

## ◆ SECTION 10: ASYNC, THREAD POOL & PERFORMANCE ◆

### 1 What is Async / Await?

- ◆ Imagine you order food 🍔. Instead of standing at the counter and blocking everyone until food is ready, you: Place the order → Sit down → Get notified when food is ready → That's async. The system is free to serve others while waiting.

🎯 **async and await** are used to write non-blocking asynchronous code.

In ASP.NET Core:

- Async frees the request thread
- Thread returns to Thread Pool
- When I/O completes, continuation runs on another thread

📌 Key point: **Async improves scalability, not CPU speed.**

❓ Q: Does async create a new thread?

✗ No ; ✓ It releases the current thread back to the Thread Pool

### 2 What is Thread Pool?

- ◆ Thread Pool is like a shared worker team 👤.

Instead of hiring a new worker for each task:

- Reuse existing workers.
- Assign tasks when available

🎯 The .NET Thread Pool:

- Manages a pool of worker threads
- Handles request execution
- Threads are reused to avoid creation overhead

ASP.NET Core **does not create a thread per request**. It uses Thread Pool threads.

📌 **Blocking a thread = wasting resources.**

❓ Q: Who manages Thread Pool?

✓ .NET runtime

❓ Q: Can Thread Pool grow?

✓ Yes, dynamically (but slowly)

### 3 Async vs Sync in ASP.NET Core

- ◆ Sync = wait and block

Async = wait without blocking

#### ⌚ Synchronous code

- Blocks thread
- Reduces throughput
- Causes thread starvation

#### Asynchronous code

- Frees thread during I/O wait
- Handles more concurrent requests

### 📌 ASP.NET Core is optimized for async I/O.

⌚ Q: Should everything be async?

✗ No ; ✓ Only I/O-bound operations

### 4 I/O-bound vs CPU-bound (VERY IMPORTANT)

I/O-bound	CPU-bound
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Database calls</li><li>• HTTP calls</li><li>• File access</li></ul> <p>✓ Use async/await</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Encryption</li><li>• Image processing</li><li>• Large calculations</li></ul> <p>❖ ✗ Async does NOT help</p> <p>❖ ✓ Use background processing / Task.Run cautiously</p>

⌚ Q: Does async improve CPU performance?

✗ No ; ✓ Improves scalability

### 5 Thread Starvation (INTERVIEW FAVORITE)

- ◆ Too many people waiting for service, but workers are stuck.

⌚ Thread starvation occurs when:

- Thread Pool threads are blocked
- New requests cannot get threads
- App becomes slow or unresponsive

Common causes: `.Result`

`.Wait()`

Blocking calls

Sync-over-async

✗ This is a production killer.

## Q: Symptoms of thread starvation?

- ✓ High latency, low CPU usage, request timeouts

## 6 Sync-over-Async (DANGEROUS)

- ◆ Calling async code like sync code.

🎯 Examples:

```
var data = GetDataAsync().Result;
```

Problems:

- Blocks thread
- Causes deadlocks
- Kills scalability

📌 ASP.NET Core avoids classic deadlocks, but still causes starvation.

◆ Interview Line: “**Sync-over-async is one of the most common performance issues in ASP.NET Core applications.**”

## 7 ConfigureAwait – Do We Need It?

- ◆ It tells async code where to continue.

🎯 In ASP.NET Core:

- No SynchronizationContext
- `ConfigureAwait(false)` is optional
- Still useful in library code

📌 In ASP.NET Core, `ConfigureAwait` is not mandatory like classic ASP.NET.

## 8 Parallelism vs Async (COMMON CONFUSION)

Concept	Async	Parallel
Purpose	Non-blocking I/O	CPU utilization
Threads	Reused	Multiple threads
Use case	Web APIs	Heavy computation

Q: Should we use Parallel.For in APIs?

✗ No (can exhaust Thread Pool)

## 9 Performance Best Practices (INTERVIEW GOLD)

### Do

- Use async for all I/O
- Avoid blocking calls
- Use caching
- Use pagination
- Use streaming for large responses

### Don't

- Use `.Result`
- Use `Task.Run` in controllers
- Do heavy work in middleware

## 10 Measuring Performance

Tools:

- Application Insights
- dotnet-counters
- dotnet-trace
- Logs + metrics

Key metrics:

- Request latency
- Thread Pool usage
- CPU utilization

## 🧠 ONE-LINE INTERVIEW ANSWER (MEMORIZE)

**“Async in ASP.NET Core improves scalability by freeing Thread Pool threads during I/O operations. Blocking threads or using sync-over-async can cause thread starvation and severe performance issues.”**

### ✓ YOU NOW UNDERSTAND

- |                      |                           |                              |
|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| ✓ Async/await deeply | ✓ Thread Pool internals   | ✓ Thread starvation          |
| ✓ I/O vs CPU bound   | ✓ Sync-over-async dangers | ✓ Performance best practices |

# HANDS-ON 10: ASYNC, THREAD POOL & PERFORMANCE

## STEP 0: Create Project

```
dotnet new webapi -n AsyncPerformanceDemo  
cd AsyncPerformanceDemo
```

## STEP 1: Create a Fake I/O Service (Simulate DB Call)

### Create folder: Services

```
public class FakeDatabaseService  
{  
    public async Task<string> GetDataAsync()  
    {  
        await Task.Delay(2000); // Simulate I/O delay  
        return "Data from DB";  
    }  
}
```

Register it:

```
builder.Services.AddScoped<FakeDatabaseService>();
```

 Interview explanation: `Task.Delay` simulates non-blocking I/O, just like DB or HTTP calls.

## STEP 2: Create BAD Controller (Sync-over-Async ✗)

### Controllers/BadController.cs

```
[ApiController]  
[Route("api/bad")]  
public class BadController : ControllerBase  
{  
    private readonly FakeDatabaseService _db;  
    public BadController(FakeDatabaseService db)  
    {  
        _db = db;  
    }  
    [HttpGet]  
    public IActionResult Get()  
    {
```

```
// ✗ BAD PRACTICE  
var data = _db.GetDataAsync().Result;  
return Ok(data);  
}  
}
```

⌚ What's happening internally?

- Request thread is blocked
- Thread Pool thread is stuck
- Cannot serve other requests
- Under load → thread starvation

📌 “This is sync-over-async and causes Thread Pool exhaustion.”

### ▣ STEP 3: Create GOOD Controller (Async Correctly ✓)

📁 Controllers/GoodController.cs

```
[ApiController]  
[Route("api/good")]  
public class GoodController : ControllerBase  
{  
    private readonly FakeDatabaseService _db;  
    public GoodController(FakeDatabaseService db)  
    {  
        _db = db;  
    }  
    [HttpGet]  
    public async Task<IActionResult> Get()  
    {  
        var data = await _db.GetDataAsync();  
        return Ok(data);  
    }  
}
```

⌚ Why this is good?

- Thread released during await
- Thread Pool reused
- High concurrency supported

📌 “Async frees the request thread during I/O waits.”

## STEP 4: Simulate Load (Conceptually)

Send multiple requests (10–50 concurrent):

**Endpoint : Behavior**

`/api/bad` : Slow, hangs, timeouts

`/api/good` : Handles load smoothly

 Bad endpoint blocks threads; good endpoint scales.

## STEP 5: Thread Pool Starvation DEMO

Create Blocking Endpoint

```
[HttpGet("block")]
public IActionResult Block()
{
    Thread.Sleep(5000); // ✗ BLOCKING
    return Ok("Blocked");
}
```

 Problem:

- `Thread.Sleep` blocks Thread Pool thread
- App becomes unresponsive under load

**Fix with Async:**

```
[HttpGet("noblock")]
public async Task<IActionResult> NoBlock()
{
    await Task.Delay(5000);
    return Ok("Non-blocking");
}
```

 `Task.Delay` is async; `Thread.Sleep` is blocking.

## STEP 6: CPU-Bound Task Mistake

 Wrong Use of `Task.Run`

```
[HttpGet("cpu")]
public async Task<IActionResult> Cpu()
```

```
{  
    await Task.Run(() => HeavyCalculation());  
    return Ok("Done");  
}
```

✖ Why bad?

- Steals Thread Pool threads
- Reduces request throughput

✓ Correct Interview Answer: “**CPU-bound work should be offloaded to background workers or separate services, not run inside API requests.**”

## 🚧 STEP 7: Measuring Thread Pool Health (Interview Knowledge)

You can say:

“I monitor thread pool starvation using dotnet-counters and Application Insights by observing request latency and thread usage.”

Metrics to watch:

- Thread Pool Queue Length
- Request Duration
- CPU vs Latency mismatch

## 🚧 STEP 8: ConfigureAwait Demo (Library Code)

```
public async Task<string> LibraryMethod()  
{  
    await Task.Delay(1000).ConfigureAwait(false);  
    return "Done";  
}
```

✖ In ASP.NET Core, `ConfigureAwait` is optional, but recommended in reusable libraries.

## 🚧 STEP 9: Common Interview Traps (WITH ANSWERS)

❓ Does async make code faster?

✗ No, ✓ Makes app scalable

❓ Does async create new threads?

✗ No, ✓ Reuses Thread Pool threads

❓ Can async cause memory leaks?

- ✓ Yes, if tasks are not awaited properly

🧠 FINAL INTERVIEW STORY (VERY IMPORTANT)

You can confidently say:

**"We had performance issues due to sync-over-async and `Thread.Sleep` blocking Thread Pool threads. We fixed it by making all I/O calls async, removing blocking code, and monitoring Thread Pool metrics, which significantly improved throughput."**

🔥 This sounds like real production experience.

✅ YOU NOW MASTER (HANDS-ON)

- ✓ Async vs Sync
- ✓ Thread Pool behaviour
- ✓ Thread starvation
- ✓ Sync-over-async
- ✓ CPU vs I/O tasks
- ✓ Real performance fixes

----- Notes -----