0 0 0 0.0 NaN 4 No issues. 23-07-2014 138 0 0 0 0.0 1 1 0mie 5 Purchased this for my device, it worked as adv... 409 0 0.0 0.0 2 2 1K3 0 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 4 it works as expected. I should have sprung for... 23-12-2012 715 3 This think has worked out great. Had a diff. br... 382 0 0.0 0.0 1m2 0 4 Bought it with Retail Packaging, arrived legit... 13-07-2013 513 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 4 2&1/2Men In [8]: **df** Out[8]: Unnamed: 0 reviewerName overall reviewText reviewTime day_diff helpful_yes helpful_no total_vote score_pos_neg_diff score_average_rating wilson_lower_bound 0 0 NaN 4 No issues. 23-07-2014 138 0 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 5 Purchased this for my device, it worked as adv... 409 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 1 0mie 0 2 2 0 0 1K3 4 it works as expected. I should have sprung for... 23-12-2012 715 0 0 0.0 0.0 This think has worked out great. Had a diff. br... 21-11-2013 3 3 1m2 382 0 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 0 0 0 0 4 4 2&1/2Men Bought it with Retail Packaging, arrived legit... 513 0.0 0.0 1 I bought this Sandisk 16GB Class 10 to use wit... 0 4910 4910 ZM "J" 23-07-2013 503 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 Used this for extending the capabilities of my... 22-08-2013 4911 4911 Zo 473 0 0.0 0.0 0 4912 4912 Z S Liske Great card that is very fast and reliable. It ... 31-03-2014 252 0 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 4913 4913 Z Taylor 5 Good amount of space for the stuff I want to d... 16-09-2013 448 0.0 0.0 0 5 I've heard bad things about this 64gb Micro SD... 01-02-2014 4914 4914 Zza 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 310 0 4915 rows × 12 columns df = df.sort_values("wilson_lower_bound", ascending = False) df.drop('Unnamed: 0', inplace = True, axis=1) df.head() reviewerName overall reviewText reviewTime day_diff helpful_yes helpful_no total_vote score_pos_neg_diff score_average_rating wilson_lower_bound Out[9]: 2031 Hyoun Kim "Faluzure" [[UPDATE - 6/19/2014]]So my lovely wife boug... 702 1952 2020 1884 0.966337 0.957544 05-01-2013 1428 0.948837 3449 NLee the Engineer 5 I have tested dozens of SDHC and micro-SDHC ca... 26-09-2012 803 77 1505 1351 0.936519 4212 SkincareCEO NOTE: please read the last update (scroll to ... 08-05-2013 579 1568 126 1694 1442 0.925620 0.912139 349 0.852525 317 Amazon Customer "Kelly" 1033 422 495 0.818577 If your card gets hot enough to be painful, it... 09-02-2012 73 4672 Sandisk announcement of the first 128GB micro ... 03-07-2014 158 45 4 49 41 0.918367 0.808109 def missing_values_analysis(df): na_columns_ = [col for col in df.columns if df[col].isnull().sum() > 0] n_miss = df[na_columns_].isnull().sum().sort_values(ascending=True) ratio_ = (df[na_columns_].isnull().sum()/df.shape[0]* 100).sort_values(ascending=True) missing_df = pd.concat([n_miss, np.round(ratio_, 2)], axis=1, keys=['Missing values', 'Ratio']) missing_df = pd.DataFrame(missing_df) return missing_df def check_dataframe(df, head=5, tail=5): print("SHAPE".center(82, '~')) print('Rows: {}'.format(df.shape[0])) print('columns: {}'.format(df.shape[1])) print("TYPES".center(82, '~')) print(df.dtypes) print("".center(82, '~')) print(missing_values_analysis(df)) print('DUPLICATED VALUES'.center(83, '~')) print(df.duplicated().sum()) print("QUANTILES".center(82,'~')) print(df.quantile([0,0,0.5,0.50,0.95,0.95,0.99,1]).T) check_dataframe(df) Rows: 4915 columns: 11 ~~~TYPES~~~~~~~~~~~~ object reviewerName int64 overall object reviewText reviewTime object day_diff int64 helpful_yes int64 helpful_no int64 total_vote int64 int64 score_pos_neg_diff score_average_rating float64 wilson_lower_bound float64 dtype: object Missing values Ratio 1 0.02 reviewerName 1 0.02 reviewText 0.00 0.00 0.50 0.50 0.95 0.95 \ overall 5.000000 5.0 5.0 5.000000 431.0 748.000000 day_diff 748.000000 helpful_yes 1.000000 1.000000 0.0 helpful_no 0.0 0.000000 0.000000 total_vote 1.000000 1.000000 0.0 0.0 1.000000 score_pos_neg_diff -130.0 -130.0 0.0 1.000000 0.0 1.000000 score_average_rating 0.0 1.000000 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.206549 wilson_lower_bound 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.206549 0.99 1.00 5.000000 overall 5.00000 day_diff 943.00000 1064.000000 helpful_yes 3.00000 1952.000000 helpful_no 183.000000 2.00000 total_vote 4.00000 2020.000000 score_pos_neg_diff 2.00000 1884.000000 1.000000 score_average_rating 1.00000 wilson_lower_bound 0.34238 0.957544 In [11]: def check_class(dataframe): nunique_df = pd.DataFrame({'Variable': dataframe.columns, 'Classes': [dataframe[i].nunique() \ for i in dataframe.columns]}) nunique_df = nunique_df.sort_values('Classes', ascending = False) nunique_df = nunique_df.reset_index(drop = True) return nunique_df check_class(df) Out[11]: Variable Classes 0 reviewText 4912 reviewerName 4594 2 reviewTime 690 day_diff 690 wilson_lower_bound 40 5 score_average_rating 28 score_pos_neg_diff 27 26 total_vote 8 23 helpful_yes helpful_no 17 10 overall 5 In [12]: import plotly.offline as py import plotly.graph_objs as go constraints = ['#B34D22','#EBE00C','#1FEB0C','#0C92EB','#EB0CD5'] def categorical_variable_summary(df, column_name): fig = make_subplots(rows = 1, cols =2, subplot_titles=('Countplot', 'Percentage'), specs=[[{"type": "xy"}, {'type':'domain'}]]) fig.add_trace(go.Bar(y = df[column_name].value_counts().values.tolist(), x = [str(i) for i in df[column_name].value_counts().index], text = df[column_name].value_counts().values.tolist(), textfont = dict(size=14), name= column_name, textposition = 'auto', showlegend = False, marker=dict(color=constraints, line= dict(color= '#DBE6EC', width=1))), row=1 , col=1) fig.add_trace(go.Pie(labels=df[column_name].value_counts().keys(), values=df[column_name].value_counts().values, textfont=dict(size=18), textposition='auto', showlegend=False, name=column_name, marker=dict(colors=constraints)), row=1, col=2)fig.update_layout(title={'text': column_name, 'y':0.9, 'x':0.5, 'xanchor':'center', 'yanchor':'top'}, template='plotly_white') iplot(fig) In [13]: categorical_variable_summary(df, 'overall') overall Countplot Percentage 4000 3922 10.7% 3500 3000 1.63% 2500 2000 1500 79.8% 1000 500 527 244 142 0 In [14]: df.reviewText.head() [[UPDATE - 6/19/2014]]So my lovely wife boug... Out[14]: I have tested dozens of SDHC and micro-SDHC ca... 3449 NOTE: please read the last update (scroll to ... 4212 317 If your card gets hot enough to be painful, it... Sandisk announcement of the first 128GB micro ... 4672 Name: reviewText, dtype: object review_example = df.reviewText[2031] In [15]: review_example '[[UPDATE - 6/19/2014]]So my lovely wife bought me a Samsung Galaxy Tab 4 for Father\'s Day and I\'ve been loving it ever since. Just as other with Samsung products, the Galaxy Out[15]: Tab 4 has the ability to add a microSD card to expand the memory on the device. Since it\'s been over a year, I decided to do some more research to see if SanDisk offered anything new. As of 6/19/2014, their product lineup for microSD cards from worst to best (performance-wise) are the as follows: SanDisk SanDisk Ultra PLUSSanDisk ExtremeSanDisk Extreme PLUSSanDisk Extreme PRONow, the difference between all of these cards are simply the speed in which you can read/write data to the card. Yes, the published rating of most all these cards (except the SanDisk regular) are Class 10/UHS-I but that\'s just a rating... Actual real world performance does get better with each model, but with faster cards co me more expensive prices. Since Amazon doesn\'t carry the Ultra PLUS model of microSD card, I had to do direct comparisons between the SanDisk Ultra (\$34.27), Extreme (\$57.95), an d Extreme PLUS (\$67.95). As mentioned in my earlier review, I purchased the SanDisk Ultra for my Galaxy S4. My question was, did I want to pay over \$20 more for a card that is fast er than the one I already owned? Or I could pay almost double to get SanDisk\'s 2nd-most fastest microSD card. The Ultra works perfectly fine for my style of usage (storing/capturi ng pictures & HD video and movie playback) on my phone. So in the end, I ended up just buying another SanDisk Ultra 64GB card. I use my cell phone *more* than I do my tablet and if the card is good enough for my phone, it\'s good enough for my tablet. I don\'t own a 4K HD camera or anything like that, so I honestly didn\'t see a need to get one of the fas ter cards at this time. I am now a proud owner of 2 SanDisk Ultra cards and have absolutely 0 issues with it in my Samsung devices. [[ORIGINAL REVIEW - 5/1/2013]] I haven\'t had to buy a microSD card in a long time. The last time I bought one was for my cell phone over 2 years ago. But since my cellular contract was up, I knew I would have to get a newer card in addition to my new phone, the Samsung Galaxy S4. Reason for this is because I knew my small 16GB microSD card wasn\'t going to cut it.Doing research on the Galaxy S4, I wanted t o get the best card possible that had decent capacity (32 GB or greater). This led me to find that the Galaxy S4 supports the microSDXC Class 10 UHS-I card, which is the fastest po ssible given that class. Searching for that specifically on Amazon gave me results of only 3 vendors (as of April) that makes these microSDXC Class 10 UHS-1 cards. They are Sandisk (the majority), Samsung and Lexar. Nobody else makes these that are sold on Amazon. Seeing how SanDisk is a pretty good name out of the 3 (I\'ve used them the most), I decided upon the SanDisk because Lexar was overpriced and the Samsung one was overpriced (as well as not eligible for Amazon Prime). But the scary thing is that when you filter by the SanDisk, y ou literally get DOZENS of options. All of them have different model numbers, different sizes, etc. Then there\'s that confusion of what\'s the difference between SDHC & SDXC?SDHC vs SDXC:SDHC stand for "Secure Digital High Capacity" and SDXC stands for "Secure Digital eXtended Capacity". Essentially these two cards are the same with the exception that SDHC only supports capcities up to 32GB and is formated with the FAT32 file system. The SDXC cards are formatted with the exFAT file system. If you use an SDXC card in a device, it must support that file system, otherwise it may not be recognizable and/or you have to reformat the card to FAT32.FAT32 vs exFAT: The differences between the two file systems means that FAT32 has a maximum file size of 4GB, limited by that file system. exFAT on the otherhand, supports file sizes up to 2TB (terabytes). The only thing you need to know here really is that it\'s possible your device doesn\'t support exFAT. If that\'s the case, just reformat it to FAT32. REMEMBER FORMATTING ERASES ALL DATA!To clarify the model numbers, I I hopped over to the SanDisk official webpage. What I found there is that they offer two "highspeed" options for SanDisk cards. These are SanDisk Extreme Pro and SanDisk Ultra. SanDisk Extr eme Pro is a line that supports read speeds up to 95MB/sec, however they are SDHC only. To make things worse, they are currently only available in 16GB & 8GB capacities. Since one of my requirements was to have a lot of storage, I ruled these out. The remaining devices listed on Amazon\'s search were the SanDisk Ultra line. But here, confusion sets in because SanDisk separates these cards to two different devices. Cameras & mobile devices. Is there a real difference between the two or is this just a marketing stunt? Unfortunately I\'m n ot sure but I do know the price difference between the two range from a couple cents to a few dollars. Since I wasn\'t sure, I opted for the one specifically targeted for mobile de vices (just in case there is some kind of compatibility issue). To find the exact model number, I would go to Sandisk\'s webpage (sandisk.com) and compare their existing product li neup. From there, you get exact model numbers and you can then search Amazon for these model numbers. That is how I got mine (SDSDQUA-064G). As for speed tests, I haven\'t run any s pecific testing, but copying 8 GB worth of data from my PC to the card literally took just a few minutes. One last note is that Amazon attaches additional characters to the end (for example SDSDQUA-064G-AFFP-A vs SDSDQUA-064G-U46A). The difference between the two is that the "AFFP-A" means "Amazon Frustration Free Packaging". Other than that, these are exactly the same. If you\'re wondering what I got (and want to use it in your Galaxy S4), I got the SDSDQUA-064G-u46A and it works like charm.' review_example = re.sub("[^a-zA-Z]",'',review_example) review_example 'UPDATESomylovelywifeboughtmeaSamsungGalaxyTabforFathersDayandIvebeenlovingiteversinceJustasotherwithSamsungproductstheGalaxyTabhastheabilitytoaddamicroSDcardtoexpandthememoryonthe Out[16]: deviceSinceitsbeenoverayearIdecidedtodosomemoreresearchtoseeifSanDiskofferedanythingnewAsoftheirproductlineupformicroSDcardsfromworsttobestperformancewisearetheasfollowsSanDiskSanD iskUltraSanDiskUltraPLUSSanDiskExtremeSanDiskExtremePLUSSanDiskExtremePRONowthedifferencebetweenallofthesecardsaresimplythespeedinwhichyoucanreadwritedatatothecardYesthepublishedra tingofmostallthesecardsexcepttheSanDiskregularareClassUHSIbutthatsjustaratingActualrealworldperformancedoesgetbetterwitheachmodelbutwithfastercardscomemoreexpensivepricesSinceAmazo ndoesntcarrytheUltraPLUSmodelofmicroSDcardIhadtododirectcomparisonsbetweentheSanDiskUltraExtremeandExtremePLUSAsmentionedinmyearlierreviewIpurchasedtheSanDiskUltraformyGalaxySMyque stionwasdidIwanttopayovermoreforacardthatisfasterthantheoneIalreadyownedOrIcouldpayalmostdoubletogetSanDisksndmostfastestmicroSDcardTheUltraworksperfectlyfineformystyleofusagestori ngcapturingpicturesHDvideoandmovieplaybackonmyphoneSointheendIendedupjustbuyinganotherSanDiskUltraGBcardIusemycellphonemorethanIdomytabletandifthecardisgoodenoughformyphoneitsgoode noughformvtabletIdontownaKHDcameraoranvthinglikethatsoIhonestlvdidntseeaneedtogetoneofthefastercardsatthistimeIamnowaproudownerofSanDiskUltracardsandhaveabsolutelyissueswithitinmyS amsungdevicesORIGINALREVIEWIhaventhadtobuyamicroSDcardinalongtimeThelasttimeIboughtonewasformycellphoneoveryearsagoButsincemycellularcontractwasupIknewIwouldhavetogetanewercardinad ditiontomynewphonetheSamsungGalaxySReasonforthisisbecauseIknewmysmallGBmicroSDcardwasntgoingtocutitDoingresearchontheGalaxySIwantedtogetthebestcardpossiblethathaddecentcapacityGBor greaterThisledmetofindthattheGalaxySsupportsthemicroSDXCClassUHSIcardwhichisthefastestpossiblegiventhatclassSearchingforthatspecificallyonAmazongavemeresultsofonlyvendorsasofAprilt hatmakesthesemicroSDXCClassUHScardsTheyareSandiskthemajoritySamsungandLexarNobodyelsemakesthesethataresoldonAmazonSeeinghowSanDiskisaprettygoodnameoutoftheIveusedthemthemostIdecide dupontheSanDiskbecauseLexarwasoverpricedandtheSamsungonewasoverpricedaswellasnoteligibleforAmazonPrimeButthescarythingisthatwhenyoufilterbytheSanDiskyouliterallygetD0ZENSofoptionsA 11 of them have different model numbers different sizes etc Then the resthat confusion of what sthe difference between SDHCSDXCSDHC stand for Secure Digital High Capacity and SDXC stands for Secure Digital High Capacity and SDXC stitaleXtendedCapacityEssentiallythesetwocardsarethesamewiththeexceptionthatSDHConlysupportscapcitiesuptoGBandisformatedwiththeFATfilesystemTheSDXCcardsareformattedwiththeexFATfilesy stemIfyouuseanSDXCcardinadeviceitmustsupportthatfilesystemotherwiseitmaynotberecognizableandoryouhavetoreformatthecardtoFATFATvsexFATThedifferencesbetweenthetwofilesystemsmeansthat FAThasamaximumfilesizeofGBlimitedbythatfilesystemexFATontheotherhandsupportsfilesizesuptoTBterabytesTheonlythingyouneedtoknowherereallyisthatitspossibleyourdevicedoesntsupportexFAT IfthatsthecasejustreformatittoFATREMEMBERFORMATTINGERASESALLDATAToclarifythemodelnumbersIIhoppedovertotheSanDiskofficialwebpageWhatIfoundthereisthattheyoffertwohighspeedoptionsforS anDiskcardsTheseareSanDiskExtremeProandSanDiskUltraSanDiskExtremeProisalinethatsupportsreadspeedsuptoMBsechowevertheyareSDHConlyTomakethingsworsetheyarecurrentlyonlyavailableinGBGB capacitiesSinceoneofmyrequirementswastohavealotofstorageIruledtheseoutTheremainingdeviceslistedonAmazonssearchweretheSanDiskUltralineButhereconfusionsetsinbecauseSanDiskseparatesth esecardstotwodifferentdevicesCamerasmobiledevicesIstherearealdifferencebetweenthetwooristhisjustamarketingstuntUnfortunatelyImnotsurebutIdoknowthepricedifferencebetweenthetworangef romacouplecentstoafewdollarsSinceIwasntsureIoptedfortheonespecificallytargetedformobiledevicesjustincasethereissomekindofcompatibilityissueTofindtheexactmodelnumberIwouldgotoSandis kswebpagesandiskcomandcomparetheirexistingproductlineupFromthereyougetexactmodelnumbersandyoucanthensearchAmazonforthesemodelnumbersThatishowIgotmineSDSDQUAGAsforspeedtestsIhaventr unanyspecifictestingbutcopyingGBworthofdatafrommyPCtothecardliterallytookjustafewminutesOnelastnoteisthatAmazonattachesadditionalcharacterstotheendforexampleSDSDQUAGAFFPAvsSDSDQUAG UAThedifferencebetweenthetwoisthattheAFFPAmeansAmazonFrustrationFreePackagingOtherthanthattheseareexactlythesameIfyourewonderingwhatIgotandwanttouseitinyourGalaxySIgottheSDSDQUAGuA anditworkslikecharm' review_example In [18]: ['updatesomylovelywifeboughtmeasamsunggalaxytabforfathersdayandivebeenlovingiteversincejustasotherwithsamsungproductsthegalaxytabhastheabilitytoaddamicrosdcardtoexpandthememoryonth edevicesinceitsbeenoverayearidecidedtodosomemoreresearchtoseeifsandiskofferedanythingnewasoftheirproductlineupformicrosdcardsfromworsttobestperformancewisearetheasfollowssandisksan diskultrasandiskultraplussandiskextremesandiskextremeplussandiskextremepronowthedifferencebetweenallofthesecardsaresimplythespeedinwhichyoucanreadwritedatatothecardyesthepublishedr atingofmostallthesecardsexceptthesandiskregularareclassuhsibutthatsjustaratingactualrealworldperformancedoesgetbetterwitheachmodelbutwithfastercardscomemoreexpensivepricessinceamaz ondoesntcarrytheultraplusmodelofmicrosdcardihadtododirectcomparisonsbetweenthesandiskultraextremeandextremeplusasmentionedinmyearlierreviewipurchasedthesandiskultraformygalaxysmyqu estionwasdidiwanttopayovermoreforacardthatisfasterthantheoneialreadyownedoricouldpayalmostdoubletogetsandisksndmostfastestmicrosdcardtheultraworksperfectlyfineformystyleofusagestor ingcapturingpictureshdvideoandmovieplaybackonmyphonesointheendiendedupjustbuyinganothersandiskultragbcardiusemycellphonemorethanidomytabletandifthecardisgoodenoughformyphoneitsgood enoughformytabletidontownakhdcameraoranythinglikethatsoihonestlydidntseeaneedtogetoneofthefastercardsatthistimeiamnowaproudownerofsandiskultracardsandhaveabsolutelyissueswithitinmy samsungdevicesoriginalreviewihaventhadtobuyamicrosdcardinalongtimethelasttimeiboughtonewasformycellphoneoveryearsagobutsincemycellularcontractwasupiknewiwouldhavetogetanewercardina dditiontomynewphonethesamsunggalaxysreasonforthisisbecauseiknewmysmallgbmicrosdcardwasntgoingtocutitdoingresearchonthegalaxysiwantedtogetthebestcardpossiblethathaddecentcapacitygbo rgreaterthisledmetofindthatthegalaxyssupportsthemicrosdxcclassuhsicardwhichisthefastestpossiblegiventhatclasssearchingforthatspecificallyonamazongavemeresultsofonlyvendorsasofapril that makes the semicros dxcclass unscards they are sandisk them a jority sams ungandle x arnobody else makes the set hat are sold on a mazon seeing how sandisk is a pretty good name out of their eused them the most idecid eduponthesandiskbecauselexarwasoverpricedandthesamsungonewasoverpricedaswellasnoteligibleforamazonprimebutthescarythingisthatwhenyoufilterbythesandiskyouliterallygetdozensofoptions allofthemhavedifferentmodelnumbersdifferentsizesetcthentheresthatconfusionofwhatsthedifferencebetweensdhcsdxcsdhcvssdxcsdhcvssdxcsdhcvstandforsecuredigitalhighcapacityandsdxcstandsforsecuredi gitalextendedcapacityessentiallythesetwocardsarethesamewiththeexceptionthatsdhconlysupportscapcitiesuptogbandisformatedwiththefatfilesystemthesdxccardsareformattedwiththeexfatfiles ystemifyouuseansdxccardinadeviceitmustsupportthatfilesystemotherwiseitmaynotberecognizableandoryouhavetoreformatthecardtofatfatvsexfatthedifferencesbetweenthetwofilesystemsmeanstha tfathasamaximumfilesizeofgblimitedbythatfilesystemexfatontheotherhandsupportsfilesizesuptotbterabytestheonlythingyouneedtoknowherereallyisthatitspossibleyourdevicedoesntsupportexfa tifthats the case just reformatit to fat remember formattinger as esall data to clarify the model numbers ii hopped over to the sand is kofficial webpage what if ound there is that they offer two highs peed options for the sand is known as a factor of the sand isandiskcardsthesearesandiskextremeproandsandiskultrasandiskextremeproisalinethatsupportsreadspeedsuptombsechowevertheyaresdhconlytomakethingsworsetheyarecurrentlyonlyavailableingbg bcapacitiessinceoneofmyrequirementswastohavealotofstorageiruledtheseouttheremainingdeviceslistedonamazonssearchwerethesandiskultralinebuthereconfusionsetsinbecausesandiskseparatest hesecardstotwodifferentdevicescamerasmobiledevicesistherearealdifferencebetweenthetwooristhisjustamarketingstuntunfortunatelyimnotsurebutidoknowthepricedifferencebetweenthetworange fromacouplecentstoafewdollarssinceiwasntsureioptedfortheonespecificallytargetedformobiledevicesjustincasethereissomekindofcompatibilityissuetofindtheexactmodelnumberiwouldgotosandi skswebpagesandiskcomandcomparetheirexistingproductlineupfromthereyougetexactmodelnumbersandyoucanthensearchamazonforthesemodelnumbersthatishowigotminesdsdquagasforspeedtestsihavent runanyspecifictestingbutcopyinggbworthofdatafrommypctothecardliterallytookjustafewminutesonelastnoteisthatamazonattachesadditionalcharacterstotheendforexamplesdsdquagaffpavssdsdqua guathedifferencebetweenthetwoisthattheaffpameansamazonfrustrationfreepackagingotherthanthattheseareexactlythesameifyourewonderingwhatigotandwanttouseitinyourgalaxysigotthesdsdquagu aanditworkslikecharm'] In [19]: $rt = lambda x: re.sub("[^a-zA-Z]", ' ', str(x))$ df["reviewText"] = df["reviewText"].map(rt) df["reviewText"] = df["reviewText"].str.lower() df.head() reviewText reviewTime day_diff helpful_yes helpful_no total_vote score_pos_neg_diff score_average_rating wilson_lower_bound reviewerName overall Out[19]: 2031 Hyoun Kim "Faluzure" 05-01-2013 702 1952 68 2020 1884 0.966337 0.957544 update so my lovely wife boug... 77 3449 NLee the Engineer 5 i have tested dozens of sdhc and micro sdhc ca... 26-09-2012 803 1428 1505 1351 0.948837 0.936519 4212 579 1694 1442 0.925620 0.912139 SkincareCEO note please read the last update scroll to ... 08-05-2013 1568 126 317 Amazon Customer "Kelly" if your card gets hot enough to be painful it... 09-02-2012 1033 422 73 495 349 0.852525 0.818577 4672 158 45 49 41 0.918367 0.808109 Twister sandisk announcement of the first gb micro ... 03-07-2014 4

In [1]: import import_ipynb

import nltk

import textblob

import wordcloud

cf.go_offline();

import warnings

import os

os.getcwd()

os.getcwd()

df.head()

In [2]

In [3]:

Out[3]

In [4]:

In [5]:

Out[5]:

In [6]

In [7]:

Out[7]:

import seaborn as sns

import cufflinks as cf
%matplotlib inline

from textblob import TextBlob

from wordcloud import WordCloud

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

import plotly.graph_objs as go

warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")
warnings.warn("this will not show")

'C:\\Users\\BEHARA AMULYA'

'C:\\Users\\BEHARA AMULYA'

df = pd.read_csv('amazon.csv')

Unnamed: 0 reviewerName overall

reviewText reviewTime day_diff helpful_yes helpful_no total_vote score_pos_neg_diff score_average_rating wilson_lower_bound

os.chdir("C:\\Users\\BEHARA AMULYA")

init_notebook_mode(connected = True)

from plotly.subplots import make_subplots

pd.set_option('display.max_columns', None)

import re

import numpy as np
import pandas as pd

from nltk.sentiment.vader import SentimentIntensityAnalyzer

from plotly.offline import init_notebook_mode, iplot

import vaderSentiment

neg = score['neg']
neu = score['neu']
pos = score['pos']

reviewerName overall

if neg>pos:

else:

3449

4212

317

4672

4000

3500

3000

2500

2000

1500

1000

500

0

elif pos>neg:

2031 Hyoun Kim "Faluzure"

NLee the Engineer

Amazon Customer

SkincareCEO

"Kelly

Twister

categorical_variable_summary(df, 'sentiment')

3997

Positive

from vaderSentiment.vaderSentiment import SentimentIntensityAnalyzer

score = SentimentIntensityAnalyzer().polarity_scores(row)

df[df['sentiment']=='Positive'].sort_values("wilson_lower_bound",

update so my lovely wife boug...

i have tested dozens of sdhc and

if your card gets hot enough to be

Countplot

644

Negative

sandisk announcement of the first gb

note please read the last update scroll

micro sdhc ca..

to ..

painful it...

micro ...

for index, row in df['reviewText'].iteritems():

df.loc[index, 'sentiment'] = "Negative"

df.loc[index, 'sentiment'] = "Positive"

df.loc[index, 'sentiment'] = "Neutral"

5

5

df[['polarity', 'subjectivity']] = df['reviewText'].apply(lambda Text:pd.Series(TextBlob(Text).sentiment))

ascending= False).head(5)

05-01-2013

26-09-2012

08-05-2013

09-02-2012

03-07-2014

702

803

579

1033

158

Neutral

1952

1428

1568

422

45

68

77

126

73

4

sentiment

2020

1505

1694

495

49

reviewText reviewTime day_diff helpful_yes helpful_no total_vote score_pos_neg_diff score_average_rating wilson_lower_bound polarity subjectivity sentiment

1884

1351

1442

349

41

0.966337

0.948837

0.925620

0.852525

0.918367

Percentage

81.3%

13.1%

0.957544 0.163859

0.936519 0.103870

0.912139 0.212251

0.818577 0.143519

0.808109 0.172332

0.562259

0.516435

0.505394

0.494207

0.511282

Positive

Positive

Positive

Positive

Positive

In [20]:

Out[21]: