Model United Nations at University of California, San Diego presents On Saturday November 1, 2014

# Drone Warfare

**Disarmament and International Security** (DISEC)





#### Hello Delegates!

My name is Andrew Muse-Fisher and I will be your Chair for the 1<sup>st</sup> Disarmament and International Security Committee. I am a second year Political Science: International Relations major with minors in Japanese Studies and Economics. I am originally from NorCal, but I prefer living in sunny San Diego to living Sacramento. I started MUN at the beginning of my freshman year here, and since then I have fallen in love with it. I have competed on our travel team and worked mostly with our crisis team for home conferences. Most recently, I chaired one side of a joint historical crisis committee about the Pacific theatre of World War II.

Outside of MUN work and school, I write for UCSD's Prospect Journal of International Affairs. I have mostly covered topics concerning LGBT rights and the politics of international sports. I also surf on the weekends. I am still learning, but it is my favorite way to spend my Saturday mornings.

I am excited to see tactful, research based discussion in committee. Moreover, I am interested to see the direction the discussion committee takes. The controversy of drone warfare is a relatively new and there is still much be said for this topic. Because of this, I am expecting creative and well thought out solutions to the issue. In crafting solutions, specificity is key. The more multifaceted an approach this committee takes, the greater the quality of debate will be. Going into debate, the most important expectation I have as chair is that you keep to your country's policy. This, research, and diplomacy will be your greatest assets in reaching a solid solution in committee.

Sincerely your Chair,

Andrew Muse-Fisher

amusefis@ucsd.edu



### I. Background

In 1998, the United States put its first unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV, or "drone") in service. In 2002, the U.S. had a stockpile of 50 drones, and by 2012, they had around 7,500. As this number increased, the drones experienced great technological advancement. Smaller and more compact drones are being built, and are being developed so that they are automated and less dependent on human controllers. The U.S. has developed drones mostly in order to aid the war on terrorism and to kill high profile targets, while simultaneously reducing cost in both manufacturing and in American lives. This development began in order to augment surveillance, but later, their use included direct lethal attacks on targets in foreign nations. The U.S. is continuing its research of drones, with plans to spend \$98 billion on development by 2021. The U.S. has deployed them for both purposes mostly to Iraq, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Yemen, and Somalia.<sup>1</sup>

The United States' frequent use of drone warfare has created international controversy for several reasons. The U.S. has presented a legal defense of drone use claiming that they are used to kill immediate threats to the security of the United States. International critics, however, have claimed that because most of the drone attacks carried out by the U.S. were not directed at a state or country - but rather a group within a state, such as Al Qaeda - the legality of the attacks is questionable from an international

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Knoops, Geert-Jan Alexander. "Legal, Political and Ethical Dimensions of Drone Warfare Under International Law: A Preliminary Survey." International Law Review 12.4 (2012): 697-720



standpoint. The attacks would have more legitimacy under international law if the attacks are used to prevent an "imminent threat to life" and if there were no other options to prevent this threat, though this is not always the case. The strikes also maintain legitimacy if they are carried out in a manner proportional to the threat the attack is a response to. Indeed, the U.S. has loosened its regulations and requirements for drone strikes, leading to greater consequences and scrutiny. If these guidelines are not followed in an active warzone, then the perpetrator would be guilty of war crimes. In the cases of Pakistan, Somalia, and Yemen, none of which are labeled active warzones by the United States, the lethal use of drones has come under great criticism internationally because the attacks fall into a grey area of international jurisdiction, but are hardly justifiable.<sup>2</sup>
Furthermore, these critics believe use of drones to be inhumane as they reduce accountability and have resulted in the deaths of many civilians, including children.<sup>3</sup>

Because drones are remotely operated by someone hundreds or thousands of miles away, the relationship between attacker and target is severely reduced. It should be noted, however, that drone operators are prone to experience post traumatic stress disorder and other negative effects of combat similar to those experienced by other soldiers that play a more typical and intimate role in combat. If it is the case that there are unanticipated civilian casualties, those operating the drones are not always informed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sterio, Melina. "The United States' Use of Drones in the War on Terror: The (II)legality of Targeted Killings Under International Law." *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law* 45.1 and 2 (2012): 197-214. Web

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Knoops, Geert-Jan Alexander. "Legal, Political and Ethical Dimensions of Drone Warfare Under International Law: A Preliminary Survey." International Law Review 12.4 (2012): 697-720



or in a position to gain such information.<sup>4</sup> This ultimately minimizes accountability, especially in the situation of a civilian death or casualty. It is rarely the case that the target or targets are alone. Attacks have occurred when the target is with friends, family, associates, or other civilians. It is not surprising then that drone strikes often hit civilians that were not involved in activities that would cause direct or indirect harm to the United States. In at least one occasion, targets were attacked while attending funerals, resulting in more civilian deaths than deaths of targets. This calls into question whether the United States is reacting in a proportional manner, by taking into account the ratio of civilian deaths to those of combatants. Even more casualties have occurred because those attempting to aid targets that have been attacked by drones are in turn attacked by drones to prevent the recovery of the initial targets. This has incited fear into would-be rescuers who instead wait to provide aid or refuse to help at all. Some of these civilian casualties occur simply because the drone technology is not advanced enough to correctly identify a target. Because the drone attacks occur randomly and with little warning, citizens of targeted countries have grown fearful of attack. This has caused minor social disruptions as some people have become afraid to carry out basic parts of daily life such as going shopping or to school. Increasingly often, the United States will gather a minimal amount of intelligence on a target and then proceed to carry out an attack based on information gained from drone surveillance. As a result, drone attacks are being carried out based on potentially inaccurate information that increases civilian

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Power, Matthew. "Confessions of a Drone Warrior." GO Magazine. N.p., 23 Oct. 2013. Web. 08 July 2014.



casualties. While there is no agreed upon number of casualties, the United States government has resorted to skewing the records of their attacks. They do so by using an altered definition of militant that includes all military able men aged 16-60. They would only be reclassified as civilian after the fact if clear evidence presented itself to the contrary. This means that the U.S. is not holding itself accountable, and they will remain free of accountability until an outside authority begins to hold the United States to a higher standard of responsibility.<sup>5</sup>

Though the lethality and lack of accountability of drones are at the forefront of the issue, they are not the only questionable functions of drones. One of the main uses of drones is to carry out reconnaissance missions. Drones are capable of providing real-time intelligence to drone operators without the knowledge or approval of the target or targets. Former National Security Agency Contractor, Edward Snowden, listed this ability of UAVs as a reason he spoke out against the United States expansive collection of data and intelligence, domestically and abroad. Snowden stated that he could observe entire villages through drones, and how these observations would be used to make decisions on whether or not to carry out an attack on a target. Seeing this, Snowden feared the increasing use of drones by the United States to collect information on unknowing targets.

5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Guardian 21 (2012): 12.

Boyle, Michael J. "The costs and consequences of drone warfare." *International Affairs* 89.1 (2013): 1-29. 
<sup>6</sup> Haskologlu, Isa, A. Alparslan Eker, and Saban Adana. "A Perspective of Applications of Unmanned Systems in Asymmetric Warfare." *International Journal of Information & Education Technology*. 3.6 (2013): 656-659.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Goodman, Amy, and Glenn Greenwald. "'The Stuff I Saw Really Began to Disturb Me': How the U.S. Drone War Pushed Snowden to Leak NSA Docs." *Democracy Now!* 13 May 2014. Web.



While the U.S. has developed and fine-tuned UAVs for surveillance and lethal attacks, other countries are considering following their example. China has already begun producing drones ranging in size and power, and that are comparable to those used by the United States. One of China's primary reasons for creating its own drone program is for surveillance purposes, especially over disputed territories, including the Diaoyu/Senkaku islands that both China and Japan claim as their own. Another reason for funding a drone program is the economic gain to be had by selling drones to other countries, namely Pakistan and the United Arab Emirates.<sup>8</sup> Russia, too, has been working to develop its own drone program, but has not been nearly as successful as China or the U.S. Because they have been slow to make any progress, they have purchased drones from Israel at no small cost in order to catch up with other leading countries. A 2013 estimate put out by the United States Congress stated that about 76 countries had UAVs. Though not all of these countries have developed drones to the extent the U.S. has, the drone age is just beginning. Since this is the case, there is a lot in terms of international agreements and standards that have not been established when dealing with UAVs and drones.

### II. UN Involvement

In 2012 Christof Heyns, a special rapporteur on extrajudicial killings, claimed drone strikes are inhumane and that they go against international law. In calling for further investigation of drone warfare, Heyns implied that certain drone attacks were war crimes. This call prompted several countries that have been targeted by the United States'

<sup>8</sup> "China's Expanding Drone Program." Stratfor Analysis (2013): 14. Web.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Buckley, Mary. "Russia Looks to the Skies." World Today 69.1 (2013): 49



drone attacks to support such an investigation. This in turn prompted the United States to respond by saying their attacks were completely within the bounds of international law.<sup>10</sup>

At the same time Ban Ki-Moon, UN Secretary General, called for the use of drones in the Democratic Republic of Congo in order to gather intelligence that would aid in the fight against rebels in the country. The U.S., U.K., and France all supported this idea, while Russia and China opposed it. Though these drones would be unarmed, they would set a precedent for the use of UAVs with the support of the UN. This precedent was officially established in 2013 when the UN carried through with deploying UAVs in the Congo. 12

Both these instances demonstrate that because the use of drones in warfare and in general is such a recent occurrence, there is much the UN has not yet covered on the issue. There is still a great amount of indecision and argument within the UN about the use of drones, armed or unarmed. As a result, reaching a resolution on the issue has become problematic. Though this means researching based on precedent might be difficult, the potential for creative solutions is enormous.

\_

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$  Bowcott, Owen. "Drone strikes threaten 50 years of international law, says UN rapporteur." *The Guardian* 21 (2012): 12.

Howden, Daniel. "UN Wants Drones over Congo to Keep the Peace." *The Independent* (2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Katombe, Kenny. "U.N. Forces Use Drones for First Time, in Eastern Congo." *Reuters*. Thomson Reuters, 03 Dec. 2013. Web



### III. Bloc Positions

#### **Western Bloc**

The United States is the foremost user of UAVs, especially in military surveillance and attacks. As such, a majority of the debate over drones concerns the United States and the dangerous precedents it has set. This has drawn much international criticism, but the U.S. government maintains that their use of UAVs is legal both within their own laws and international laws and agreements. Simultaneously, the United States has operated drone attacks with little oversight, preventing any reform domestically. The United States has also expanded its drone production in order to export them to countries all over the world. Along with the United States, the United Kingdom is one of the three countries in the world that has armed UAVs for purposes beyond surveillance. Though the U.K. is the only European country to have armed drones, several other countries have developed their own drones that they use for military and civilian surveillance. Some of these countries have established markets to sell drones to countries that do not wish to or do not have the capabilities to build their own drones.

#### Eastern Bloc

China has spoken out against the United States' use of drones in the Middle East, while they are producing and selling drones primarily for surveillance of military and international issues. Russia has tried to follow China's lead in developing its own drone program, but not to the same extent. So far, Russia has reached out to other countries in



order to buy UAVs while they have allocated funds to build their own models. In Japan, drones have been employed to survey potentially illegal whaling ships and the Fukushima power plant after it was hit by the 2011 earthquake and resulting tsunami.

#### **Middle Eastern Bloc**

Because citizens of several countries in the Middle East (Iraq, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Yemen, and Somalia) have been targeted and attacked by drones, some oppose use of drones for military purposes and they believe it is illegal to carry out any such attacks. At the same time, certain Middle Eastern countries have purchased drones from countries such as China. The Iranian government, on the other hand, chose to establish its own drone program. While most countries in the Middle East are working to establish a legal foundation to limit use of armed military UAVS, Israel supports their use and is the third country with armed UAV capabilities. Though many Middle Eastern countries have been the targets of drone attacks, they are still interested in attaining the drone technology. The United States has attacked certain countries based on their own terms and based on their own definition of terrorist activities. The target countries can do little to alter the United State's guidelines for an attack. At the same time, these nations see how effective and devastating these attacks can be, and how without these technologies, their national sovereignty is at stake if they cannot defend themselves, increasing their demand for drone capabilities.



#### **African Bloc**

In and near Central Africa, drones have been used for surveillance of rebels, to protect endangered species from poachers, and to monitor refugees for humanitarian purposes: all very different though non-lethal functions of drones. In order to perform reconnaissance missions and to acquire UAVs, many African countries have looked to China, the U.S., and European countries for assistance, potentially strengthening existing trade relations.

### IV. Questions to Consider

- 1. Under what circumstances should drone attacks be legal, if at all?
- 2. By what means can this body establish legal precedents that regulate drone use and how can these precedents be enforced?
- 3. What criteria must a target or targets meet in order for an attack to be carried out?
- 4. Who should be allowed to develop, sell, and use UAVs, armed or unarmed?
- 5. To what extent should countries be allowed to develop drones?
- 6. What are the beneficial uses and results of using UAVs and what steps can be taken to implement them into international law?



## V. Suggested Sites

- CIA World Factbook
- BBC World News
- The Economist
- Ebscohost (if your school has access)
- JStor
- Google Scholar

### VI. Bibliography

- Bowcott, Owen. "Drone strikes threaten 50 years of international law, says UN rapporteur." *The Guardian* 21 (2012): 12.
- Boyle, Michael J. "The costs and consequences of drone warfare." *International Affairs* 89.1 (2013): 1-29.
- Buckley, Mary. "Russia Looks to the Skies." *World Today* 69.1 (2013): 49 "China's Expanding Drone Program." *Stratfor Analysis* (2013): 14. Web.
- Goodman, Amy, and Glenn Greenwald. "The Stuff I Saw Really Began to Disturb Me':

  How the U.S. Drone War Pushed Snowden to Leak NSA Docs." *Democracy*Now! 13 May 2014. Web.
- Haskologlu, Isa, A. Alparslan Eker, and Saban Adana. "A Perspective of Applications of Unmanned Systems in Asymmetric Warfare." *International Journal of Information & Education Technology*. 3.6 (2013): 656-659.
- Howden, Daniel. "UN Wants Drones over Congo to Keep the Peace." *The Independent* (2013).
- Katombe, Kenny. "U.N. Forces Use Drones for First Time, in Eastern Congo." *Reuters*.

  Thomson Reuters, 03 Dec. 2013. Web
- Knoops, Geert-Jan Alexander. "Legal, Political and Ethical Dimensions of Drone

  Warfare Under International Law: A Preliminary Survey." *International Law*Review 12.4 (2012): 697-720



Power, Matthew. "Confessions of a Drone Warrior." *GQ Magazine*. N.p., 23 Oct. 2013. Web. 08 July 2014.

Sterio, Melina. "The United States' Use of Drones in the War on Terror: The (II)legality of Targeted Killings Under International Law." *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law* 45.1 and 2 (2012): 197-214. Web