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**DECISION AND REASONS**

1. This decision is issued under the provisions of rule 40(3)(a) and (b) of the Tribunal Procedure (Upper Tribunal) Rules 2008. Both subparagraphs of rule 40(3) apply to this decision.
2. The decision of Judge of the First-tier Tribunal Andonian, promulgated on 6 June 2018 is erroneous in law. The Judge failed to delineate his own findings from those contained in the Reasons For Refusal Letter, he failed to provide sufficient or sustainable findings for his adverse credibility findings, and, as pointed out by the Secretary of State for the Home Department in his Rule 24 response, there is a distinct lack of structure in the decision. I set the decision aside.

**Notice of Decision**

1. The appeal is remitted the First-tier Tribunal to be determined *de novo* by a judge other than Judge Andonian.
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Upper Tribunal Judge Blum