

1. Construct a truth table for the compound proposition $(p \rightarrow r) \vee \neg(p \wedge q)$. Which of tautology, contradiction or contingency is it?

p	r	q	$p \rightarrow r$	$p \wedge q$	$\neg(p \wedge q)$	$(p \rightarrow r) \vee \neg(p \wedge q)$
T	T	T	T	T	F	T
T	T	F	T	F	T	T
T	F	T	F	T	F	F
T	F	F	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	F	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	F	T	F	T	T

This is a contingency because there are some truth values and some false.

- 1.1.12 Let p , q , and r be the propositions

p : You have the flu

q : You miss the final examination

r : You pass the course

Express each of these propositions in English.

- $p \rightarrow q$
If you have the flu, then you miss the final exam.
- $\neg q \leftrightarrow r$
You pass the course if and only if you don't miss the final exam.
- $q \rightarrow \neg r$
If you miss the final examination, then you will not pass the course.
- $p \vee q \vee r$
You have the flu or you miss the final examination or you pass the course.
- $(p \rightarrow \neg r) \vee (q \rightarrow \neg r)$
If you have the flu or if you miss the final examination, then you don't pass the course.
- $(p \wedge q) \vee (\neg q \wedge r)$
You have the flu and you miss the final examination, or you don't miss the final examination and you pass the course.

- 1.1.14 Let p , q , and r be the propositions

p : You get an A on the final exam

q : You do every exercise in this book

r : You get an A in this class

Write these propositions using p , q , and r and logical connectives.

- $r \wedge \neg q$
- $p \wedge q \wedge r$
- $r \leftrightarrow p$
- $(p \wedge \neg q) \wedge r$
- $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$
- $(r \leftrightarrow p) \vee (r \leftrightarrow q)$

1.1.16 Determine whether these biconditionals are true or false.

a. $2+2=4$ if and only if $1+1=2$.

True

b. $1+1=2$ if and only if $2+3=4$.

False

c. $1+1=3$ if and only if monkeys can fly.

True

d. $0>1$ if and only if $2>1$.

False

1.1.18 Determine whether each of these conditional statements is true or false

a. If $1+1=3$, then unicorns exist.

True

b. If $1+1=3$, then dogs can fly.

True

c. If $1+1=2$, then dogs can fly.

False

d. If $2+2=4$, then $1+2=3$.

True

1.3.10 Show that the expression is a tautology using truth tables.

b. $[(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$

p	q	r	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow r$	$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$	$p \rightarrow r$	$[(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	F	T
T	F	T	F	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	T	T

Show that the expression is a tautology using logical equivalences.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r) \equiv \\
 & \equiv [\neg(\neg p \vee q) \wedge \neg(q \vee \neg r)] \rightarrow (\neg p \vee r) && p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q \\
 & \equiv [\neg(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \wedge \neg(q \wedge \neg r)] \rightarrow (\neg p \vee r) && \text{De Morgan's} \\
 & \equiv \neg[(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \wedge \neg r)] \rightarrow (\neg p \vee r) && \text{De Morgan's} \\
 & \equiv [(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \wedge \neg r)] \vee (\neg p \vee r) && p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q \\
 & \equiv (\neg p \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)) \vee (r \vee (q \wedge \neg r)) && \text{Distributive} \\
 & \equiv [(\neg p \vee \neg p) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q)] \vee [(r \vee q) \wedge (r \vee \neg r)] && \text{Distributive} \\
 & \equiv [T \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q)] \vee [(r \vee q) \wedge T] && \text{Negation} \\
 & \equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee (r \vee q) && \text{Identity}
 \end{aligned}$$

* (CONT ON PAGE 3

1.3.26 Show that $\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ and $q \rightarrow (p \vee r)$ are logically equivalent.

$$\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$$

$$\equiv p \vee (\neg q \vee r)$$

Important identity

$$\equiv \neg q \vee p \vee r$$

Associative Law

$$\equiv q \rightarrow (p \vee r)$$

Important identity

1.4.8. Translate these statements into English, where $R(x)$ is "x is a rabbit" and $H(x)$ is "x hops" and the domain consists of all animals.

a. $\forall x (R(x) \rightarrow H(x))$

For every animal, if that animal is a rabbit then that animal hops.

b. $\forall x (R(x) \wedge H(x))$

All animals are rabbits and hop.

c. $\exists x (R(x) \rightarrow H(x))$

For some animal, if it is a rabbit then it hops.

d. $\exists x (R(x) \wedge H(x))$

For some animal, it is both a rabbit and hops.

1.4.10 Let $C(x)$ be the statement "x has a cat" let $D(x)$ be the statement "x has a dog" and let $F(x)$ be the statement "x has a ferret". Express each of these statements in terms of $C(x)$, $D(x)$, $F(x)$, quantifiers, and logical connectives. The domain consists of all students in the class.

a. A student in your class has a cat, a dog, and a ferret.

$$\exists x (C(x) \wedge D(x) \wedge F(x))$$

b. All students in your class have a cat, a dog, or a ferret.

$$\forall x (C(x) \vee D(x) \vee F(x))$$

c. Some student in your class has a cat and a ferret, but not a dog.

$$\exists x (C(x) \wedge F(x) \wedge \neg D(x))$$

d. No student in your class has a cat, a dog, and a ferret.

$$\neg \exists x (C(x) \wedge D(x) \wedge F(x))$$

e. For each of the three animals, cats, dogs, and ferrets, there is a student in your class who has this pet.

$$\exists x (C(x)) \wedge \exists x (D(x)) \wedge \exists x (F(x))$$

1.3.106 CONT:

$$\equiv \neg p \vee r \vee \neg q \vee q$$

Associative

$$\equiv \neg p \vee r \vee T$$

Negation

$$\equiv T$$

Domination