

1 Introduction

This written assignment is to be turned in via eLearning or in hardcopy at the beginning of class on February 11, 2020 (no later than 1:05pm). If in hardcopy, please use **standard letter** (8.5" × 11") **paper** with no torn edges (so it can survive a photocopier). If hand-written, please write LEGIBLY; if typed, please clearly identify any non-standard notational conventions you've adopted for typesetting symbols, derivation trees, and other mathematics. Remember to put your name and email address at the top of each page.

2 Problem Set

- (1) **(8 pts)** Let $\sigma : v \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a store in which $\sigma(i) = 1$. Prove that when started in memory state σ the program `while i * i <= i do i := i + 1` eventually terminates and results in a memory state σ' in which $\sigma'(i) = 2$. (The derivation that proves this is a bit long. You may divide it into several pieces if needed, to make it easier to read.)
- (2) **(5 pts)** Define $W = (\text{while true do } x := x + 2)$ and $\sigma = \{(x, 0)\}$. List the first 6 configurations in the small-step evaluation of $\langle W, \sigma \rangle$ (not including configuration $\langle W, \sigma \rangle$ itself). You do not need to show a derivation of each small-step; just write the configuration that results after each small-step. (Note that showing the first 6 small-step configurations is *not* the same as running the loop body 6 times; you must *use the small-step semantics* to figure out what the first 6 configurations are.)
- (3) **(10 pts)** Assume that a is a SIMPL arithmetic expression in which there are exactly k “+” symbols, k “-” symbols, and k “*” symbols, and in which all integer constants are 2. Assume also that $\sigma^{\rightarrow} = \{2\}$ (where notation σ^{\rightarrow} denotes the image of σ). Prove by structural induction that if judgment $\langle a, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow n$ holds, then $|n| \leq 2^{3k+1}$.
- (4) Consider the following extension to SIMPL's syntax, which introduces *side-effects* to arithmetic expressions:

$$a ::= n \mid v \mid a_1 + a_2 \mid a_1 - a_2 \mid a_1 * a_2 \mid (c, a)$$

The intended semantics of the side-effect expression (c, a) is that first command c is executed, then expression a is evaluated and the result is returned as the result of the whole expression. For example, the command $x := ((x := 1), x) + ((x := x + 1), x)$ should first assign 1 to x , then assign 2 to x , and finally assign 3 to x .

- (a) **(11 pts)** Rewrite the large-step operational semantics of SIMPL to support these new side-effect expressions. That is, write large-step semantics rules that formally define the behavior of expression (c, a) . If you need to modify any of the existing large-step rules, write those modified rules as well.

Hint: You will need to modify judgments $\langle a, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow n$ and $\langle b, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow p$ so that they also return a store. For example, you might write $\langle a, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow n, \sigma'$ to denote that arithmetic expression a returns integer n and a new store σ' .

- (b) (3 pts) Extend the small-step operational semantics of SIMPL to support side-effect expressions. That is, write small-step semantics rules that formally define the behavior of expression (c, a) .

Hint: This is much easier than part a. You should not need to modify any of the existing small-step rules.