

COVID-19 Closures Negatively Impact Restaurant Revenue and Employment*

A random controlled trial, with surveys, to identify the impact of mandatory partial closures on Ontario restaurants

Lorena Almaraz De La Garza, Amy Farrow, and Kumalasari Sondjaja

September 20th, 2021

Abstract

This report covers an experiment conducted by Petit Poll to test the impact of COVID-19 closures on Ontario restaurants. A randomized controlled trial was conducted and surveys were used to collect results. The data show that restaurant revenue and employee counts were negatively impacted by a mandatory two-week partial closure, and dine-in restaurants and restaurants owned by visible minorities were more negatively impacted. These results suggest that if more closures are necessary, Ontario should support restaurant owners by offering support for take-out services and minority-owned businesses.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Data	2
2.1	Methodology	2
2.2	Results	6
3	Discussion	9
3.1	Overview	9
3.2	Findings	9
3.3	Ethics	13
3.4	Limitations	13
3.5	Future Directions	14
4	Appendix A	16
5	Appendix B	17
6	Appendix C	22

*Code and data are available at: github.com/amycfarrow/ontariorestaurantclosuresexperiment.

1 Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has presented challenges that continue to test our resilience, creativity, and ability to recover. From online learning to curbside shopping, the changes are tangible on a local level. To better understand the impact of intermittent lockdowns on restaurant businesses specifically, and to provide the Ontario Ministry of Economic Development, Job Creation and Trade with evidence-based advice, Petit Poll conducted a comprehensive study of over 1,200 restaurants in 12 regions of Ontario. This report describes the experiment design and rationale, measurement strategies, data characteristics, main findings, recommendations, limitations, and broader implications. Importantly, the intervention revealed three key effects of closures: (1) closures had a negative impact on revenues and employment counts, (2) closures had a stronger negative impact on dine-in only restaurants, and (3) closures had a stronger negative impact on Indigenous or visible minority-owned businesses. These findings provide more detail to an already stark picture: while the health of Ontarians has to be prioritized, and the transmission of COVID-19 has to be aggressively contained, it is impossible to ignore the economic consequences of prolonged business closures. In particular, support for Indigenous and visible minority-owned businesses is imperative, as these populations continue to bear the brunt of systemic inequalities. Preparing and supporting dine-in establishments to transition to take-out only, and providing furloughed workers with vital financial support, must be equally prioritized.

2 Data

Analysis for this report uses the R statistical programming language (R Core Team 2020), and more specifically, the `tidyverse` package for data manipulation (Wickham et al. 2019). To facilitate a reproducible workflow, `here` is used to reference file locations (Müller 2020). Graphs and tables use features from `cowplot` (Wilke 2020), `finalfit` (Harrison, Drake, and Ots 2020), `lubridate` (Grolemund and Wickham 2011), and `kableExtra` (Zhu 2020). Finally, `bookdown` is used to format the report (Xie 2020).

In addition to survey data collected by Petit Poll, this report relies on publicly available federal census data (“Census Profile, 2016 Census” 2017) and data from provincial public health departments (Government of Canada 2020).

This section presents details on the data collection approach and methods, and the steps taken to ensure accuracy.

2.1 Methodology

This experiment used two-stage stratified cluster sampling for survey data collection, a process by which a population is divided into clusters, and these clusters are subsequently divided into strata. A random sample is drawn from each stratum and each cluster. Use of a simple random sample at each stage increases the external validity of the experiment, or the accuracy with which the sampled population represents the target population (Gertler et al. 2016).

The target population for this study was all restaurants in Ontario. Because restaurant inspection is carried out by different authorities across the province, a list of Ontario local health authorities (LHAs) was collected. These LHAs were the primary sampling units, forming the primary sampling frame, or list of units of interest from which to draw a sample. Using census data from 2016, the primary sampling frame was stratified into small LHAs (population of less than 150,000), medium LHAs (population of 150,000 to 400,000), and large LHAs (population of more than 400,000). Each strata contained the same number of LHAs. From each stratum, two LHAs were randomly sampled to participate in the treatment, and two LHAs were randomly selected to participate in the control. This primary sample is shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Primary sample of Local Health Authorities from strata based on population size

Group	Large Population LHAs	Medium Population LHAs	Small Population LHAs
Treatment	Hamilton	Haliburton, Kawartha, Pine Ridge District	Algoma
	Simcoe Muskoka	Windsor-Essex County	Timiskaming
Control	Durham Region	Southwestern Ontario	Brant County
	Region of Waterloo	Sudbury and Districts	Northwestern Health

Table 2: Example segment of the secondary sampling frame

name	address	unit	group
Lani Kai - Restaurant	11382 Highway 17 N Batchawana ON P0S 1A0	algoma	treatment
Parkwood Tea House Restaurant	270 Simcoe St N Oshawa ON L1G 4T6	durham	control
Wayne’s Mediterranean	5-100 Mearns Ave Bowmanville ON L1C 1P9	durham	control
Kl’s Red Ginseng Tea	Unit 1C-833 Upper James St Hamilton ON L9C 3A3	hamilton	treatment
The Krown Kafe	952 QUEENSTON RD STONEY CREEK ON L8G 4A8	hamilton	treatment
SMOKEHOUSE EATERY & PUB, THE	6 OLIPHANT ST BRIGHTON ON K0K 1H0	haliburton	treatment
McDonald’s	40 King William St Huntsville ON P1H 1G3	simcoe	treatment
Oliver’s Coffee	440 Ecclestone Dr Bracebridge ON P1L 1Z6	simcoe	treatment
Sportsman’s Inn Resort & Marina (Anchorage)	37 Channel St Killarney ON P0M 2A0	sudbury	control
Windsor Palace	12 Amy Croft Dr Tecumseh ON N9K 0A1	windsor	treatment

This cluster design was used because pandemic shutdowns in Ontario have operated based on LHA, and the goal was to recreate the effect as closely as possible. It also allowed for more consistent enforcement of closures. The stratification was used because there were very differently sized LHAs, the larger typically in urban areas and the smaller in rural areas, and randomly selecting only 12 LHAs from a list of 33 left too high a likelihood of differently sized treatment and control groups. Stratification can create a more balanced survey sample (Wu and Thompson 2020). Given the limitations of sampling from a primary sampling frame of only 33 clusters, stratifying the frame by size of cluster helped ensure the treatment and control groups would be comparable and that the sample population would be representative of the target population.

Once the treatment and control LHAs were selected, each corresponding Food Inspection unit was contacted, and a list of all registered restaurants, the secondary sample units, in each LHA was obtained. Each restaurant was listed by name and address, forming the secondary sampling frame. A portion of this frame is shown in Table 2.

A simple random sample of 15% of the treatment list and 15% of the control list was selected to be surveyed, forming the secondary sample. As a result of the simple random sample, the number of restaurants from

each LHA was roughly proportionate to the total population of restaurants in each LHA. This selection was used to create a panel, so the same restaurants would be contacted for the first survey and the second survey. Attempting to sample only 15% of the restaurants allowed time and money to be spent on follow-up and multiple methods of data collection, reducing the non-response bias. The randomly sampled restaurants were all assigned ID numbers in a random order.

The table for surveys was used to generate 2,006 mailers to be sent to each restaurant on the list. Each mailer was a small envelope containing a sheet that invited the restaurant owner to participate, explained the survey, provided a link to the survey, and provided a QR code that went to the same place as the link. There was also a copy of the survey contained in a mailer envelope and a contact number, allowing restaurant owners to complete the survey by phone or mail if they did not feel able to complete it online. If there was no response within two weeks, the restaurant was contacted by phone, and again five days later if there was no response. This procedure was repeated for Survey 1 and Survey 2.

Each link and paper survey was personalized to the restaurant’s ID number. The link lead to the survey, which asked for confirmation of the last three digits of the restaurant’s postal code. This was to ensure that no mailing mistakes were made, and to prevent duplicate data collection. Once verified, the survey-taker was given the main survey. The survey portion of the experiment cost \$6,711.18. A detailed breakdown of costs can be found in Appendix A.

The data from the survey was tied to the ID number and last three digits of the postal code, but not the restaurant name or address, and the restaurant owner’s name was not collected. This was to ensure data privacy. The identifying information was kept in a separate dataframe (Table for Surveys) than the survey answers (Survey 1 Data, Survey 2 Data).




Figure 1: Timeline of restaurant closure experiment with surveys

A project timeline can be found in Figure 1. Survey 1 was conducted June 3rd to 27th 2021, and it asked about the month of May 2021. The survey can be found online by clicking here: [2021 Ontario Restaurant Survey 1](#). Survey 1 Data was used to confirm that the two-stage stratified cluster sampling had created treatment and control groups that were roughly equivalent. Survey 1 collected the following data:

- Demographic information
 - Disability status: According to the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, persons with disabilities are described as having “long-term physical, mental, intellectual or sen-

sory impairments which in interaction with various barriers may hinder their full and effective participation in society on an equal basis with others.”

- Indigenous status: According to the Government of Canada, Indigenous people include “First Nations (North American Indian), Métis or Inuit and/or those who reported Registered or Treaty Indian status, that is registered under the Indian Act of Canada, and/or those who reported membership in a First Nation or Indian band.”
 - Visible minority (non-Indigenous) status: “Visible minority” is defined by the Government of Canada as “persons, other than aboriginal peoples, who are non-Caucasian in race or non-white in colour.”
 - Gender identity
- Type of service provided (dine-in, take-out, or both)
 - Revenue in May 2021
 - Employees
 - Number of full-time employees (30 hours/week or more)
 - Number of part-time employees (less than 30 hours/week)

The demographic information was collected so that disparate impacts on different communities could be identified. Revenue and number of employees were collected as measures of the restaurant’s performance and impact on the local employment levels. These were the primary indicators of interest, due to the Ontario Ministry of Economic Development, Job Creation and Trade’s focus on economic stability and employment levels.

After Survey 1 data was collected, on June 28th, shutdowns were announced for the six treatment LHAs. The shutdowns ran from July 1st to July 14th, inclusive. This length of time was considered to be the minimum effective length for a shutdown to stop circulation of the virus in accordance to Public Health Ontario guidelines (Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion (Public Health Ontario) 2020). During the shutdown, all restaurants in the treatment LHAs were officially banned from offering dine-in and patio services. Take-out and delivery were permitted. This type of partial shutdown was selected because it has been the mandated type of shutdown during the Grey level, or lockdown, of the provincial COVID-19 response framework (Government of Ontario 2021a).

To date, mandated closure enforcement has included issuing fines from \$750 to \$100,000 to businesses found in violation of the province of Ontario’s emergency orders (Wilson 2020). Additionally, provincial offences officers, including police officers, have the authority to disperse crowds indoors as well as outdoors (Ministry of Health 2021).

Because it is very difficult for a restaurant to move location in under two weeks, the control and treatment groups were effectively separated.

Survey 2 was conducted August 3rd to 27th 2021, and it asked about the month of July 2021. The survey can be found online by clicking here: [2021 Ontario Restaurant Survey 2](#). Survey 2 followed a similar format as Survey 1, with the addition of a question about closures. It collected the following data:

- Demographic information
 - Disability status
 - Indigenous status
 - Visible minority (non-Indigenous) status
 - Gender identity
- Type of service provided (dine-in, take-out, or both)
- Closures (none, temporary, or permanent)
- Revenue in July 2021
- Employees
 - Number of full-time employees (30 hours/week or more)

- Number of part-time employees (less than 30 hours/week)

The scope of this experiment required a structured questionnaire that was easy to distribute, easy to answer, cost effective, reliable, and that provided flexibility of mode of response, including online, over the phone, or on paper. With these features in mind, a survey was an appropriate choice. However, due to the rigidity of pre-determined questions, the survey format might lack potential depth, reducing complex circumstances to simple data points that are easier to collect, manage, and analyze. To account for this, the questions were carefully designed to gather, exactly and only, the necessary data for our study.

Appendix B contains screen captures of the online version of Survey 1 and Survey 2. For images of the sheets included in the mailers sent to restaurant owners, containing all survey questions and answer options for Survey 1 and Survey 2, refer to Appendix C.

2.2 Results¹

Reaching restaurant owners to better understand their businesses, and the impact of closures, was a crucial component of this experiment. Approximately 40% of individuals selected to participate completed or partially completed the surveys. This section provides an overview of the data gathered from each survey.

2.2.1 Survey 1

The initial survey provided a clear description of the characteristics of the treatment and control groups. As indicated in Table 3, the groups are comparable, showing no notable differences across any of the variables studied. Survey 1 was necessary to collect data before the intervention to ensure that the treatment and control groups were in fact comparable, verifying the efficacy of the randomized treatment assignment and allowing for an accurate estimation of the counterfactual. The counterfactual – or the representation of what would have happened had the intervention not taken place – is essential to measuring, and reporting on, the effect of the mandated restaurant closures. If the control is an accurate estimate of the counterfactual, the experiment is internally valid and we can observe the true impact of the treatment (Gertler et al. 2016).

The baseline distributions for reported revenue in the treatment and control groups, based on information from May 2021, can be found in Figure 2. The baseline distributions for number of full-time and part-time employees in the treatment and control groups, also based on information from May 2021, is shown in Figure 3. In this figures, the distributions are extremely similar, indicating the comparability of the treatment and control populations with regard to revenue and employment numbers.

2.2.2 Survey 2

The second survey results showed marked differences between treatment and control groups in most variables. A summary of these results is found in Table 4. Naturally, a salient difference is found in service type, with dine-in restaurants decreasing due to mandated shutdowns – the intervention itself – with 7% of restaurants in the control group providing dine-in service, and only 4% in the treatment group. Similarly, the mean revenue decreases noticeably for the treatment group (\$45,959.60) compared to the control group (\$70,675.60). The mean numbers of employees, both full-time and part-time, show a decrease as well, from 8 and 5 respectively in the control group, to 5 and 3 for the treatment. A visual representation of the differences between treatment and control groups for revenue and number of employees can be found in Figure 4 and Figure 5 respectively.

¹More information about the Survey 1 and Survey 2 results can be found in the report *Data for the Ontario Restaurant Closures Experiment*.

Table 3: Summary statistics for treatment and control baselines

		Treatment	Control
		N (%) = 392 (50.0)	N (%) = 392 (50.0)
Service type	dinein	25 (6.4)	23 (5.9)
	both	241 (61.6)	231 (59.1)
	takeout	125 (32.0)	137 (35.0)
Owner has disability	yes	2 (0.5)	2 (0.5)
	no	385 (98.2)	386 (98.5)
	nonanswer	5 (1.3)	4 (1.0)
Owner identifies as woman	yes	59 (15.1)	63 (16.1)
	no	329 (83.9)	327 (83.4)
	nonanswer	4 (1.0)	2 (0.5)
Owner is Indigenous	yes	4 (1.0)	4 (1.0)
	no	386 (99.0)	384 (98.2)
	nonanswer	0 (0.0)	3 (0.8)
Owner is a visible minority (non-Indigenous)	yes	22 (5.6)	30 (7.7)
	no	367 (93.9)	356 (91.5)
	nonanswer	2 (0.5)	3 (0.8)
Revenue	Mean (SD)	70117.3 (38823.8)	69198.2 (33456.7)
Number of full-time employees	Mean (SD)	8.1 (4.5)	8.0 (3.9)
Number of part-time employees	Mean (SD)	4.3 (2.4)	4.3 (2.1)




Figure 2: Revenue distribution for treatment and control baselines, from Survey 1

Employment distributions were similar in the treatment and control samples




Figure 3: Employment distribution for treatment and control baselines, from Survey 1

Table 4: Summary statistics for treatment and control groups post-treatment

		Treatment	Control
		N (%) = 408 (51.3)	N (%) = 387 (48.7)
Service type	dinein	16 (3.9)	27 (7.0)
	both	258 (63.4)	247 (64.2)
	takeout	133 (32.7)	111 (28.8)
Owner has disability	yes	4 (1.0)	1 (0.3)
	no	399 (98.0)	381 (98.4)
	nonanswer	4 (1.0)	5 (1.3)
Owner identifies as woman	yes	65 (16.0)	58 (15.0)
	no	337 (82.8)	325 (84.2)
	nonanswer	5 (1.2)	3 (0.8)
Owner is Indigenous	yes	4 (1.0)	5 (1.3)
	no	403 (98.8)	376 (97.7)
	nonanswer	1 (0.2)	4 (1.0)
Owner is a visible minority (non-Indigenous)	yes	28 (6.9)	38 (9.9)
	no	377 (93.1)	344 (89.4)
	nonanswer	0 (0.0)	3 (0.8)
Closure	none	235 (57.7)	383 (99.5)
	temporary	172 (42.3)	1 (0.3)
	permanent		1 (0.3)
Revenue	Mean (SD)	45959.6 (28892.6)	70675.6 (35646.6)
Number of full-time employees	Mean (SD)	5.2 (3.3)	8.0 (4.1)
Number of part-time employees	Mean (SD)	3.0 (1.9)	4.7 (2.4)

3 Discussion

Petit Poll commends the Ontario Ministry of Economic Development, Job Creation and Trade for its commitment to learn more about the impact of restaurant closures in the province. This study has uncovered three main findings, supplemented in this section by a series of recommendations. Additionally, this section elaborates on relevant ethical considerations, potential biases and limitations. The final section presents some initial thoughts for future related research.

3.1 Overview

Understanding the impact of COVID-19 closures on small businesses can help the Government of Ontario prepare to rebuild once the immediate health threat is contained. In particular, the contributions of restaurant businesses to the local economy have to be studied in terms of employment and revenue generation. According to The Associated Press, there was a 20% decline in employment in the restaurant, hotel, and entertainment sector between November 2019 and November 2020 (Wiseman and Olson 2020). The National Restaurant Association reports a total loss industry-wide of \$120 billion between March and May 2020 alone (Jones 2020). Although these figures are based on United States data, the findings from our experiment show a similarly concerning reality in Ontario.

The experiment, which consisted of an initial survey, a two-week restaurant closure intervention, and a second survey, revealed that the impact of closures is not distributed equally across service types, nor across restaurant owner demographics. Swift action to protect and uplift the local economy, particularly dine-in restaurants and restaurants owned by Indigenous peoples or members of visible minority groups, will be crucial in the upcoming months.

3.2 Findings

To prevent a misleading estimate of the counterfactual in this experiment, the effects of the intervention are not evaluated by comparing the survey results before and after the intervention, but rather by comparing the treatment and control groups after the intervention. The following subsections provide further detail on each of the main findings.

3.2.1 Closures had a negative impact on revenues and employment counts

As noted in the results from Survey 2, the intervention led to a noticeable difference in reported revenues. The mean reported revenue for the treatment group was \$45,959.60 and \$70,675.60 for the control. The revenue distribution for both groups is shown in Figure 4. The mean number of employees, both full-time and part-time, show a decrease as well, from 8 and 5 respectively in the control group, to 5 and 3 for the treatment. Figure 5 shows further detail of this finding.

With these effects in mind, Petit Poll supports the Government of Ontario’s programs in benefit of small businesses: the Ontario Small Business Support Grant, the Personal Protective Equipment Relief Grant, and the current Property Tax and Energy Rebate Grants (Government of Ontario 2021b). Additionally, based on the evidence shown in this report, it is important to consider developing provincial financial support programs for furloughed employees, in addition to standing federal programs like Employment Insurance, that directly address the negative impact of COVID-19 closures. Ensuring the protection of workers in the restaurant industry specifically, not only physically as the spread of the virus is contained, but economically with financial aid will be crucial to the success of mandated closures.

3.2.2 Closures had a stronger negative impact on dine-in only restaurants

It is worth noting that the negative impact on revenue was not equally distributed across the three types of establishments. Compared to the control group, treatment group dine-in restaurants suffered significant




Figure 4: Revenue distribution for treatment and control groups, from Survey 2




Figure 5: Employment distribution for treatment and control groups, from Survey 2

losses in average revenue. Restaurant owners in the treatment group who only offered dine-in service reported a mean revenue of \$34,609.40, those who offered both take-out and dine-in service reported \$42,889.41, and those who offered only take-out service reported \$53,156.02. The control group reported \$67,744.79, \$70,062.17, and \$72,860.20 for dine-in only, both, and take-out only respectively. Figure 6 shows a comparison of revenue distributions for restaurants offering dine-in service, take-out, or both.

The effect of mandated closures is attenuated for take-out only restaurants. This indicates that providing restaurant owners with support to transition their regular operations to take-out only service should be considered. The City of Toronto-funded Digital Main Street, created by the Toronto Association of Business Improvement Areas, might serve as an exemplary approach. The program provides businesses with the tools and information to build an online presence, accommodating for physically-distanced shopping. The free program includes an online learning platform, training programs, and dedicated support staff (Digital Main Street 2021). A parallel provincial program aimed directly at restaurant owners who wish to transition out of dine-in only service might help alleviate the economic pressures of COVID-19 mandated closures, while maintaining the necessary health emergency protocols.




Figure 6: Survey 2 revenue distributions for service types with means marked

3.2.3 Closures had a stronger negative impact on Indigenous or visible minority-owned businesses

The negative impact of mandated closures was not distributed equally across restaurant owner demographics either. As indicated in Figure 7, treatment group restaurant owners who identified as Indigenous or as members of a visible minority group reported a larger loss in average revenues, compared to the control, than those who did not identify as Indigenous or a visible minority. The mean revenue for those who identified as visible minority or Indigenous in the treatment group was \$28,749.17, and it was \$47,572.93 for those who did not. In the control group, the mean revenue for those who identified as Indigenous or as members of a visible minority group was \$73,983.60, and it was \$70,777.57 for those who did not.

Protecting populations that face systemic inequalities is absolutely imperative to the success of any proposed pandemic response. Following the approach of the federal government might be a fruitful start. Currently,

the Government of Canada offers interest-free loans and non-repayable contributions to Indigenous-owned businesses (Government of Canada 2021b). Further, the federal government has announced and collected applications to the Black Entrepreneurship Program, an initiative to ensure Black-owned businesses thrive (Government of Canada 2021a). Petit Poll recommends Ontario take similar, yet bolder, steps to ensure Indigenous and visible minority owners are not left behind during the COVID-19 pandemic. To do so, restaurant industry-specific interest-free loans, non-repayable contributions, free educational programming, mentorship, and additional supports should be extended to Indigenous and visible minority restaurant owners across the province.




Figure 7: Survey 2 revenue distributions for minority status with means marked

3.2.4 Implications

The findings from this experiment uncover the negative impact that mandated closures have on restaurant businesses specifically. In a national emergency, these effects have to be contextualized by assessing the impact on a broader societal level. As the COVID-19 pandemic continues to test our resilience, it is important to consider the economic inter-dependencies that rely on the health of restaurant businesses. As noted in Forbes (2014), a key feature of neighbourhood desirability is access to a wide range of shops and restaurants. Restaurant closures, leading to vacant commercial lots, might have a negative impact on the real estate market, setting off an unfortunate ripple effect that could destabilize the economy further. Preventing the permanent closure of restaurants might prove to be a worthwhile investment in the months following the pandemic.

On an individual level, these findings point at the importance of employment. Gainful employment is directly tied to psychological wellbeing, with experts citing work as one of the most important determinants of quality of life, second only to personal relationships. (Snyder, Lopez, and Pedrotti 2011) During a crisis that has the potential to severely impact the population's mental health, ensuring individuals retain their employment is vital. Employment, aside from providing an income and the possibility of financial independence, fosters a positive network of social support — a crucial social determinant of health (Wilkinson and Marmot 2013). Prioritizing efforts to establish positive networks of social support seems particularly necessary during a pandemic that requires measures of physical distancing.

These examples provide a mere glance at the economic and social implications of our findings. To better understand these implications on a micro and macro level, Petit Poll recommends the Ontario Ministry of Economic Development, Job Creation and Trade, consult with relevant government authorities and departments.

3.3 Ethics

This experiment would not have been possible without the participation of hundreds of restaurant owners. It is Petit Poll's greatest priority to ensure all studies adhere to the highest ethical standards, especially when involving human participants. Ethical requirements for this project, particularly the survey portion, included:

- Anonymity, by determining that collecting the restaurant owner's name was unnecessary, and processing survey data with numerical IDs rather than the restaurant name or address.
- Confidentiality, by employing a professional data management account throughout the entirety of the project.
- Informed consent, by providing a clear explanation of the purposes of the study, the nature of the survey, and contact details should participants require additional information.
- Voluntary participation and disclosure, by allowing respondents to engage or refuse to engage in the study and allowing non-response of demographic questions.

Additionally, in order to reduce potential biases, the survey portion of this experiment used a thoroughly-tested questionnaire, a robust methodology, expert interviewers, and invested in following up with selected participants who did not initially respond.

Finally, in order to ascertain that the recommendations for each of the findings in this report promote and advance human rights, we highlight the right to work as noted by the Ontario Human Rights Commission (OHRC). In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the OHRC calls for the upholding of this right by providing special supports to vulnerable groups, including racialized communities and workers in precarious employment. The OHRC recognizes the loss of employment that leads to loss of household income and increased poverty as a direct impact of COVID-19 on vulnerable groups (Ontario Human Rights Commission 2020a).

3.4 Limitations

Although great care was taken with every step of the design of this experiment, with the goal of gathering data that would accurately represent current conditions, the authors acknowledge to following limitations.

Cluster sampling has inherent constraints that might affect external validity, including the reduction of the population, in this case the entirety of restaurant business in Ontario, to smaller groups, potentially affecting representation (Roy, Acharya, and Roy 2016). This may lead to a higher potential of sampling error and biases, as the factor by which the population is clustered might introduce unforeseen conditions (Roy, Acharya, and Roy 2016). However, cluster sampling by size of local health authority population was necessary as the goal of this experiment was to replicate current protocols for mandated closures, which have been dictated by local health authorities. The ethical implications of experimenting in the entirety of the population proved to be unsound, as the negative effects of closures would have been exacerbated. Sampling was necessary to understand the impact while containing its potentially devastating effects.

A second limitation arises from the decision to use food inspection records to collect information on current restaurants. We acknowledge that this process would effectively erase from our study all businesses that do not currently operate in accordance to the legal requirement to properly license a restaurant business. As such, should the Ontario Ministry of Economic Development, Job Creation and Trade need to understand the COVID-19 impact on non-licensed restaurant businesses, we recommend further research.

Two types of survey non-response, unit and item non-response, present another limitation. The first, in which an individual refuses to participate, and the second, in which a participant refuses to answer a particular question, might affect the accuracy of inferences if the individuals who participate are systemically different from those who do not (Imai 2017). This study reduced the impact of non-response bias by allowing participants to complete the survey by online form, mail, or phone, and by following up on non-responses.




Figure 8: Non-response and partial response rates for Survey 1 and 2

A final limitation is the use of self-reported information. A main concern is the incidence of social desirability bias, in which respondents might provide answers they deem socially acceptable, rather than the truth (Imai 2017). In this experiment, such bias could be present in the answers of Survey 2 which asks respondents about closures. Victims to this type of bias, respondents might have claimed they voluntarily ceased their restaurant operations to limit the spread of COVID-19 even if they had not.

3.5 Future Directions

For extrapolating results to the whole of Ontario, the demographics of the areas sampled should be compared to the demographics of the entire province. While efforts were taken to take a sample of LHA areas representative of all those in Ontario, extreme demographic variation between LHAs made this difficult. Considering the LHAs selected for the treatment group, the population is 3.8% Indigenous and 11.9% visible minority (“Census Profile, 2016 Census” 2017). If we look at the LHAs selected for the control group, the population is 4.7% Indigenous and 16.6% visible minority (“Census Profile, 2016 Census” 2017). Ontario’s population as a whole is 2.8% Indigenous and 28.9% visible minority (“Census Profile, 2016 Census” 2017). While the units of study in this experiment were restaurants and not individuals, it is worth considering that there may proportionately be more minority-owned restaurants in the entire province than these surveys suggest. As minority-owned restaurants were more impacted by the closures in this study, the proportion of minority-owned restaurants may influence the outcome of a pandemic closure. Therefore, this experiment should be considered a first step in understanding the impact of COVID-19 closures and additional research, aimed deliberately at understanding minority-owned businesses, should be conducted.

This experiment collected information about restaurant owners in Ontario to study the economic and social impact of closures on a business level. To understand the effects on an individual level, questionnaires that are aimed at employees would be a feasible adaptation of this study.

Particularly, future research might consider studying the impact of closures on populations made vulnerable by the COVID-19 pandemic. The discrimination against the East Asian community that the pandemic exacerbated (Ontario Human Rights Commission 2020b), fueled by sensationalist media reports and mis- and disinformation online, might have targeted restaurant businesses directly. A comprehensive study would reveal further information.

Finally, this experiment did not differentiate between independent restaurant businesses and franchises or chains. To better develop support programs, it might be advantageous to deliberately inquire about the type of business model under which the restaurant operates. As this experiment revealed, dine-in and visible minority-owned restaurants were the most affected by closures. Understanding whether take-out service is more frequent in franchises, or if less members of visible minority groups own independent restaurants, for example, would allow for the informed allocation of funds towards targeted support programs.

4 Appendix A

Table 5: 2021 Ontario Restaurant Survey Budget

Item	Cost	Description
Data Management	1300.00	Online survey management account fees
Phone Interviewer Wages	2000.98	1,203 calls (7 minutes on average) at \$14.25/hr
Postage	3410.20	4,012 mailers at \$0.85/ea
TOTAL	6711.18	NA

5 Appendix B

2021 Ontario Restaurant Survey

Dear restaurant owner:

Thank you for participating in this survey. It should take 5 to 10 minutes to complete.

We, Petit Poll, are working with the Ontario Ministry of Economic Development, Job Creation, and Trade to assess the economic impact of COVID-19 on restaurant businesses. The data from this survey will be used to gain insight into the effect of COVID-19 closures on restaurants and provide the Government of Ontario with advice on how to best support restaurant owners.

This survey asks about key metrics like monthly revenue and number of employees. It also asks demographic questions, which you are not required to answer. All information you share will be kept confidential and anonymous. In addition, your identifying information will not be used in our analysis. Our records of contacted restaurants, which contain your restaurant name, address, and phone number, are stored separately from our records of survey answers. To confirm your identity, you are asked to provide the last three letters of your postal code.

Should you need assistance or have any questions, please contact us:

Petit Poll
21-816 Grange Avenue
Toronto ON M7A 1N9

ontariorestaurantsurvey@petitpoll.ca

(416) 314-7325

Hours of operation: Monday to Friday, 8:30am - 5:00pm

* Required

Please enter the last three characters of your restaurant address' postal code to confirm your identity: *

Your answer

Next

Figure 9: Screen capture of the first section of Survey 1 and Survey 2.

The following are questions about the restaurant's business operations.

What was your restaurant's total revenue in May 2021? *

Please approximate as closely as possible if you do not have the exact number.

Your answer _____

During May 2021, how many full-time paid staff worked in this restaurant?
Please include yourself if you work in the restaurant. *

Full-time employment is defined here as work of 30 hours or more per week.

Your answer _____

During May 2021, how many part-time paid staff worked in this restaurant? *

Part-time employment is defined here as work of less than 30 hours per week.

Your answer _____

Select the type of service you provide: *

☐ Dine-in only

☐ Dine-in and take-out

☐ Take-out only

[Back](#) [Next](#)

Figure 10: Screen capture of the second section of Survey 1.

The following are questions about the restaurant's business operations.

What was your restaurant's total revenue in July 2021? *

Please approximate as closely as possible if you do not have the exact number.

Your answer

During July 2021, how many full-time paid staff worked in this restaurant? Please include yourself if you work in the restaurant. *

Full-time employment is defined here as work of 30 hours or more per week.

Your answer

During July 2021, how many part-time paid staff worked in this restaurant? *

Part-time employment is defined here as work of less than 30 hours per week.

Your answer

Select the type of service you provide: *

- ☐ Dine-in only
- ☐ Dine-in and take-out
- ☐ Take-out only

In July 2021, did your restaurant close completely for any period of time? A complete closure means no services provided or sales made. *

- ☐ No complete closure
- ☐ Temporary complete closure
- ☐ Permanent complete closure

[Back](#)

[Next](#)

Figure 11: Screen capture of the second section of Survey 2.

The following are demographic questions for the majority owner(s) of this restaurant.

A majority owner of a business is an individual or a group of people that owns and controls more than 50% of the business.

Do you identify as a woman? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Prefer not to answer

Are you an Indigenous person? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Prefer not to answer

Are you a member of a visible minority group? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Prefer not to answer

Do you have a mental or physical disability? *

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Prefer not to answer

[Back](#)

[Next](#)

Figure 12: Screen capture of the third section of Survey 1 and Survey 2.

2021 Ontario Restaurant Survey

Thank you for completing our survey!

If you have any questions, please contact us:

Petit Poll
21-816 Grange Avenue
Toronto ON M7A 1N9

ontariorestaurantsurvey@petitpoll.ca

(416) 314-7325

Hours of operation: Monday to Friday, 8:30am - 5:00pm

Back

Submit

Figure 13: Screen capture of the fourth section of Survey 1 and Survey 2.

6 Appendix C

2021 Ontario Restaurant Survey	2021 Ontario Restaurant Survey
<p>Dear restaurant owner:</p> <p>Thank you for participating in this survey. It should take 5 to 10 minutes to complete.</p> <p>We, Petit Poll, are working with the Ontario Ministry of Economic Development, Job Creation, and Trade to assess the economic impact of COVID-19 on restaurant businesses. The data from this survey will be used to gain insight into the effect of COVID-19 closures on restaurants and provide the Government of Ontario with advice on how to best support restaurant owners.</p> <p>This survey asks about key metrics like monthly revenue and number of employees. It also asks demographic questions, which you are not required to answer. All information you share will be kept confidential and anonymous. In addition, your identifying information will not be used in our analysis. Our records of contacted restaurants, which contain your restaurant name, address, and phone number, are stored separately from our records of survey answers. To confirm your identity, you are asked to provide the last three letters of your postal code.</p> <p>To answer this survey online, visit:</p>  <p>Or type https://forms.gle/MMFgsgNDoyVs5PGNA in your browser</p> <p>Should you need assistance or have any questions, please contact us:</p> <p>Petit Poll 21-816 Grange Avenue Toronto ON M7A 1N9</p> <p>ontariorestaurantsurvey@petitpoll.ca (416) 314-7325 Hours of operation: Monday to Friday, 8:30am - 5:00pm</p>	<p>ID: 932</p> <p>Please enter the last three characters of your restaurant address' postal code to confirm your identity:</p> <p>What was your restaurant's total revenue in May 2021? Please approximate as closely as possible if you do not have the exact number.</p> <p>During May 2021, how many full-time paid staff worked in this restaurant? Please include yourself if you work in the restaurant. Full-time employment is defined here as work of 30 hours or more per week.</p> <p>During May 2021, how many part-time paid staff worked in this restaurant? Part-time employment is defined here as work of less than 30 hours per week.</p> <p>Select the type of service you provide:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Dine-in <input type="checkbox"/> Dine-in and take-out <input type="checkbox"/> Take-out</p> <p>The following are demographic questions for the majority owner(s) of this restaurant. A majority owner of a business is an individual or a group of people that owns and controls more than 50% of the business.</p> <p>Do you identify as a woman?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Prefer not to answer</p> <p>Are you an Indigenous person?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Prefer not to answer</p> <p>Are you a member of a visible minority group?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Prefer not to answer</p> <p>Do you have a mental or physical disability?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Prefer not to answer</p> <p>Thank you for completing our survey.</p> <p>Please enclose this page in the pre-paid envelope provided and drop off at your local postal office or mailbox.</p>

Figure 14: Image of the mailer sent to restaurant owners containing Survey 1.

2021 Ontario Restaurant Survey

Dear restaurant owner:

Thank you for participating in this survey. It should take 5 to 10 minutes to complete.

We, Petit Poll, are working with the Ontario Ministry of Economic Development, Job Creation, and Trade to assess the economic impact of COVID-19 on restaurant businesses. The data from this survey will be used to gain insight into the effect of COVID-19 closures on restaurants and provide the Government of Ontario with advice on how to best support restaurant owners.

This survey asks about key metrics like monthly revenue and number of employees. It also asks demographic questions, which you are not required to answer. All information you share will be kept confidential and anonymous. In addition, your identifying information will not be used in our analysis. Our records of contacted restaurants, which contain your restaurant name, address, and phone number, are stored separately from our records of survey answers. To confirm your identity, you are asked to provide the last three letters of your postal code.

To answer this survey online, visit:



Or type
<https://forms.gle/ohJEQXqZyueX6L2z6>
in your browser

Should you need assistance or have any questions, please contact us:

Petit Poll
21-816 Grange Avenue
Toronto ON M7A 1N9

ontariorestaurantsurvey@petitpoll.ca
(416) 314-7325
Hours of operation:
Monday to Friday, 8:30am - 5:00pm

ID: 1892

2021 Ontario Restaurant Survey

Please enter the last three characters of your restaurant address' postal code to confirm your identity:

What was your restaurant's total revenue in July 2021? Please approximate as closely as possible if you do not have the exact number.

During July 2021, how many full-time paid staff worked in this restaurant? Please include yourself if you work in the restaurant. Full-time employment is defined here as work of 30 hours or more per week.

During July 2021, how many part-time paid staff worked in this restaurant? Part-time employment is defined here as work of less than 30 hours per week.

Select the type of service you provide:

- ☐ Dine-in
☐ Dine-in and take-out
☐ Take-out

In July 2021, did your restaurant close completely for any period of time? A complete closure means no services provided or sales made.

- ☐ No complete closure
☐ Temporary complete closure
☐ Permanent complete closure

The following are demographic questions for the majority owner(s) of this restaurant. A majority owner of a business is an individual or a group of people that owns and controls more than 50% of the business.

Do you identify as a woman?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Prefer not to answer

Are you an Indigenous person?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Prefer not to answer

Are you a member of a visible minority group?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Prefer not to answer

Do you have a mental or physical disability?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Prefer not to answer

Thank you for completing our survey.

Please enclose this page in the pre-paid envelope provided and drop off at your local postal office or mailbox.

Figure 15: Image of the mailer sent to restaurant owners containing Survey 2.

References

- “Census Profile, 2016 Census.” 2017. Statistics Canada. <https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2016/dp-pd/prof/index.cfm?Lang=E>.
- Digital Main Street. 2021. “Frequently Asked Questions.” <https://digitalmainstreet.ca/faq/>.
- Gertler, Paul, Sebastian Martinez, Patrick Premand, Laura Rawlings, and Christel Vermeersch. 2016. *Impact Evaluation in Practice*. International Bank for Reconstruction; Development / The World Bank. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-0779-4>.
- Government of Canada. 2020. *Restaurant and Food Service Inspection in Canada*. <https://www.inspection.gc.ca/food-safety-for-industry/information-for-consumers/report-a-concern/restaurants-and-food-services/eng/1323139279504/1323140830752>.
- . 2021a. “Black Entrepreneurship Program.” https://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/150.nsf/eng/h_00000.html.
- . 2021b. “Indigenous Businesses Initiative.” <https://www.sac-isc.gc.ca/eng/1588079295625/1588079326171>.
- Government of Ontario. 2021a. “COVID-19 Public Health Measures and Advice.” <https://covid-19.ontario.ca/zones-and-restrictions>.
- . 2021b. “Get COVID-19 Relief Funding for Your Business.” <https://www.app.grants.gov.on.ca/msrf/#/>.
- Grolemund, Garrett, and Hadley Wickham. 2011. “Dates and Times Made Easy with lubridate.” *Journal of Statistical Software* 40 (3): 1–25. <https://www.jstatsoft.org/v40/i03/>.
- Harrison, Ewen, Tom Drake, and Riinu Ots. 2020. *Finalfit: Quickly Create Elegant Regression Results Tables and Plots When Modelling*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=finalfit>.
- Imai, Kosuke. 2017. *Quantitative Social Science*. Princeton University Press.
- Jones, Meghan. 2020. “Here’s How Much Money the Restaurant Industry Has Lost Due to COVID-19.” <https://www.rd.com/article/restaurant-industry-money-losses/>.
- Ministry of Health. 2021. “Enhancing Public Health and Workplace Safety Measures in the Provincewide Shutdown.” Government of Ontario. <https://www.ontario.ca/page/enhancing-public-health-and-workplace-safety-measures-provincewide-shutdown#section-3>.
- Müller, Kirill. 2020. *Here: A Simpler Way to Find Your Files*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=here>.
- Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion (Public Health Ontario). 2020. “Rapid Review: COVID-19 Incubation Period and Considerations for Travellers’ Quarantine Duration.” <https://www.publichealthontario.ca/-/media/documents/ncov/main/2020/12/covid-19-incubation-travellers-quarantine-duration.pdf?la=en>.
- Ontario Human Rights Commission. 2020a. “Policy Statement on a Human Rights-Based Approach to Managing the COVID-19 Pandemic.” <http://www.ohrc.on.ca/en/policy-statement-human-rights-based-approach-managing-covid-19-pandemic>.
- . 2020b. “Statement: OHRC Urges Respect for Human Rights During Coronavirus Health Event.” http://www.ohrc.on.ca/en/news_centre/statement-ohrc-urges-respect-human-rights-during-coronavirus-health-event.
- R Core Team. 2020. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Roy, Tarun Kumar, Rajib Acharya, and Arun Roy. 2016. “Basic Sampling Designs.” In *Statistical Survey Design and Evaluating Impact*, 13–61. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316550892.004>.

- Snyder, C. R., S. J. Lopez, and J. T. Pedrotti. 2011. “Positive Psychology: The Scientific and Practical Explorations of Human Strengths.” Sage Publications Inc.
- Trulia. 2014. “12 Things That Make a Neighborhood Truly Great.” Forbes. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/trulia/2014/11/29/12-things-that-make-a-neighborhood-truly-great/?sh=380ee63535f6>.
- Wickham, Hadley, Mara Averick, Jennifer Bryan, Winston Chang, Lucy D’Agostino McGowan, Romain François, Garrett Grolemond, et al. 2019. “Welcome to the tidyverse.” *Journal of Open Source Software* 4 (43): 1686. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686>.
- Wilke, Claus O. 2020. *Cowplot: Streamlined Plot Theme and Plot Annotations for 'Ggplot2'*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=cowplot>.
- Wilkinson, Richard, and Michael Marmot. 2013. “The Social Determinants of Health: The Solid Facts.” World Health Organization.
- Wilson, Codi. 2020. “Toronto Restaurant Issued Closure Order After Defying Lockdown Rules.” CTV News. <https://toronto.ctvnews.ca/toronto-restaurant-issued-closure-order-after-defying-lockdown-rules-1.5202198>.
- Wiseman, Paul, and Alexandra Olson. 2020. “From Restaurants to Retailers, Virus Transformed Economies.” <https://apnews.com/article/coronavirus-economy-restaurant-retail-08c5526535114232a1774440415261e4>.
- Wu, Changbao, and Mary E. Thompson. 2020. *Sampling Theory and Practice*. Springer. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-44246-0>.
- Xie, Yihui. 2020. *Bookdown: Authoring Books and Technical Documents with RMarkdown*. <https://github.com/rstudio/bookdown>.
- Zhu, Hao. 2020. *kableExtra: Construct Complex Table with 'Kable' and Pipe Syntax*. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=kableExtra>.