival or catastrophic decay. The player's policy choices determine the outcome.

6. Counterintuitive Behavior of Complex Systems

Chapter 6 of Urban Dynamics contains Forrester's most important insights. Complex systems behave in ways that defy intuition trained on simple systems.

Simple vs. Complex Systems

Simple systems (warming hands by a fire): Cause and effect are closely related in time and space. Move closer = warmer. The lesson: direct action produces direct results.

Complex systems (cities, economies, civilizations): Cause and effect are often NOT closely related in time or space. The cause of a difficulty may lie far back in time, or in a completely different part of the system.

Seven Properties of Complex Systems

- 1. Counterintuitive Behavior:** Systems give indications that suggest corrective action which will often be ineffective or adverse.
- 2. Insensitivity to Parameters:** Changing individual numbers rarely changes fundamental system behavior.
- 3. Resistance to Policy Changes:** The system fights back against intervention through compensating feedback loops.
- 4. Few Influence Points:** Only a few leverage points actually affect system behavior significantly.
- 5. Corrective Programs Get Counteracted:** The system adjusts to neutralize well-intentioned interventions.
- 6. Long-Term vs Short-Term Conflict:** Actions promising short-term relief often create long-term damage.
- 7. Drift to Low Performance:** Systems naturally evolve toward degraded equilibrium states.

For Cravetown: Design your game so that intuitive solutions backfire. Players who react to immediate crises without understanding system dynamics should fail. The game should reward systems thinking over reflexive responses.

7. Why 'Obvious' Solutions Fail

Forrester tested common urban programs in his model and found that many actually WORSEN the conditions they're meant to improve:

Failed Program 1: Job Programs

Creating jobs for the underemployed seems obviously helpful. But in the model, job programs attract more underemployed from outside the city than they can employ. The ratio of people to jobs actually worsens over time.

Failed Program 2: Training Programs

Training programs move people from underemployed to labor status, which seems good. But this creates labor surplus, which depresses wages and pushes some labor back down to underemployed status. The net effect is minimal.

Failed Program 3: Financial Aid

Direct financial assistance increases the attractiveness of the city to underemployed outsiders, causing more immigration than the assistance can support.

Failed Program 4: Low-Cost Housing

This is the most counterintuitive finding. Building low-cost housing for the underemployed actually makes their situation worse:

"Housing for the underemployed rises 45%... The labor population falls 30%... new enterprise [falls] 49% and mature business 45%... A program can have subtle ramifications when it disturbs the equilibrium within an urban system."

Why Housing Programs Fail

1. More housing attracts more underemployed from outside
2. Housing construction uses land that could support industry
3. Higher population increases tax burden
4. Higher taxes drive out businesses
5. Fewer businesses mean fewer jobs
6. Fewer jobs worsen the underemployed/job ratio
7. The area compensates by raising unemployment to offset improved housing

For Cravetown: Include 'trap' policies that seem helpful but backfire. Players who build excessive peasant housing should find themselves overwhelmed with immigrants and declining industry. The lesson: you can't solve complex problems with simple charity.

8. The Revival Policies That Actually Work

If obvious solutions fail, what actually works? Forrester discovered that effective policies target the underlying structure, not the symptoms:

Successful Policy 1: Slum Demolition

Counterintuitively, **demolishing underemployed housing** (at 5% per year) improves conditions for the underemployed. By reducing housing, you reduce the area's attractiveness to outside underemployed, preventing overwhelming immigration. This allows the job market to improve for those already there.

Successful Policy 2: New Enterprise Construction

Actively encouraging new industry (construction of 1-2% per year) creates jobs and economic opportunity. Combined with housing restriction, this improves the job/population ratio rather than worsening it.

Combined Effect

"Underemployed housing [falls] 44%. The population in the underemployed category falls 8%. Skilled labor climbs 62%. Mature business and new enterprise both increase 75%. The economic balance of the area has shifted substantially in the direction of more activity and a much more favorable ratio of skilled labor to underemployed."

The Key Mechanism

Revival doesn't happen by driving underemployed out of the city. At all times after the new policies begin, **underemployed arrivals are HIGHER and departures are LOWER** than before. The reduction in underemployed population results from **greater upward mobility**—more people moving from underemployed to labor class.

The city becomes a more effective 'socioeconomic converter'—upgrading people rather than warehousing them.

For Cravetown: The path to prosperity is NOT giving peasants what they want. It's:

1. Restricting low-class housing growth
2. Building industry and creating jobs
3. Enabling upward mobility through these jobs
4. Converting peasants to yeomen rather than attracting more peasants

9. Key Equations & Relationships

Forrester's model uses specific mathematical relationships. Here are the key ones:

Population Densities

Structure Type	Normal Density	Notes
Premium Housing	3 persons/unit	Managers, elite
Worker Housing	6 persons/unit	Skilled labor
Underemployed Housing	12 persons/unit	Crowded conditions
New Enterprise	34 workers/unit	4 mgr + 20 labor + 10 underemployed
Mature Business	18 workers/unit	2 mgr + 15 labor + 1 underemployed
Declining Industry	7 workers/unit	1 mgr + 5 labor + 1 underemployed

Key Rates (Normal Values)

Flow	Normal Rate	Per Year Of
Underemployed Birth	1.5%	Underemployed population
Labor Birth	1.0%	Labor population
Manager Birth	0.75%	Manager population
Underemployed Arrivals	5%	Underemployed + Labor
Underemployed Departures	2%	Underemployed population
Labor Arrivals	3%	Labor population
Labor Departures	2%	Labor population
Underemployed → Labor	10%	Underemployed population
Labor → Manager	2%	Labor population
Labor → Underemployed	3%	Labor population

The Attractiveness Formula

Attractiveness is calculated as a **product** (not sum) of multipliers:

$$\text{AMM} = \text{UAMM} \times \text{UHM} \times \text{PEM} \times \text{UJM} \times \text{UHPM}$$

Where:

- UAMM = Upward mobility multiplier
- UHM = Housing availability multiplier
- PEM = Public expenditure multiplier
- UJM = Job availability multiplier
- UHPM = Housing program multiplier

Using multiplication means: (1) changes are mutually enhancing, and (2) one multiplier reaching zero can shut down all immigration regardless of other factors.

10. Direct Applications to Cravetown

1. Implement the Craving Cascade as Feedback Loops

Your 14 craving categories should function as Forrester's 'attractiveness multipliers.' Each craving type contributes to class satisfaction, which affects migration, unrest, and productivity. Use multiplication rather than addition for combining effects.

2. Model Social Classes with Mobility

Map your four classes to Forrester's framework:

- **Landed Elite** ↔ Managerial-Professional (low birth rate, high departure sensitivity)
- **Merchant Class** ↔ Upper Labor (skilled, mobile)
- **Yeoman Farmers** ↔ Lower Labor (productive, stable)
- **Peasant Workers** ↔ Underemployed (high birth rate, high density)

3. Design Counterintuitive Gameplay

Include these 'trap' policies that seem helpful but backfire:

- Building excessive peasant housing → attracts more peasants than jobs can support
- Direct food distribution → attracts immigrants, doesn't solve underlying issues
- Tax breaks for peasants → reduces revenue, can't fund improvements
- Make these early options that work short-term but fail long-term

4. Create the 'Discovery' Moment

Players should discover through gameplay that success requires:

- Restricting low-class housing growth
- Investing in industry and jobs
- Enabling upward mobility (education, training)
- Trading current comfort for future prosperity

5. Model the Agricultural → Industrial Transition

Use Forrester's 'aging' mechanic for your historical transition:

- Early: Agricultural 'enterprises' (farms) are highly productive
- Mid: Farms age into 'mature' lower-productivity state
- Late: Choice between industrial renewal or agricultural decay
- Player must actively construct new enterprise types or stagnate

6. Implement Long-Term vs Short-Term Tension

Every major decision should have:

- Immediate visible effect (usually positive for 'easy' choices)
- Delayed systemic effect (often negative for 'easy' choices)
- Make this the core strategic tension of the game

Appendix: Summary Tables & Quick Reference

Forrester's Key Findings Summary

Finding	Implication for Cravetown
Complex systems are counterintuitive	Intuitive solutions should backfire
Cause and effect separated in time/space	Delayed consequences for decisions
System structure determines behavior	Focus on building feedback loops
Few leverage points matter	Only some decisions significantly affect outcomes
Long-term conflicts with short-term	Core strategic tension
Housing programs worsen conditions	Include as trap option
Slum demolition helps the poor	Counterintuitive success path
New enterprise is key to revival	Industry investment critical
Upward mobility is the solution	Enable class advancement, not handouts

Cravetown Implementation Checklist

- Implement feedback loops connecting cravings → satisfaction → migration → economy
- Create 4 social classes with distinct characteristics and mobility paths
- Design 'trap' policies that backfire (excessive housing, direct aid)
- Include revival policies (industry, restricted housing, mobility)
- Build delayed consequence system (decisions affect future, not just present)
- Model land as finite resource constraining growth
- Create 'attractiveness equilibrium' where migration balances automatically
- Design aging mechanic for structures (new → mature → declining)
- Include upward mobility as primary success metric
- Test that intuitive play fails and systems thinking succeeds

— End of Document —