

SQL QUERIES:

Query 1: Table Creation

Defined six essential tables to support attribution, revenue tracking, and user engagement analysis:

- **costs:** Captures daily ad spend across different media channels and operating systems.
- **installs_google, installs_rest, and installs_organic:** Track install events across distinct acquisition sources.
- **mapping:** Links anonymous visitor identifiers to unique user IDs.
- **revenues:** Stores daily revenue generated by each user.

```
-- created costs table
CREATE TABLE costs (
  date DATE,
  media_channel TEXT,
  operating_system TEXT,
  spend NUMERIC
);
-- created installs_google table
CREATE TABLE installs_google (
  install_time TIMESTAMP,
  media_channel TEXT,
  operating_system TEXT,
  visitor_id TEXT,
  attr_id TEXT
);
-- created installs_organic table
CREATE TABLE installs_organic (
  install_time TIMESTAMP,
  operating_system TEXT,
  visitor_id TEXT
);
-- created installs_rest table
CREATE TABLE installs_rest (
  install_time TIMESTAMP,
  media_channel TEXT,
  operating_system TEXT,
  visitor_id TEXT
);
-- created mapping table
CREATE TABLE mapping (
  id TEXT, -- user_id
  vst_id TEXT, -- visitor_id
  createdat TIMESTAMP
);
-- created revenues table
```

```
CREATE TABLE revenues (  
  period DATE,  
  user_id TEXT,  
  revenue NUMERIC  
);
```

Query 2: Unified Install Dataset

Consolidated install records from all three sources using **UNION ALL** to create a comprehensive install log (**installs_all**). This ensures all events are preserved for downstream analysis, including duplicates.

-- used UNION ALL to add the tables even if we found the duplicates

```
CREATE TABLE installs_all AS  
SELECT  
  install_time::date AS install_date,  
  media_channel,  
  visitor_id  
FROM installs_google  
UNION ALL  
SELECT  
  install_time::date,  
  NULL AS media_channel,  
  visitor_id  
FROM installs_organic  
UNION ALL  
SELECT  
  install_time::date,  
  media_channel,  
  visitor_id  
FROM installs_rest;
```

Query 3: Attribution Modeling

Constructed an **attribution_modeling** table to compute weekly Customer Acquisition Cost (CAC) and Return on Ad Spend (ROAS):

- Merged install and revenue data using visitor-to-user mappings.
- Aggregated weekly spend by media channel from the **costs** table.
- Joined installs and spend data to calculate performance metrics per channel and week.

```
CREATE TABLE attribution_modeling AS  
WITH installs AS (  
  SELECT  
    i.install_date,
```

```

    DATE_TRUNC('week', i.install_date) AS week,
    COALESCE(i.media_channel, 'organic') AS media_source,
    i.visitor_id,
    m.id AS user_id
FROM installs_all i
LEFT JOIN mapping m ON i.visitor_id = m.vst_id
),
installs_with_revenue AS (
    SELECT
        inst.week,
        inst.media_source,
        inst.visitor_id,
        r.revenue::numeric
    FROM installs inst
    LEFT JOIN revenues r ON inst.user_id = r.user_id
),
weekly_costs AS (
    SELECT
        DATE_TRUNC('week', date) AS week,
        media_channel AS media_source,
        SUM(spend)::numeric AS spend
    FROM costs
    GROUP BY 1, 2
),
weekly_metrics AS (
    SELECT
        week,
        media_source,
        COUNT(DISTINCT visitor_id) AS installs,
        SUM(revenue) AS revenue
    FROM installs_with_revenue
    GROUP BY 1, 2
)
SELECT
    m.week,
    m.media_source,
    m.installs,
    m.revenue,
    c.spend,
    ROUND((c.spend / NULLIF(m.installs, 0))::numeric, 2) AS CAC,
    ROUND((m.revenue / NULLIF(c.spend, 0))::numeric, 4) AS ROAS
FROM weekly_metrics m
LEFT JOIN weekly_costs c
ON m.week = c.week AND m.media_source = c.media_source;

```

Query 4 : User Lifetime Value (LTV) Segmentation

Performed LTV analysis and segmented users based on value tiers:

- 4A: Created a view **user_ltv** to compute total revenue (LTV) per user.
- 4B: Developed a materialized view **ltv_segmented_users** to classify users into **High**, **Medium**, or **Low** LTV tiers using percentile thresholds. Each user was assigned to their latest media source.
- 4C: Created a summary view **ltv_distribution_by_source** to report the number of users in each LTV tier across media channels.

-- Step 4A: Total revenue (LTV) per user

```
CREATE OR REPLACE VIEW user_ltv AS
SELECT
    user_id,
    SUM(revenue) AS ltv
FROM revenues
GROUP BY user_id;
```

-- STEP 4B: USER LTV SEGMENTATION BY MEDIA SOURCE

```
CREATE MATERIALIZED VIEW ltv_segmented_users AS
WITH all_installs AS (
    SELECT visitor_id, install_time, media_channel
    FROM installs_google
    UNION ALL
    SELECT visitor_id, install_time, NULL AS media_channel
    FROM installs_organic
    UNION ALL
    SELECT visitor_id, install_time, media_channel
    FROM installs_rest
),
latest_installs AS (
    SELECT
        visitor_id,
        MAX(install_time) AS latest_time
    FROM all_installs
    GROUP BY visitor_id
),
latest_user_installs AS (
    SELECT
        a.visitor_id,
        a.install_time,
        COALESCE(a.media_channel, 'organic') AS media_source
    FROM all_installs a
    JOIN latest_installs l
    ON a.visitor_id = l.visitor_id AND a.install_time = l.latest_time
```

```

),
mapped_users AS (
  SELECT
    m.id AS user_id,
    i.media_source
  FROM mapping m
  JOIN latest_user_installs i
    ON m.vst_id = i.visitor_id
)
SELECT
  l.user_id,
  l.ltv,
  CASE
    WHEN l.ltv >= (
      SELECT PERCENTILE_CONT(0.66)
        WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY ltv)
      FROM user_ltv
    ) THEN 'High'
    WHEN l.ltv >= (
      SELECT PERCENTILE_CONT(0.33)
        WITHIN GROUP (ORDER BY ltv)
      FROM user_ltv
    ) THEN 'Medium'
    ELSE 'Low'
  END AS ltv_tier,
  mu.media_source
FROM user_ltv l
JOIN mapped_users mu
  ON l.user_id = mu.user_id;

-- Step 4C: Count users in each LTV tier by media source
CREATE OR REPLACE VIEW ltv_distribution_by_source AS
SELECT
  ltv_tier,
  media_source,
  COUNT(*) AS user_count
FROM ltv_segmented_users
GROUP BY ltv_tier, media_source
ORDER BY ltv_tier, media_source;

```

Query 5: Step 5: Re-Engagement Effectiveness

Analyzed user re-engagement and its impact on LTV:

- **5A:** Built a materialized view **reengagements** to detect users who reinstalled the app after more than 30 days of inactivity.
- **5B:** Summarized re-engagement activity in **reengagement_summary** by media source, including re-engagement rates and user counts.
- **5C:** Created the **reengaged_user_ltv** view to assess LTV outcomes specifically for re-engaged users and quantified total re-engagements.

-- STEP 5A: RE-ENGAGEMENT ANALYSIS

CREATE MATERIALIZED VIEW reengagements **AS**

WITH combined_installs **AS** (

SELECT

m.id **AS** user_id,

i.install_date::timestamp **AS** install_time,

COALESCE(i.media_channel, 'organic') **AS** media_source

FROM installs_all i

JOIN mapping m **ON** i.visitor_id = m.vst_id

)

SELECT

user_id,

install_time,

media_source,

LAG(install_time) **OVER** (**PARTITION BY** user_id **ORDER BY** install_time) **AS** previous_install,

CASE

WHEN install_time - **LAG**(install_time) **OVER** (**PARTITION BY** user_id **ORDER BY** install_time)

> INTERVAL '30 days'

THEN TRUE ELSE FALSE

END AS is_reengagement

FROM combined_installs;

-- STEP 5B RE-ENGAGEMENT SUMMARY BY MEDIA SOURCE

CREATE OR REPLACE VIEW reengagement_summary **AS**

SELECT

media_source,

COUNT(*) **FILTER** (**WHERE** is_reengagement) **AS** reengaged_users,

COUNT(*) **AS** total_installs,

COUNT(**DISTINCT** user_id) **AS** unique_users,

ROUND(

COUNT(*) **FILTER** (**WHERE** is_reengagement)::NUMERIC / **NULLIF**(**COUNT**(*), 0),

2

) **AS** reengagement_rate

FROM reengagements

```
GROUP BY media_source  
ORDER BY media_source;
```

```
-- STEP 5C: POST-REENGAGEMENT LTV COMPARISON
```

```
CREATE OR REPLACE VIEW reengaged_user_ltv AS  
SELECT  
    r.user_id,  
    r.media_source,  
    l.ltv  
FROM reengagements r  
JOIN user_ltv l ON r.user_id = l.user_id  
WHERE is_reengagement = TRUE;  
SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT user_id) AS total_reengaged_users  
FROM reengagements  
WHERE is_reengagement = TRUE;
```