

Artificial Intelligence

Propositional Logic
Playing with it in Python



Model

- Model?
 - Set of Boolean propositions
 - Set of assignments
- Common representations
 - $\{ x, y \}$
 - Meaning a model where x is True and y is also True
 - Any other proposition not represented is assumed False
 - $x/\text{True } y/\text{True}$
 - Meaning a model where x is True, y is also True
 - No other propositions exist
- So:
 - $\{ x, y \}$ is set of assignments for the propositions $\{ x, y, z \}$
 - Because, in this notation, omitted propositions are assumed False
 - $X/\text{True } y/\text{True}$ is NOT a set of assignments for $\{ x, y, z \}$
 - Because it makes no assignment to z

Is an expression/formula satisfiable?

- Yes, if there is a model that makes it True
- Finding if there is a model that makes an expression SATisfiable is the "SAT problem"

Model \models Satisfaction (SAT)

- Left side
 - Model / assignments
- Right side
 - Boolean expression
 - Logical formula
- Satisfaction?
 - If the assignments make the expression True
 - "the assignments satisfy the expression"
- Exercises with $M = \{x/\text{True}, y/\text{False}\}$ - signal the satisfaction case(s)
 - $M \models (x \Rightarrow y)$
 - $M \models (x \text{ and } y)$
 - $M \models y$
 - $M \models (x \text{ or } y)$

Model \models Satisfaction (SAT)

- (solution) Exercise with $M = \{x/\text{True}, y/\text{False}\}$ - signal the satisfaction case(s)
 - $M \models (x \Rightarrow y)$
 - $M \models (x \text{ and } y)$
 - $M \models y$
 - $M \models (x \text{ or } y)$

CNF = Conjunctive Normal Form

- Any propositional formula can be represented in CNF
- What is CNF?
 - ANDs of ORs, of literals
 - Literal? A variable or its negation
 - A formula in CNF is a conjunction of 1+ clause(s), each a disjunction of literals
 - Example:
 - $(A \text{ OR } B) \text{ AND } (\text{NOT } A \text{ OR } C) \text{ AND } B$
 - In John McCarthy's LISP notation:
 - $(\text{and } (\text{or } A \ B) (\text{or } (\text{not } A) \ C) \ B)$
- Why is CNF important?
 - Any propositional formula can be in CNF
 - The DPLL algorithm for the SAT problem operates on CNF

DPLL = Davis–Putnam–Logemann–Loveland

- What is the DPLL algorithm?
 - a complete, backtracking-based search algorithm for deciding the satisfiability of propositional logic formulas in CNF
- Recursive
 - Splits the problems into smaller sub-problems
 - Searches for the assignments that would make a formula satisfiable
- Some related concepts
 - "pure literal" - a Boolean var that appears with only one polarity (never negated or always negated)
 - "pure literal elimination" - pure literals can be assigned in a way that makes all clauses containing them true, so they do not constraint the search and can be eliminated
 - A form of simplification

Logical consequence (or "entailment")

- AKA Logical Implication
- $\text{Lexp } 1, \dots, \text{Lexp } n \models \text{Rexp } 1, \dots, \text{Rexp } n$
 - L for "left"
 - R for "right"
 - Assume the , reads AND
- All the models that satisfy the left-side, must also satisfy the right-side
 - But the right-side might satisfy more models
- Exercise: which are logical consequences?
 - $(p \Rightarrow q), q \models p$
 - $(p \text{ and } q) \models p$
 - $(p \text{ or } q) \models p$
 - $(p \text{ or } q), (\text{not } p) \models q$
 - $(p \Rightarrow q), p \models q$

Logical consequence

- (solution) Exercise: which are logical consequences?
 - $(p \Rightarrow q), q \models p$
 - $(p \text{ and } q) \models p$
 - $(p \text{ or } q) \models p$
 - $(p \text{ or } q), (\text{not } p) \models q$
 - $(p \Rightarrow q), p \models q$

Logical equivalence

- $L \equiv R$
- $L \models R$
- $R \models L$
- Both must happen
- Exercise - signal the logical equivalence case(s)
- $((\text{not } u) \text{ or } v) \equiv (\text{not}(u \text{ and } (\text{not } v)))$
- $(a \text{ and } (b \text{ or } c)) \equiv ((a \text{ and } b) \text{ or } (a \text{ and } c))$
- $((\text{not } x) \text{ and } (\text{not } y)) \equiv (\text{not}(x \text{ and } y))$
- $((\text{not } x) \text{ or } (\text{not } y)) \equiv (\text{not } (x \text{ or } y))$
- $((\text{not } u) \text{ and } v) \equiv (\text{not } (u \text{ or } (\text{not } v)))$

Logical equivalence

- (solution) Exercise - signal the logical equivalence case(s)
- $((\text{not } u) \text{ or } v) \text{ /// } (\text{not}(u \text{ and } (\text{not } v)))$
- $(a \text{ and } (b \text{ or } c)) \text{ /// } ((a \text{ and } b) \text{ or } (a \text{ and } c))$
- $((\text{not } x) \text{ and } (\text{not } y)) \text{ /// } (\text{not}(x \text{ and } y))$
- $((\text{not } x) \text{ or } (\text{not } y)) \text{ /// } (\text{not } (x \text{ or } y))$
- $((\text{not } u) \text{ and } v) \text{ /// } (\text{not } (u \text{ or } (\text{not } v)))$

Redo the exercises, using Python

- How would you programmatically solve the questions using the LogicalHelper class?, available at
 - https://github.com/amsm/amlogic/blob/master/am_logical_helper.py
- See examples at:
 - https://github.com/amsm/amlogic/blob/master/esgts_questions_and_answers.py

References

- <https://github.com/amsm/amlogic>

