

Put Title Here

Anne Marie Saunders

Imperial College London

MSc Computational Methods in Ecology and Evolution

March 6th, 2020

Declaration

This is the declaration.

Abstract

This is the abstract

Contents

Introduction	1
Methods	3
Mosquito Abundance Data	3
Meteorological Data	3
Data Pre-Processing	4
Model Structure	4
Model Selection and Evaluation	5
Results	6
Discussion	7

1 Introduction

2 Introduce

3 At the end of the 20th century, the world experienced a global resurgence of vector-borne disease
4 (Gubler, 2001). Diseases that had been well-controlled in the early-to-mid 1900s surged under com-
5 placent public health policies and insufficient research funding (Gubler, 1998). Today, vector-borne
6 diseases represent 17% of the total global infectious disease burden and cause millions of deaths an-
7 nually. Mosquito-borne diseases, such as malaria, dengue, Zika, yellow fever, West Nile virus, and
8 chikungunya, singularly infect more than an estimated 200 million individuals worldwide every year
9 (WHO, 2017).

10 Surveillance of mosquito populations is a successful and cost-effective method to control
11 the public health impacts of vector-borne disease (Vazquez-Prokopec et al., 2010). Many studies
12 have attempted to develop early warning models of disease incidence through prediction, rather than
13 surveillance, of mosquito abundance (Beck-Johnson et al., 2013; Li et al., 2019; Poh et al., 2019).
14 Intervention in the growth of mosquito populations through chemical control measures is an effective
15 public health strategy for reducing incidence of mosquito borne disease (Tomerini et al., 2011). Timing
16 these intervention measures to interrupt peak mosquito abundances, whether predicted or surveilled,
17 could inform cost-effective disease management solutions Mosquito abundances are affected by many
18 factors, including land-use, elevation, and vegetation cover, but meteorological variables, such as
19 temperature and precipitation, are particularly predictive of population dynamics (Yoo et al., 2016)

20 Precipitation could have mixed effects on mosquito abundance dynamics. Precipitation raises
21 near-surface humidity, which increases adult mosquito activity and host-seeking behaviour (Shaman
22 and Day, 2007). This increased reproductive activity would lead to lagged effects on mosquito abun-
23 dance, but would also cause immediate increases in mosquito trap counts. Many trap types are de-
24 signed to attract host-seeking or gravid females and are consequently also attractive to mate-seeking
25 male mosquitoes (Li et al., 2016). Rainfall also affects many of the aquatic habitats important for early
26 life stages (Shaman and Day, 2007). Container-breeding species that use man-made containers for
27 oviposition may experience increased breeding sites when precipitation creates habitats in otherwise
28 dry containers (Keith, 2005). Precipitation can also expand suitable habitats for mosquitoes breeding
29 in natural water bodies (Koenraadt and Harrington, 2008). While some rainfall seems likely to have
30 positive effects on mosquito abundance, the effect of heavy rainfall is less clear. Heavy rainfall can
31 flush immature mosquito from aquatic habitats, but the extent of this effect varies among species
32 (Koenraadt and Harrington, 2008). For example, specialist container-breeding *Aedes aegypti* has been

33 found to have a stronger protective diving response to rainfall compared to generalist habitat breeding
34 *Culex pipiens* (Koenraadt and Harrington, 2008).

35 Temperature effects on mosquitoes is well studied.

36 Cover: - temperature effects - lags - GAMS non-linear relationship between climate and
37 mosquito abundance (Roiz et al., 2014)

38 **Gaps**

39 - many species/locations - use of autoregressive term or not Previous research has found t-1 autore-
40 gressive terms to be significantly associated with mosquito abundance Poh et al. (2019)(1 month),
41 (Xu et al., 2017) (1 month),

42 - different temporal resolutions - lag determination across species/location - what kind of
43 precipitation measure to use

44 **Novelty of Research**

45 Florida in particular

46 More sustainable systems are needed for vector-borne disease surveillance (Vazquez-Prokopec
47 et al., 2010)

48 **Clear-cut Questions**

49 1. Which level of temporal resolution of temperature and precipitation is best able to
50 predict mosquito abundances?

51 2. What is the relative importance of temperature and precipitation in predicting
52 mosquito abundances across species and locations?

Methods

Mosquito Abundance Data

Mosquito count data was obtained from the VectDyn database (**HOW TO CITE?**). VectDyn is a global database containing spatially and temporally explicit abundance data of mosquitoes and other arthropod vectors. Mosquito abundance from 205 global locations was narrowed down to 7 data-rich counties in Florida, U.S.A. From these 7 counties, 5 counties were determined to have nearly year-round sampling from which fairly continuous time series of mosquito abundances could be formed.

County	Years of Data
Lee	11
Manatee	5
Orange	6
Saint Johns	13.5
Walton	3
Average	7.7

Table 1: Locations of abundance data and associated length of data location at each location. Individual species in these locations may have abundance records that are shorter than the overall collection duration of each location.

Each location contained multiple trap sites. A variety of trap types were used, including BG-Sentinel traps, CDC light traps, animal-baited traps, CDC gravid traps, and **collection of arthropods**. Because I was only concerned with relative changes in abundance and not absolute abundance across species or locations, I included all trap types in my data. Abundance was recorded in integer count values and usually identified to the species level.

Meteorological Data

Temperature and precipitation datasets were obtained from the NOAA Climate Data Online database as NetCDF raster files at a spatial resolution of 0.50 degrees latitude and 0.50 degrees longitude. Maximum temperature in Celsius and total daily precipitation in millimetres were used based on availability of data. Rasters were rotated 180 degrees to match coordinate rotation of trap locations. Maximum daily temperature and total precipitation values were then extracted by taking the mean of the bilinear interpolation of the 4 closest raster cells to each trap location. I then mapped extracted maximum temperature and total precipitation values to corresponding mosquito abundances by date and trap location.

76 Number of days of rainfall has been shown to be a more effective predictor of
77 mosquito-borne disease incidence than cumulative precipitation (Xu et al., 2017). This
78 is likely due to the maintenance of humid conditions over time with frequent rainfall.
79 Humidity has been independently assessed as a significant predictor of abundance dy-
80 namics (Trawinski and MacKay, 2008), and so this representation of precipitation may
81 capture both humidity and precipitation effects.

82 Data Pre-Processing

83 In order to account for discrepancies between true zero count instances and NA values in abundance
84 data, I set abundance for each species to zero where at least one mosquito of any species had been
85 caught at the same trap on the same day.

86 I then spatially and temporally aggregated meteorological data and species-level abundance
87 data. At the spatial level, I averaged the maximum temperature, total precipitation, and species-
88 specific abundance from trap-specific to county-wide. This transformed integer count values to av-
89 eraged indicators of overall abundance for the county-level spatial scale. I aggregated morphological
90 groups of non-differentiable species that could be easily mis-identified (**list in SI**). I then removed
91 species that had only zero or NA abundance values. Species counts that were identified only to the
92 genus or family level were also removed. I then temporally aggregated maximum temperature, total
93 precipitation, and species-specific abundance by averaging at weekly, biweekly, and monthly scales.
94 Consequently, temperature refers to the average maximum daily temperature across respective tempo-
95 ral scales and precipitation refers to average daily precipitation across the respective temporal scales.
96 Finally, I removed rows of data with missing values in response or explanatory variables.

97 **include paragraph on preprocessing for GAM: dealing with NAs, interpolation**
98 **for autoregressive model**

99 Model Structure

100 I used univariate generalized additive models for each species at each location to determine the best-
101 fit temporal lags between abundance and maximum temperature as well as between abundance and
102 precipitation. Because aggregated abundance values are positive, non-integer, and non-normally dis-
103 tributed, I used a Gamma family distribution with a log-link function. These models had the form:

$$104 \ln(V_t + 1) = a_0 + f_1(T_{t-l_T}) + \epsilon_t \quad (1)$$

$$\ln(V_t + 1) = a_0 + f_1(P_{t-l_P}) + \epsilon_t \quad (2)$$

V_t is abundance at time t , a_0 is the intercept, T_{t-l_T} and P_{t-l_P} are the temperature and precipitation at l_T and l_P , respectively, time periods prior to time t . One is added to abundance at time t in order to prevent undefined values from the logarithm of zero abundance values. f_1 is a smooth function comprised of cubic polynomial basis functions. I set the number of basis functions for each smooth function to four throughout this analysis in order to control overfitting of the GAM.

Each dataset was also fit with two multivariate models, each incorporating temperature and precipitation, and one of which incorporating an autoregressive term.

$$\ln(V_t + 1) = a_0 + f_1(T_{t-l_T}) + f_2(P_{t-l_P}) + \epsilon_t \quad (3)$$

$$\ln(V_t + 1) = a_0 + f_1(T_{t-l_T}) + f_2(P_{t-l_P}) + f_3(V_{t-1} + 1) + \epsilon_t \quad (4)$$

In Equation 4, V_{t-1} is the abundance one time step prior to abundance at time t .

Model Selection and Evaluation

Models 1 and 2 were used to determine the best-fit lags of temperature and precipitation for each dataset at each temporal aggregation. At the weekly and biweekly scale, lags between zero and six weeks were considered. At the monthly scale, lags between zero and two months were considered. Best-fit lags for each temporal scale were chosen by finding the minimum Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) value among models fit with each possible lag length.

$$AIC = -2\ln[L(\hat{\theta}_p|y)] + 2p \quad (5)$$

AIC is a method for determining the likelihood of a given model while penalizing model complexity (Johnson and Omland, 2004).

I have just realized that this is an inappropriate use of AIC. These univariate models are not nested and are based on different datasets- different lagged meteorological data. I should actually use GCV- generalized cross validation.

Once best-fit lags of meteorological variables for each dataset and temporal scale were determined, these lags were incorporated into multivariate models 3 and 4. The generalized cross-validation (GCV) score and deviance explained of these multivariate models were compared.

128 **Results**

129 Figures/Charts:

- 130 1. time series of abundance, temp, and precipitation
- 131 2. partial dependency plot of multivariate GAM of a sample species
- 132 3. Table comparing deviance explained of different temporal resolutions
- 133 4. Table showing significance of temperature and precipitation
- 134 5. Table showing effect of autoregressive term

135 Discussion

136 Mosquito-borne disease transmission is dependent on both natural and human environmental factors,
137 such as climate, land use, health infrastructure, host demographics- using only climate will overesti-
138 mate impact of climate change on populations (Parham et al., 2015)

139 Aggregation of precipitation will lose the importance of quick torrential rains likely to flush
140 immature mosquitoes (Koenraadt and Harrington, 2008)

Bibliography

- (2017). Global vector control response 2017–2030. Technical report, World Health Organization, Geneva.
- Beck-Johnson, L. M., Nelson, W. A., Paaijmans, K. P., Read, A. F., Thomas, M. B., and Bjørnstad, O. N. (2013). The effect of temperature on *Anopheles* mosquito population dynamics and the potential for malaria transmission. *PLoS ONE*, 8(11).
- Gubler, D. J. (1998). Resurgent vector-borne diseases as a global health problem. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 4(3):442–450.
- Gubler, D. J. (2001). Prevention and control of tropical diseases in the 21st century: Back to the field. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 65(1).
- Johnson, J. B. and Omland, K. S. (2004). Model selection in ecology and evolution. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 19(2):101–108.
- Keith, J. (2005). The importance of agricultural tire habitats for mosquitoes of public health importance in New York State. *Source: Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association*, 21(2):171–176.
- Koenraadt, C. and Harrington, L. C. (2008). Flushing Effect of Rain on Container-Inhabiting Mosquitoes *Aedes aegypti* and *Culex pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae) . *Journal of Medical Entomology*, 45(1):28–35.
- Li, R., Xu, L., Bjørnstad, O. N., Liu, K., Song, T., Chen, A., Xu, B., Liu, Q., and Stenseth, N. C. (2019). Climate-driven variation in mosquito density predicts the spatiotemporal dynamics of dengue. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 116(9):3624–3629.
- Li, Y., Su, X., Zhou, G., Zhang, H., Puthiyakunnon, S., Shuai, S., Cai, S., Gu, J., Zhou, X., Yan, G., and Chen, X.-G. (2016). Comparative evaluation of the efficiency of the BG-Sentinel trap, CDC

- light trap and Mosquito-oviposition trap for the surveillance of vector mosquitoes. *Parasites & Vectors*, 9(1):446.
- Parham, P. E., Waldock, J., Christophides, G. K., Hemming, D., Augusto, F., Evans, K. J., Fefferman, N., Gaff, H., Gumel, A., Ladeau, S., Lenhart, S., Mickens, R. E., Naumova, E. N., Ostfeld, R. S., Ready, P. D., Thomas, M. B., Velasco-Hernandez, J., and Michael, E. (2015). Climate, environmental and socio-economic change: Weighing up the balance in vector-borne disease transmission. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 370(1665):1–17.
- Poh, K. C., Chaves, L. F., Reyna-Nava, M., Roberts, C. M., Fredregill, C., Bueno, R., Debboun, M., and Hamer, G. L. (2019). The influence of weather and weather variability on mosquito abundance and infection with West Nile virus in Harris County, Texas, USA. *Science of the Total Environment*, 675:260–272.
- Roiz, D., Ruiz, S., Soriguer, R., and Figuerola, J. (2014). Climatic effects on mosquito abundance in Mediterranean wetlands. *Parasites and Vectors*, 7(1):1–13.
- Shaman, J. and Day, J. F. (2007). Reproductive Phase Locking of Mosquito Populations in Response to Rainfall Frequency. *PLoS ONE*, 2(3):e331.
- Tomerini, D. M., Dale, P. E., and Sipe, N. (2011). Does mosquito control have an effect on mosquito-borne disease? the case of ross river virus disease and mosquito management in Queensland, Australia. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association*, 27(1):39–44.
- Trawinski, P. R. and MacKay, D. S. (2008). Meteorologically conditioned time-series predictions of West Nile virus vector mosquitoes. *Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases*, 8(4):505–521.
- Vazquez-Prokopec, G. M., Chaves, L. F., Ritchie, S. A., Davis, J., and Kitron, U. (2010). Unforeseen Costs of Cutting Mosquito Surveillance Budgets. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 4(10):e858.
- Xu, L., Stige, L. C., Chan, K. S., Zhou, J., Yang, J., Sang, S., Wang, M., Yang, Z., Yan, Z., Jiang, T., Lu, L., Yue, Y., Liu, X., Lin, H., Xu, J., Liu, Q., and Stenseth, N. C. (2017). Climate variation drives dengue dynamics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114(1):113–118.
- Yoo, E. H., Chen, D., and Diao, C. (2016). The effects of weather and environmental factors on west nile virus mosquito abundance in greater toronto area. *Earth Interactions*, 20(3).