Heavy Tailed Linear Bandits

As a sanity check, consider the case in \mathbb{R}^d where $\{\eta_s\}_{s=1}^t \in [0,1]$ are bounded, uncorrelated, mean-zero noise, and we choose the actions $X_s = e_s \mod d$.

Let
$$V_t = \sum_{s=1}^t X_s X_s^T$$
, $V = \lambda I$, $X = (X_1, ..., X_t)$, and $\eta = (\eta_1, ..., \eta_t)$.

We want to estimate the quantity $\mathbb{P}[(X\eta)^T(V+V_t)^{-1}X\eta > \epsilon].$

By our choice of X_s , we have that $V_t = \sum_{s=1}^t X_s X_s^T = kI$, such that $V + V_t = (\lambda + k)I$ and $(V + V_t)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda + k}I$.

Moreover, we also have that

$$X\eta = \sum_{s=1}^{t} X_s \eta_s$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{s=j \mod d} X_s \eta_s$$

$$= \left(\sum_{s:s=1 \mod d} \eta_s, \dots, \sum_{s:s=d \mod d} \eta_s\right)^T$$

For simplicity, let $\bar{\eta}_{j \mod d} = \sum_{s:s=j \mod d} \eta_s$ This implies that

$$(X\eta)^T (V + V_t)^{-1} X \eta = \left(\sum_{s:s=1 \mod d} \eta_s, \dots, \sum_{s:s=d \mod d} \eta_s \right) \frac{1}{\lambda + k} I \left(\sum_{s:s=1 \mod d} \eta_s, \dots, \sum_{s:s=d \mod d} \eta_s \right)^T$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^d (\bar{\eta}_{j \mod d})^2 \frac{1}{\lambda + k}$$

$$=: \psi$$

By our definition for $\psi = \psi(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_t)$, we can write

$$\mathbb{P}[(X\eta)^T (V+V_t)^{-1} X \eta > \epsilon] = \mathbb{P}[\psi - \mathbb{E}[\psi] > \epsilon - \mathbb{E}[\psi]]$$

$$\leq \exp{-\frac{2(\epsilon - \mathbb{E}[\psi])^2}{\sum_{k=1}^t c_k^2}} \text{ by McDiarmid's inequality}$$

To apply McDiarmid, we can compute that for $\eta_j \neq \tilde{\eta}_j$, we have

$$\psi(\eta) - \psi(\tilde{\eta}) = \left[(\bar{\eta}_{j \mod d})^2 - (\bar{\tilde{\eta}}_{j \mod d})^2 \right] \frac{1}{\lambda + k}$$
$$= \eta_j^2 - \tilde{\eta}_j^2 + \eta_j \sum_{i \neq j} \eta_i - \tilde{\eta}_j \sum_{i \neq j} e\tilde{t}a_j$$
$$\leq \frac{k}{\lambda + k}$$

which implies that

$$\mathbb{P}[(X\eta)^T(V+V_t)^{-1}X\eta > \epsilon] \le \exp{-\frac{2(\epsilon - \mathbb{E}[\psi])^2}{t\left(\frac{k}{\lambda+k}\right)^2}}$$

Setting the RHS equal to δ and solving yields

$$\exp -\frac{2(\epsilon - \mathbb{E}[\psi])^2}{t\left(\frac{k}{\lambda + k}\right)^2} = \delta$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{(\epsilon - \mathbb{E}[\psi])^2}{t\left(\frac{k}{\lambda + k}\right)^2} = \frac{\log(1/\delta)}{2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)t\left(\frac{k}{\lambda + k}\right)^2}{2} + \mathbb{E}[\psi]}$$

so that with probability $\geq 1 - \delta$, we have

$$\psi \le \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/\delta)t\left(\frac{k}{\lambda+k}\right)^2}{2}} + \mathbb{E}[\psi]$$

and we can compute that

$$\mathbb{E}[\psi] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{d} (\bar{\eta}_{j \mod d})^{2} \frac{1}{\lambda + k}\right]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda + k} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{s:s=j \mod d} \eta_{s}^{2}\right] \text{ (by uncorrelated and mean zero of } \eta\text{)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda + k} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{s=1}^{t} \eta_{s}^{2}\right]$$

$$\leq \frac{kd}{\lambda + k} \mathbb{E}[\eta^{2}]$$

so that with probability $\geq 1 - \delta$, we have

$$\psi \leq \sqrt{t \frac{\log(1/\delta) \left(\frac{k}{\lambda + k}\right)^2}{2}} + \frac{kd}{\lambda + k} \mathbb{E}[\eta^2]$$
$$= \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{t} + d)$$

More general scenario

$$X = (X_1, \dots, X_t)$$
 is $d \times t$, where $t = kd$.

Let A be a $d \times d$ matrix such that $kAA^T = XX^T$. This is possible because if we write $XX^T = Q\Lambda Q^T$, $Q \times d$, then we can let $A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}Q\sqrt{\Lambda}$.

This implies that

$$(X\eta)^T(\lambda I + XX^T)^{-1}(X\eta) = (X\eta)^T(\lambda I + kAA^T)^{-1}(X\eta)$$

Now, we want to write $X\eta = A\sum_{i=1}^{t} P_i\eta_i$ for vectors P_i . If we can do this, then the above expression can be written as

$$(X\eta)^{T}(\lambda I + kAA^{T})^{-1}(X\eta) = (\sum_{i=1}^{t} P_{i}\eta_{i})A^{T}(\lambda I + kAA^{T})^{-1}A(\sum_{i=1}^{t} P_{i}\eta_{i})$$

Now, notice that

$$A^{T}(\lambda I + kAA^{T})^{-1}A = A^{T}A(\lambda I + kAA^{T})^{-1}$$

and

$$A^TA = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\sqrt{\Lambda}Q^T\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}Q\sqrt{\Lambda} = \frac{1}{k}\Lambda$$

This implies that

$$\begin{split} A^T A (\lambda I + kAA^T)^{-1} &= \frac{1}{k} \Lambda (\lambda I + \Lambda)^{-1} \\ &= [\frac{1}{k} \Lambda_j \frac{1}{\lambda + \Lambda_j}]_j \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k} \frac{\Lambda^*}{\lambda + \Lambda^*} I \text{ (where Λ^* is the eigenvalue that maximizes this quantity)} \end{split}$$

Going back to the claim $X\eta = A\sum_{i=1}^t P_i\eta_i$, we see that this is possible because $X = Q\sqrt{\Lambda}R^T$ (SVD of X using the known spectral decomposition of XX^T) and $A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}Q\sqrt{\Lambda}$ imply that to get $X = A(P_1, \dots, P_t)$, we can set

$$(P_1, \dots, P_t) = \sqrt{k}R^T$$

This implies that

$$\begin{split} \left| (X\eta)^T (\lambda I + XX^T)^{-1} (X\eta) \right| & \leq \left| \frac{1}{k} \frac{\Lambda^*}{\lambda + \Lambda^*} \eta^T R R^T \eta k \right| \\ & = \frac{\Lambda^*}{\lambda + \Lambda^*} \left| \eta^T (X\Lambda^{-1/2})^T (X\Lambda^{-1/2}) \eta \right| \text{ (since } X = Q\sqrt{\Lambda}R^T) \\ & = \frac{\Lambda^*}{\lambda + \Lambda^*} \left| \eta^T \Lambda^{-1/2} X^T X \Lambda^{-1/2} \eta \right| \end{split}$$