## Variable Length Arrays[VLA]

## WHAT ARE VLA'S?

- Variable-length arrays (VLAs) have a non-constant size that is determined (and which can vary) at run time.
- C supports variable sized arrays from C99 standard.

• Ex:

```
void fun(int n)
{
    int arr[n];
    //...
}
int main()
{
    fun(10);
}
```

## VLA'S & LINUX KERNEL

- Use of VLAs in the kernel has long been discouraged but not prohibited.
- A recent push was made by Kees Cook to remove VLAs from the kernel.
- Ex:

```
static int tls_read_size(struct strparser *strp, struct sk_buff *skb)
{
    struct tls_context *tls_ctx = tls_get_ctx(strp->sk);
    struct tls_sw_context *ctx = tls_sw_ctx(tls_ctx);
    char header[tls_ctx->rx.prepend_size];
    struct strp_msg *rxm = strp_msg(skb);
size_t cipher_overhead; size_t data_len = 0; int ret;
```

This effort let to an interesting problem.

## THE PROBLEM:

```
int btree_merge(struct btree_head *target, struct btree_head *victim, struct btree_geo
*geo, gfp_t gfp)
{
   unsigned long key[geo->keylen];
   unsigned long dup[geo->keylen];
   void *val;
   int err;
```

The length of both the key and dup arrays is determined by the value stored in the keylen field of the passed-in geo structure. The compiler cannot know what that value will be at compile time, so those arrays must be allocated at run time.

#### Why Remove VLA's?

- 1. They add a bit of run-time overhead, since the size of a VLA must be calculated every time that the function declaring it is called
- 2. Stacks in the kernel are small.

## THE PROBLEM: CONT...

 Kees Cook found with -Wvla, GCC was issuing warning for arrays that were meant to be of constant size. Eg: lib/vsprintf.c

- The length of sym is clearly a constant and can be determined at compile time, but GCC wars about VLA. The problem turns out to be kernel's max()macro.
- The Problem is, ISO C90 requires an array size to be not a constant value, but a constant expression.

## MAX()

• Simplest version of it from K & R book: #define max(A,B) ((A) > (B) ? (A) : (B))

Kernel's version:

```
#define __max(t1, t2, max1, max2, x, y) ({ \
t1 max1 = (x); \
t2 max2 = (y); \
(void) (&max1 == &max2); \
max1 > max2 ? max1 : max2; })

#define max(x, y) \
    __max(typeof(x), typeof(y), \
    __UNIQUE_ID(max1_), __UNIQUE_ID(max2_), \
    x, y)
```

## MAX() CONT...

```
Kees's version:
#define __single_eval_max(t1, t2, max1, max2, x, y) ({
   t1 max1 = (x);
   t2 max2 = (y);
   (void) (\&max1 == \&max2);
   max1 > max2 ? max1 : max2; \})
#define max(t1, t2, x, y)
   builtin choose expr( builtin constant p(x) &&
                            _builtin_constant_p(y),
                           \overline{(t1)}(x) > (t2)(y) ? (t1)(x) : (t2)(y),
                           single_eval_max(t1, t2,
                      _UNIQUE_ID(\max 1_), \
                      UNIQUE_ID(max2_), \
                    x, y))
#define max(x, y) __max(typeof(x), typeof(y), x, y)
```

## THE HACK!

```
#define ICE_P(x) (sizeof(int) == sizeof(*(1 ? ((void*)((x) * 0I)) : (int*)1)))

Breaking it down:
```

 $sizeof(*(1\ ?\ ((void*)((x)\ *\ 0I))\ :\ (int*)1))$  Left hand side always returns 1:

```
1 ? ((void*)((x) * 0l)) : (int*)1
```

As Linus explains here: <a href="https://lkml.org/lkml/2018/3/20/845">https://lkml.org/lkml/2018/3/20/845</a>, when x is a interger constant expression, Left hand side becomes NULL.

```
- when x is ICE
1 ? ((void*)(NULL)) : (int*)1
and result: sizeof(int) == sizeof(*(int *))- when x is ICE
- when is not an ICE
1 ? ((void*)(NOT-NULL)) : (int*)1
and result: sizeof(int) == sizeof(*(void *))
```

For non-ICEs the cast to void \* will result in a non-NULL pointer.

Dereferencing (void\*) is not valid, but sizeof(\*(void\*)) works resulting in 1.

#### MAX() NOW:

```
* This returns a constant expression while determining if an argument is
 * a constant expression, most importantly without evaluating the argument.
 * Glory to Martin Uecker <Martin.Uecker@med.uni-goettingen.de> */
#define __is_constexpr(x) \
     (sizeof(int) == sizeof(*(8 ? ((void *)((long)(x) * 0l)) : (int *)8)))
#define __no_side_effects(x, y) \
     (__is_constexpr(x) && __is_constexpr(y))
#define __safe_cmp(x, y) \
     ( typecheck(x, y) && no side effects(x, y))
#define \__{cmp}(x, y, op) ((x) op (y) ? (x) : (y))
#define __cmp_once(x, y, unique x, unique y, op) ({ \
typeof(x) unique_x = (x); \
typeof(y) unique y = (y); \
__cmp(unique_x, unique_y, op); })
#define <u>careful</u>cmp(x, y, op) \
__builtin_choose_expr(__safe_cmp(x, y), \
__cmp(x, y, op), \
__cmp_once(x, y, __UNIQUE_ID(__x), __UNIQUE_ID(__y), op))
#define max(x, y) careful cmp(x, y, >)
```

# QUESTIONS??

#### REFERENCES:

- https://lkml.org/lkml/2018/3/20/845
- https://lwn.net/Articles/750306/
- https://lwn.net/Articles/749064/
- https://lwn.net/Articles/749093/