

# Data for the Ontario Restaurant Closures Experiment\*

Simulation Methods and Parameterization Research

Lorena Almaraz De La Garza, Amy Farrow, and Kumalasari Sondjaja

18 February 2021

## Abstract

This document explains how data for the Ontario restaurant closures experiment was simulated, including the research that was used for parameterization and the methods used to randomize data.

```
# read in the data
# NOTE: scripts 01_scrape_health_depts, 04_clean_restaurant_list_csvs, and 02_sampling_frame must have

table_for_surveys <- read_csv(here::here("outputs/table_for_surveys.csv"))

##
## -- Column specification -----
## cols(
##   name = col_character(),
##   address = col_character(),
##   unit = col_character(),
##   group = col_character(),
##   verify = col_character(),
##   ID = col_double()
## )

survey_1 <- table_for_surveys %>%
  select(ID, group, verify) %>%
  arrange(ID)

# count the numbers for treat, control, and total
num_treat <- first(count(survey_1 %>%
  filter(group == "treatment")))

num_control <- first(count(survey_1 %>%
  filter(group == "control")))

num_total <- first(count(survey_1))

survey_2 <- survey_1

survey_1

## # A tibble: 2,006 x 3
##       ID group   verify
##   <dbl> <chr>   <chr>
```

---

\*Code and data are available at: [github.com/amycfarrow/ontariorestaurantclosuresexperiment](https://github.com/amycfarrow/ontariorestaurantclosuresexperiment).

```
## 1      1 control    1E4
## 2      2 treatment  0N
## 3      3 control    6C7
## 4      4 control    1B8
## 5      5 control    1B0
## 6      6 control    2J9
## 7      7 treatment  3Y3
## 8      8 treatment  1K0
## 9      9 control    0H4
## 10     10 treatment  2J4
## # ... with 1,996 more rows
```

## 1 Survey questions that require simulated data

## 2 Type of service provided

```
# Read in data
yelp_data <- read_csv(here("inputs/data/yelp_restaurants_ontario.csv")) %>%
  na.omit() # Remove all NAs
```

```
##
## -- Column specification -----
## cols(
##   name = col_character(),
##   address = col_character(),
##   city = col_character(),
##   postal_code = col_character(),
##   latitude = col_double(),
##   longitude = col_double(),
##   stars = col_double(),
##   is_open = col_double(),
##   RestaurantsPriceRange2 = col_character(),
##   RestaurantsTakeOut = col_logical(),
##   RestaurantsDelivery = col_character(),
##   RestaurantsTableService = col_logical(),
##   OutdoorSeating = col_logical(),
##   categories = col_character(),
##   business_id = col_character()
## )
```

```
## Warning: 18 parsing failures.
```

```
##   row      col      expected actual
## 1228 RestaurantsTableService 1/0/T/F/TRUE/FALSE None 'C:/Users/Amy Farrow/Documents/GitHub/projects/
## 3206 RestaurantsTakeOut      1/0/T/F/TRUE/FALSE None 'C:/Users/Amy Farrow/Documents/GitHub/projects/
## 3206 OutdoorSeating         1/0/T/F/TRUE/FALSE None 'C:/Users/Amy Farrow/Documents/GitHub/projects/
## 3601 RestaurantsTakeOut      1/0/T/F/TRUE/FALSE None 'C:/Users/Amy Farrow/Documents/GitHub/projects/
## 4075 RestaurantsTakeOut      1/0/T/F/TRUE/FALSE None 'C:/Users/Amy Farrow/Documents/GitHub/projects/
## .....
## See problems(...) for more details.
```

```
total_restaurants <- count(yelp_data)
```

```
# Check proportion of RestaurantsTakeOut
```

```

takeout <- yelp_data %>%
  select(RestaurantsTakeOut)
summary(takeout)

## RestaurantsTakeOut
## Mode :logical
## FALSE:276
## TRUE :4120

takeout_percentage <- takeout %>%
  group_by(RestaurantsTakeOut) %>%
  summarize(count = n()) %>%
  mutate(percentage = count/sum(count))

## 'summarise()' ungrouping output (override with '.groups' argument)
takeout_percentage

## # A tibble: 2 x 3
## RestaurantsTakeOut count percentage
## <lgl> <int> <dbl>
## 1 FALSE 276 0.0628
## 2 TRUE 4120 0.937

# Check proportion of RestaurantsTableService
tableserv <- yelp_data %>%
  select(RestaurantsTableService)
summary(tableserv)

## RestaurantsTableService
## Mode :logical
## FALSE:1448
## TRUE :2948

tableserv_percentage <- tableserv %>%
  group_by(RestaurantsTableService) %>%
  summarize(count = n()) %>%
  mutate(percentage = count/sum(count))

## 'summarise()' ungrouping output (override with '.groups' argument)
tableserv_percentage

## # A tibble: 2 x 3
## RestaurantsTableService count percentage
## <lgl> <int> <dbl>
## 1 FALSE 1448 0.329
## 2 TRUE 2948 0.671

# Calculate invalid responses (neither dine-in nor takeout)
invalid <- yelp_data %>%
  filter(RestaurantsTableService == FALSE & RestaurantsTakeOut == FALSE) %>%
  count()

valid_restaurants <- total_restaurants-invalid

# Calculate service type percentages
takeout_only <- yelp_data %>%

```

```

filter(RestaurantsTableService == FALSE & RestaurantsTakeOut == TRUE) %>%
count()/valid_restaurants

dinein_only <- yelp_data %>%
  filter(RestaurantsTableService == TRUE & RestaurantsTakeOut == FALSE) %>%
  count()/valid_restaurants

both <- yelp_data %>%
  filter(RestaurantsTableService == TRUE & RestaurantsTakeOut == TRUE) %>%
  count()/valid_restaurants

service_percentage<- data.frame(
  service = c("takeout", "dinein", "both"),
  percentage = c(takeout_only$n, dinein_only$n, both$n), options(digits = 2)
)

service_percentage

##   service percentage digits
## 1 takeout      0.326      7
## 2 dinein      0.058      7
## 3  both      0.616      7

```

According to Ontario restaurant data from Yelp (“Download Yelp Dataset” 2019), approximately 6% of restaurants offer dine in service, 32.5% offer takeout, and 61.5% offer both.

## 2.1 Survey 1

```

set.seed(19)
survey_1 <- survey_1 %>%
  mutate(service_type = sample(x = c("dinein",
                                     "both",
                                     "takeout"),
                               size = num_total,
                               replace = TRUE,
                               prob = c(0.06, 0.615, 0.325)
                               ))

survey_1

## # A tibble: 2,006 x 4
##       ID group    verify service_type
##   <dbl> <chr>    <chr>   <chr>
## 1     1  control  1E4    both
## 2     2 treatment 0N     both
## 3     3  control  6C7    takeout
## 4     4  control  1B8    both
## 5     5  control  1B0    both
## 6     6  control  2J9    both
## 7     7 treatment 3Y3    both
## 8     8 treatment 1K0    both
## 9     9  control  0H4    takeout
## 10    10 treatment 2J4    takeout
## # ... with 1,996 more rows

```

## 2.2 Survey 2

```
set.seed(19)
survey_2 <- survey_2 %>%
  mutate(service_type = sample(x = c("dinein",
                                     "both",
                                     "takeout"),
                              size = num_total,
                              replace = TRUE,
                              prob = c(0.06, 0.615, 0.325)
                              ))

survey_2
```

```
## # A tibble: 2,006 x 4
##       ID group      verify service_type
##   <dbl> <chr>      <chr>   <chr>
## 1     1   1 control    1E4    both
## 2     2   2 treatment 0N      both
## 3     3   3 control    6C7    takeout
## 4     4   4 control    1B8    both
## 5     5   5 control    1B0    both
## 6     6   6 control    2J9    both
## 7     7   7 treatment 3Y3    both
## 8     8   8 treatment 1K0    both
## 9     9   9 control    0H4    takeout
## 10    10  10 treatment 2J4    takeout
## # ... with 1,996 more rows
```

## 3 Demographic traits of owners

0.5% of small to medium enterprises in Canada are owned by persons with disabilities (“SME Profile: Ownership Demographics Statistics” 2020).

### 3.1 Survey 1

```
set.seed(19893)
survey_1 <- survey_1 %>%
  mutate(disability = sample(c("yes", "no"),
                             size = num_total,
                             prob = c(0.005, .995),
                             replace = TRUE))

survey_1
```

```
## # A tibble: 2,006 x 5
##       ID group      verify service_type disability
##   <dbl> <chr>      <chr>   <chr>      <chr>
## 1     1   1 control    1E4    both      no
## 2     2   2 treatment 0N      both      no
## 3     3   3 control    6C7    takeout   no
## 4     4   4 control    1B8    both      no
## 5     5   5 control    1B0    both      no
```

```
## 6      6 control  2J9    both      no
## 7      7 treatment 3Y3    both      no
## 8      8 treatment 1K0    both      no
## 9      9 control  0H4    takeout   no
## 10     10 treatment 2J4    takeout   no
## # ... with 1,996 more rows
```

## 3.2 Survey 2

```
set.seed(19893)
survey_2 <- survey_2 %>%
  mutate(disability = sample(c("yes", "no"),
                             size = num_total,
                             prob = c(0.005, .995),
                             replace = TRUE))

survey_2
```

```
## # A tibble: 2,006 x 5
##       ID group      verify service_type disability
##   <dbl> <chr>      <chr>    <chr>      <chr>
## 1     1 control    1E4     both      no
## 2     2 treatment 0N      both      no
## 3     3 control    6C7     takeout   no
## 4     4 control    1B8     both      no
## 5     5 control    1B0     both      no
## 6     6 control    2J9     both      no
## 7     7 treatment 3Y3     both      no
## 8     8 treatment 1K0     both      no
## 9     9 control    0H4     takeout   no
## 10    10 treatment 2J4     takeout   no
## # ... with 1,996 more rows
```

```
### Load census dataset by Health Regions ###
### Download link: https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2016/dp-pd/prof/details/download-tele
census_2016 <- read.csv(here("inputs", "data", "census_2016.csv"))
census_2016 <- janitor::clean_names(census_2016)
```

```
# Narrowed down categories
demographic_info <- c(1, 8, 1324, 1290, 1917)
```

```
### Function to get data from specific regions and rows ###
```

```
get_region_data <- function(y){
  census_2016 %>%
    filter(geo_code_por == y,
           member_id_profile_of_health_regions_2247 %in% demographic_info) %>%
    select(dim_profile_of_health_regions_2247, member_id_profile_of_health_regions_2247, dim_sex_3_member_id_profile_of_health_regions_2247)
}
```

```
### Get data from the randomly selected Health Regions ###
# GEO_CODE indicates public health regions, taken from Census 2016 links:
#https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2016/dp-pd/prof/search-recherche/lst/results-resultats.
```

```

ontario <- get_region_data(35)

haliburton <- get_region_data(3535)
hamilton <- get_region_data(3537)
algoma <- get_region_data(3526)
simcoe_muskoka <- get_region_data(3560)
timiskaming <- get_region_data(3563)
windsor_essex <- get_region_data(3568)

northwest <- get_region_data(3549)
# southwestern <- get_region_data(3502)
# Southwestern is a newer health unit. it was created by almagamating oxford and elgin-st. thomas units
oxford <- get_region_data(3552)
elgin <- get_region_data(3531)
waterloo <- get_region_data(3565)
durham <- get_region_data(3530)
sudbury <- get_region_data(3561)
brant <- get_region_data(3527)

### Put together demographic info in one table ###

populationss <- c("Total_Population", "Indigenous_Population_25%_sample", "Visible_Minority_25%_sample")

get_pop_info <- function(x){
  c(as.numeric(x$dim_sex_3_member_id_1_total_sex[x$dim_profile_of_health_regions_2247 == "Population, 2
    as.numeric(x$dim_sex_3_member_id_1_total_sex[x$dim_profile_of_health_regions_2247 == "Aboriginal id
    as.numeric(x$dim_sex_3_member_id_1_total_sex[x$dim_profile_of_health_regions_2247 == "Total visible
    as.numeric(x$dim_sex_3_member_id_1_total_sex[x$dim_profile_of_health_regions_2247 == "72 Accommodat
    as.numeric(x$dim_sex_3_member_id_3_female[x$dim_profile_of_health_regions_2247 == "Total - Age group
})

ontario_pop <- get_pop_info(ontario)

haliburton_pop <- get_pop_info(haliburton)
algoma_pop <- get_pop_info(algoma)
hamilton_pop <- get_pop_info(hamilton)
windsor_essex_pop <- get_pop_info(windsor_essex)
simcoe_muskoka_pop <- get_pop_info(simcoe_muskoka)
timiskaming_pop <- get_pop_info(timiskaming)

brant_pop <- get_pop_info(brant)
sudbury_pop <- get_pop_info(sudbury)
#southwestern_pop <- get_pop_info(southwestern)
oxford_pop <- get_pop_info(oxford)
elgin_pop <- get_pop_info(elgin)
northwest_pop <- get_pop_info(northwest)
waterloo_pop <- get_pop_info(waterloo)
durham_pop <- get_pop_info(durham)

populations <- bind_cols(populationss, ontario_pop, haliburton_pop, algoma_pop, hamilton_pop, windsor-essex_pop,

```

```

## New names:
## * NA -> ...1
## * NA -> ...2
## * NA -> ...3
## * NA -> ...4
## * NA -> ...5
## * ...

colnames(populations) <- c("Info", "Ontario", "Haliburton", "Algoma", "Hamilton", "Windsor_Essex", "Simcoe_Muskoka",
                           "Brant", "Sudbury", "Oxford", "Elgin", "Northwest", "Waterloo", "Durham")

populations <- populations %>%
  mutate(Southwestern = Oxford + Elgin) %>%
  mutate(total_treat = Haliburton + Algoma + Hamilton + Windsor_Essex + Simcoe_Muskoka + Timiskaming,
         total_control = Brant + Sudbury + Northwest + Waterloo + Durham + Southwestern) %>%
  select(-Oxford, -Elgin)

populations

## # A tibble: 5 x 16
##   Info Ontario Haliburton Algoma Hamilton Windsor_Essex Simcoe_Muskoka
##   <chr>   <dbl>      <dbl> <dbl>      <dbl>      <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 Tota~  1.34e7    179083 113084    536917    398953    540249
## 2 Indi~   3.74e5     4795  15365     12135     9870     24110
## 3 Visi~   3.89e6     4655   2580    100055     70725     35055
## 4 Acco~   4.78e5     5545   4305     18325     14280     21600
## 5 Tota~   6.89e6     90755  57815    274390    202695    274225
## # ... with 9 more variables: Timiskaming <dbl>, Brant <dbl>, Sudbury <dbl>,
## #   Northwest <dbl>, Waterloo <dbl>, Durham <dbl>, Southwestern <dbl>,
## #   total_treat <dbl>, total_control <dbl>

populations_split <- matrix(ncol=4, nrow=5)
populations_split[,1] <- c("Total_Population", "Indigenous_Population_25%_sample", "Visible_Minority_25%_sample", "Food_Services", "Women")
populations_split[,2] <- ontario_pop

total_pop_treatment <- sum(populations[1,c(3:8)])
indigenous_treatment <- sum(populations[2,c(3:8)])
minority_treatment <- sum(populations[3,c(3:8)])
food_services_treatment <- sum(populations[4,c(3:8)])
women_treatment <- sum(populations[5,c(3:8)])

treatment <- c(total_pop_treatment, indigenous_treatment, minority_treatment, food_services_treatment, women_treatment)
populations_split[,3] <- treatment

total_pop_control <- sum(populations[1,c(9:14)])
indigenous_control <- sum(populations[2,c(9:14)])
minority_control <- sum(populations[3,c(9:14)])
food_services_control <- sum(populations[4,c(9:14)])
women_control <- sum(populations[5,c(9:14)])

control <- c(total_pop_control, indigenous_control, minority_control, food_services_control, women_control)
populations_split[,4] <- control

populations_split <- as.data.frame(populations_split)
colnames(populations_split) <- c("Info", "Ontario", "Treatment", "Control")

```



```
populations_split
```

```
##                               Info  Ontario Treatment Control
## 1                Total_Population 13448494  1801335 1788702
## 2      Indigenous_Population_25%_sample  374395    69035   84675
## 3      Visible_Minority_25%_sample  3885585   213570  297250
## 4 Accommodation_and_Food_Services_25%_sample  478070    64995   60550
## 5                Total_Population_Women 6889105   916560  910715
```

```
### Get percentage proportions of demographic groups of interest ###
```

```
populations_split_percentage <- matrix(ncol=4, nrow=5)
```

```
for(i in 2:length(populations_split)){
  for(j in 1:5){
    populations_split_percentage[j,i] <- round(as.numeric(populations_split[j,i])/as.numeric(populations_split[1,i]), 3)
  }
}
```

```
#populations_percentage[1, 2:4] <- c(1, 1, 1)
```

```
populations_split_percentage[,1] <- c("Total_Population", "Indigenous_Population_25%_sample", "Visible_Minority_25%_sample", "Accommodation_and_Food_Services_25%_sample", "Total_Population_Women")
```

```
populations_split_percentage <- as.data.frame(populations_split_percentage)
```

```
colnames(populations_split_percentage) <- c("Info", "Ontario", "Treatment", "Control")
```

```
populations_split_percentage
```

```
##                               Info  Ontario Treatment Control
## 1                Total_Population      1         1         1
## 2      Indigenous_Population_25%_sample  0.028    0.038    0.047
## 3      Visible_Minority_25%_sample  0.289    0.119    0.166
## 4 Accommodation_and_Food_Services_25%_sample  0.036    0.036    0.034
## 5                Total_Population_Women  0.512    0.509    0.509
```

We established expected percentages for the treatment and control regions based on census data (“Census Profile, 2016 Census” 2017).

15.6% of business owners are women in Canada (“SME Profile: Ownership Demographics Statistics” 2020).

Visible minorities are 25% of the population (“Number and Proportion of Visible Minority Population in Canada, 1981 to 2036” 2017).

12.2% of business owners are visible minorities (“SME Profile: Ownership Demographics Statistics” 2020).

Therefore, visible minorities are 0.49 as likely to own a business. Therefore, we can estimate that 0.058 of restaurant owners are a visible minority in the treatment group, and 0.081 in the control group.

Indigenous people have 3.7% service business ownership as opposed to 15.3% in the reference population (“Table a-1 Counts of Businesses Per 1,000 Residents by Province/Territory and Industry” 2019). They are 0.24 times as likely to own a service business in Ontario. Therefore, we can estimate that 0.009 of business owners in the treatment group are Indigenous, and 0.011 in the control group.

### 3.3 Survey 1

```
set.seed(19893)
```

```
survey_1 <- survey_1 %>%
```

```
  mutate(woman = sample(c("yes", "no", "nonanswer"), size = num_total, prob = c(0.154, 0.836, 0.01), repl = TRUE))
```

```

set.seed(19893)
survey_1 <- bind_rows(
  survey_1 %>%
    filter(group == "treatment") %>%
    mutate(indigenous = sample(c("yes", "no", "nonanswer"), size = num_treat, prob = c(0.009, 0.981, 0.01)),
  ,
  survey_1 %>%
    filter(group == "control") %>%
    mutate(indigenous = sample(c("yes", "no", "nonanswer"), size = num_control, prob = c(0.011, 0.979, 0.01)),
)

set.seed(19893)
survey_1 <- bind_rows(
  survey_1 %>%
    filter(group == "treatment", indigenous != "yes") %>%
    mutate(visible = sample(c("yes", "no", "nonanswer"),
      size = first(count(survey_1 %>% filter(group == "treatment", indigenous != "yes"), size = num_treat, prob = c(0.057, 0.933, 0.01), replace = TRUE))),
  ,
  survey_1 %>%
    filter(group == "control", indigenous != "yes") %>%
    mutate(visible = sample(c("yes", "no", "nonanswer"),
      size = first(count(survey_1 %>% filter(group == "control", indigenous != "yes"), size = num_control, prob = c(0.08, 0.91, 0.01), replace = TRUE))),
  ,
  survey_1 %>%
    filter(indigenous == "yes") %>%
    mutate(visible = "no")
)

survey_1

```

```

## # A tibble: 2,006 x 8
##       ID group    verify service_type disability woman indigenous visible
##   <dbl> <chr>    <chr>    <chr>      <chr>      <chr> <chr>    <chr>
## 1     2 treatment ON      both       no         no    no      no
## 2     7 treatment 3Y3    both       no         no    no      no
## 3     8 treatment 1K0    both       no         no    no      no
## 4    10 treatment 2J4    takeout    no         no    no      no
## 5    12 treatment 1E5    both       no         no    no      yes
## 6    18 treatment 1S0    both       no         yes   no      no
## 7    20 treatment 5S6    takeout    no         yes   no      no
## 8    22 treatment 7K6    dinein     no         no    no      no
## 9    24 treatment 2G3    takeout    no         no    no      no
## 10   25 treatment 6S4    takeout    no         no    no      no
## # ... with 1,996 more rows

```

### 3.4 Survey 2

```

set.seed(19893)
survey_2 <- survey_2 %>%
  mutate(woman = sample(c("yes", "no", "nonanswer"), size = num_total, prob = c(0.154, 0.836, 0.01), repl

```

```

set.seed(19893)
survey_2 <- bind_rows(
  survey_2 %>%
    filter(group == "treatment") %>%
    mutate(indigenous = sample(c("yes", "no", "nonanswer"), size = num_treat, prob = c(0.009, 0.981, 0.01)),
  ,
  survey_2 %>%
    filter(group == "control") %>%
    mutate(indigenous = sample(c("yes", "no", "nonanswer"), size = num_control, prob = c(0.011, 0.979, 0.01))
)

set.seed(19893)
survey_2 <- bind_rows(
  survey_2 %>%
    filter(group == "treatment", indigenous != "yes") %>%
    mutate(visible = sample(c("yes", "no", "nonanswer"),
                          size = first(count(survey_2 %>% filter(group == "treatment", indigenous != "yes"),
                                             size = num_treat, prob = c(0.057, 0.933, 0.01), replace = TRUE))
    ,
  ,
  survey_2 %>%
    filter(group == "control", indigenous != "yes") %>%
    mutate(visible = sample(c("yes", "no", "nonanswer"),
                          size = first(count(survey_2 %>% filter(group == "control", indigenous != "yes"),
                                             size = num_control, prob = c(0.08, 0.91, 0.01), replace = TRUE))
    ,
  ,
  survey_1 %>%
    filter(indigenous == "yes") %>%
    mutate(visible = "no")
)

survey_2

```

```

## # A tibble: 2,006 x 8
##       ID group    verify service_type disability woman indigenous visible
##   <dbl> <chr>    <chr>   <chr>         <chr>    <chr> <chr>    <chr>
## 1     2 treatment ON      both          no       no    no      no
## 2     7 treatment 3Y3    both          no       no    no      no
## 3     8 treatment 1K0    both          no       no    no      no
## 4    10 treatment 2J4    takeout       no       no    no      no
## 5    12 treatment 1E5    both          no       no    no      yes
## 6    18 treatment 1S0    both          no       yes   no      no
## 7    20 treatment 5S6    takeout       no       yes   no      no
## 8    22 treatment 7K6    dinein        no       no    no      no
## 9    24 treatment 2G3    takeout       no       no    no      no
## 10   25 treatment 6S4    takeout       no       no    no      no
## # ... with 1,996 more rows

```

## 4 Shutdowns

## 5 Survey 2

43.2% of restaurants shut down temporarily due to the pandemic (“Impact of Covid-19 on Business or Organization Status, by Business Characteristics” 2021).

3% closed of restaurants in the US closed permanently between March and June (Sparks 2020). This period was about six times as long as our two week closure.

```
set.seed(19893)
survey_2 <- bind_rows(
  survey_2 %>%
    filter(group == "treatment") %>%
    mutate(shutdown = sample(c("none", "temporary", "permanent"),
                             size = num_treat,
                             prob = c(0.558, 0.432, 0.01),
                             replace = TRUE))
  ,
  survey_2 %>%
    filter(group == "control") %>%
    mutate(shutdown = sample(c("none", "temporary", "permanent"),
                             size = num_control,
                             prob = c(.99, 0.005, 0.005),
                             replace = TRUE))
) %>%
  arrange(ID)

survey_2
```

```
## # A tibble: 2,006 x 9
##       ID group verify service_type disability woman indigenous visible shutdown
##   <dbl> <chr> <chr> <chr>         <chr>      <chr> <chr>      <chr>    <chr>
## 1     1  1 contr~ 1E4    both         no        no    no        no     none
## 2     2  2 treat~ 0N     both         no        no    no        no     tempora~
## 3     3  3 contr~ 6C7    takeout      no        yes   no        no     none
## 4     4  4 contr~ 1B8    both         no        yes   no        no     none
## 5     5  5 contr~ 1B0    both         no        yes   no        no     none
## 6     6  6 contr~ 2J9    both         no        no    no        no     none
## 7     7  7 treat~ 3Y3    both         no        no    no        no     none
## 8     8  8 treat~ 1K0    both         no        no    no        no     tempora~
## 9     9  9 contr~ 0H4    takeout      no        no    no        no     none
## 10    10 treat~ 2J4    takeout      no        no    no        no     tempora~
## # ... with 1,996 more rows
```

## 6 Revenue

### 6.1 Survey 1

In 2019, there were 25,836 restaurants and eating-places that were employers (“Canadian Business Counts, with Employees, December 2019” 2021) and 6,968 restaurants that were not employers (“Canadian Business Counts, Without Employees, December 2019” 2021) in Canada, for a total of 32,804 restaurants.

In the same year, full-service restaurants had \$13,456,600,000 in revenue, and limited-service eating places had \$14,082,700,000 in revenue (“Food Services and Drinking Places, Summary Statistics” 2021).

From this, we can calculate an estimated \$69,959 average revenue per month.

From an analysis of Kaggle restaurant data (“Kaggle Restaurant Revenue Prediction” 2019), we can see that restaurant revenue looks like an F distribution. The distribution  $\text{rf}(n, \text{df1}, \text{df2}, \text{ncp}) = \text{rf}(\text{num\_rest}, 10, 5)$  gives an approximate shape. Using the f-distribution formula, we know the distribution has a mean of  $10/9$ , so we would multiply by 62,963 to get the mean to 69,959.

```
set.seed(19894)
survey_1 <- survey_1 %>%
  mutate(revenue = 62963 * rf(num_total,20,20))

survey_1

## # A tibble: 2,006 x 9
##       ID group  verify service_type disability woman indigenous visible revenue
##   <dbl> <chr>   <chr>   <chr>      <chr>      <chr> <chr>      <chr>      <dbl>
## 1     2 treatm~ 0N      both       no         no    no         no        87879.
## 2     7 treatm~ 3Y3      both       no         no    no         no        47324.
## 3     8 treatm~ 1K0      both       no         no    no         no        52722.
## 4    10 treatm~ 2J4      takeout    no         no    no         no       108558.
## 5    12 treatm~ 1E5      both       no         no    no         yes        73843.
## 6    18 treatm~ 1S0      both       no         yes    no         no       106363.
## 7    20 treatm~ 5S6      takeout    no         yes    no         no        81652.
## 8    22 treatm~ 7K6      dinein     no         no    no         no        80808.
## 9    24 treatm~ 2G3      takeout    no         no    no         no        58740.
## 10   25 treatm~ 6S4      takeout    no         no    no         no        35745.
## # ... with 1,996 more rows
```

## 6.2 Survey 2

First, the revenues from the first survey will have a randomized factor (normal distribution with a mean of 1 and a standard distribution of 0.1) to add some random variance between months.

Second, places that are closed permanently will be assumed to experience -75% average revenue, and temporary shutdowns will be assumed to experience -50% revenue.

Revenues went to -35% during the first lockdown wave in March (Dixon 2020). We will assume that these numbers are accurate for a dine-in/takeout restaurant that is experiencing a takeout-only closure. They are closed 14 out of 31 days, so we will assume average losses of -17%.

We will assume that takeout-only restaurants will not have losses on average, and dine-in only places will have -100% revenue on average for the days they are closed. With closures 14 out of 31 days in the month, dine-in only will have average losses of -45%.

All businesses had a median decrease of -15%, but visible minority-owned businesses had a median decrease of -25% (“Business or Organization Revenue from August 2020 Compared with August 2019, by Business Characteristics” 2021). Therefore, an additional -12% will be applied to visible minority-owned restaurants.

There seems to be no significant difference in business losses for disabled owners or female business owners (“Business or Organization Revenue from August 2020 Compared with August 2019, by Business Characteristics” 2021).

```
set.seed(19894)
survey_2 <- survey_2 %>%
  mutate(revenue = 62963 * rf(num_total,20,20)) %>%
  mutate(factor = abs(rnorm(num_total, 1, .1))) %>%
  mutate(revenue = factor * revenue) %>%
  select(-factor)

survey_2 <-
  bind_rows(
    survey_2 %>%
      filter(shutdown == "none") %>%
      mutate(revenue = revenue)
```

```

    ,
    survey_2 %>%
      filter(shutdown == "temporary") %>%
      mutate(revenue = 0.50 * revenue)
    ,
    survey_2 %>%
      filter(shutdown == "permanent") %>%
      mutate(revenue = 0.25 * revenue)
  ) %>%
  arrange(ID)

survey_2 <-
  bind_rows(
    survey_2 %>%
      filter(service_type == "dinein" & group == "treatment") %>%
      mutate(revenue = 0.55 * revenue)
    ,
    survey_2 %>%
      filter(service_type == "both" & group == "treatment") %>%
      mutate(revenue = 0.83 * revenue)
    ,
    survey_2 %>%
      filter(service_type == "takeout" & group == "treatment") %>%
      mutate(revenue = revenue)
    ,
    survey_2 %>%
      filter(group == "control")
  ) %>%
  arrange(ID)

survey_2 <-
  bind_rows(
    survey_2 %>%
      filter((visible == "yes" | indigenous == "yes") & group == "treatment") %>%
      mutate(revenue = 0.88 * revenue)
    ,
    survey_2 %>%
      filter(visible == "no" & group == "treatment")
    ,
    survey_2 %>%
      filter(group == "control")
  )

survey_2

```

```

## # A tibble: 2,006 x 10
##       ID group verify service_type disability woman indigenous visible shutdown
##   <dbl> <chr> <chr>   <chr>         <chr>      <chr> <chr>      <chr>   <chr>
## 1    12 trea~ 1E5    both          no         no    no        yes    tempora~
## 2    65 trea~ 4R6    takeout       no         no    yes       no     tempora~
## 3   116 trea~ 1N4    both          no         no    yes       no     tempora~
## 4   140 trea~ 1B0    takeout       no        nona~  no        yes    tempora~
## 5   147 trea~ 0A1    takeout       no         no    no        yes    tempora~
## 6   186 trea~ 1M0    both          no         no    no        yes    tempora~

```

```
## 7 260 trea~ 1L0 both no no no yes tempora~
## 8 278 trea~ 4K1 takeout no no yes no none
## 9 279 trea~ 0N takeout no no yes no none
## 10 302 trea~ 4B7 both no no no yes tempora~
## # ... with 1,996 more rows, and 1 more variable: revenue <dbl>
```

## 7 Number of employees

\$82,000 in yearly sales (gross revenue) per full-time equivalent employee (“2019 Restaurant Industry Factbook” 2019)

Therefore 6,833 monthly revenue per full-time equivalent employee.

In January 2021, there were 2187300 full time sales and service employees in Canada and 1164000 part time sales and service employees (“Average Usual Hours and Wages by Selected Characteristics, Monthly, Unadjusted for Seasonality (X 1,000)” 2021). Therefore there are 1.9 full time employees per part time employee. Part time employees in service and sales averaged 16.6 hours per week. We will assume that 2 PTE = 1 FTE, or 1 PTE = 0.5 FTE. Therefore, for every 1.9 + 1 employees, there are 1.9 + 1\*0.5 FTE. For every 2.9 employees, there are 2.4 FTE.

2.4 FTE -> 1.9 FT + 1 PT.  $0.79 = 1.9 / 2.4 = 1.9 / (1.9 + 0.5)$   $0.42 = 1 / 2.4 = 0.42 = 1 / (1.9 + 0.5)$

### 7.1 Survey 1

```
set.seed(19893)
survey_1 <- survey_1 %>%
  mutate(fte = revenue / 6833) %>%
  mutate(ft = round(fte * 0.79)) %>%
  mutate(pt = round(fte * 0.42)) %>%
  select(-fte)

survey_1 <- bind_rows(
  survey_1 %>% filter(ft < 1) %>%
    mutate(ft = 1),
  survey_1 %>% filter(ft >= 1)
)

survey_1

## # A tibble: 2,006 x 11
##       ID group verify service_type disability woman indigenous visible revenue
##   <dbl> <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <dbl>
## 1 2 trea~ 0N both no no no no 87879.
## 2 7 trea~ 3Y3 both no no no no 47324.
## 3 8 trea~ 1K0 both no no no no 52722.
## 4 10 trea~ 2J4 takeout no no no no 108558.
## 5 12 trea~ 1E5 both no no no yes 73843.
## 6 18 trea~ 1S0 both no yes no no 106363.
## 7 20 trea~ 5S6 takeout no yes no no 81652.
## 8 22 trea~ 7K6 dinein no no no no 80808.
## 9 24 trea~ 2G3 takeout no no no no 58740.
## 10 25 trea~ 6S4 takeout no no no no 35745.
## # ... with 1,996 more rows, and 2 more variables: ft <dbl>, pt <dbl>
```

## 7.2 Survey 2

For the second survey, we will assume that treatment restaurants shifted towards part time random amounts—that is, the number of full time employees per part time employee dropped from 1.9.  $X$  is some number less than or equal to 1.9.  $x / (x + 0.5) \cdot 1 / (x + 0.5)$

```
set.seed(19893)
survey_2 <- survey_2 %>%
  mutate(fte = revenue / 6833) %>%
  mutate(ptincrease = 1.9 * (1 - abs(rnorm(num_total, 0, sd = 0.1)))) %>%
  mutate(ft = round(fte * (ptincrease / (ptincrease + 0.5)))) %>%
  mutate(pt = round(fte * (1 / (ptincrease + 0.5)))) %>%
  select(-fte, -ptincrease)

survey_2 <- bind_rows(
  survey_2 %>% filter(ft < 1) %>%
    mutate(ft = 1),
  survey_2 %>% filter(ft >= 1)
)

survey_2
```

```
## # A tibble: 2,006 x 12
##       ID group verify service_type disability woman indigenous visible shutdown
##   <dbl> <chr> <chr>   <chr>         <chr>      <chr> <chr>      <chr>   <chr>
## 1    12 trea~ 1E5    both          no         no    no        yes    tempora~
## 2    65 trea~ 4R6    takeout       no         no    yes       no     tempora~
## 3   116 trea~ 1N4    both          no         no    yes       no     tempora~
## 4   140 trea~ 1B0    takeout       no         nona~ no        yes    tempora~
## 5   147 trea~ 0A1    takeout       no         no    no        yes    tempora~
## 6   186 trea~ 1M0    both          no         no    no        yes    tempora~
## 7   260 trea~ 1L0    both          no         no    no        yes    tempora~
## 8   278 trea~ 4K1    takeout       no         no    yes       no     none
## 9   279 trea~ 0N     takeout       no         no    yes       no     none
## 10  302 trea~ 4B7    both          no         no    no        yes    tempora~
## # ... with 1,996 more rows, and 3 more variables: revenue <dbl>, ft <dbl>,
## #   pt <dbl>
```

## 8 Non-response

A professional mail survey with follow up found a non-response rate of 61% (Suominen et al. 2012). We will also assume that some people will mail in answers and fill in the form incompletely.

```
num_cols_1 = 11

set.seed(19893)
survey_1 <- survey_1 %>%
  mutate(nonresponse = sample(c("yes", "partial", "no"), size = num_total, prob = c(0.6, 0.01, 0.39), replace = TRUE))
  filter(nonresponse != "no")

set.seed(19893)
survey_1 <- survey_1 %>%
  mutate(which_partial = sample(c(4:num_cols_1), size = first(count(survey_1)), replace = TRUE))

for (i in c(1:as.numeric(count(survey_1)))){
```



```

  if (survey_1[i, "nonresponse"] == "partial") {
    survey_1[i, as.numeric(survey_1[i, "which_partial"])] <- NA
  }
}

```

```

survey_1 <- survey_1 %>%
  select(-nonresponse, -which_partial)

```

```
survey_1
```

```
## # A tibble: 1,222 x 11
```

```

##      ID group verify service_type disability woman indigenous visible revenue
##      <dbl> <chr> <chr> <chr>      <chr>      <chr> <chr>      <chr>      <dbl>
##  1      7  trea~ 3Y3    both        no        no    no        no        47324.
##  2     18  trea~ 1S0    both        no        yes   no        no       106363.
##  3     20  trea~ 5S6    takeout     no        yes   no        no       81652.
##  4     22  trea~ 7K6    dinein      no        no    no        no       80808.
##  5     27  trea~ 5K5    both        no        no    no        no       64689.
##  6     30  trea~ 2N2    both        no        no    no        no       75411.
##  7     35  trea~ 2M0    takeout     no        no    no        no       63196.
##  8     36  trea~ 1R3    takeout     no        no    no        no       50262.
##  9     40  trea~ 6X4    both        no        yes   no        no      148371.
## 10     42  trea~ 1S0    both        no        no    no        no      106705.

```

```
## # ... with 1,212 more rows, and 2 more variables: ft <dbl>, pt <dbl>
```

```
num_cols_2 = 12
```

```
set.seed(19894)
```

```
survey_2 <- survey_2 %>%
```

```
  mutate(nonresponse = sample(c("yes", "partial", "no"), size = num_total, prob = c(0.6, 0.01, 0.39), rep = TRUE),
  filter(nonresponse != "no")

```

```
set.seed(19894)
```

```
survey_2 <- survey_2 %>%
```

```
  mutate(which_partial = sample(c(4:num_cols_1), size = first(count(survey_2)), replace = TRUE))

```

```

for (i in c(1:as.numeric(count(survey_2)))){
  if (survey_2[i, "nonresponse"] == "partial") {
    survey_2[i, as.numeric(survey_2[i, "which_partial"])] <- NA
  }
}

```

```

survey_2 <- survey_2 %>%
  select(-nonresponse, -which_partial)

```

```
survey_2
```

```
## # A tibble: 1,235 x 12
```

```

##      ID group verify service_type disability woman indigenous visible shutdown
##      <dbl> <chr> <chr> <chr>      <chr>      <chr> <chr>      <chr>      <chr>
##  1     65  trea~ 4R6    takeout     no        no    yes       no    tempora~
##  2    116  trea~ 1N4    both        no        no    yes       no    tempora~
##  3    147  trea~ 0A1    takeout     no        no    no        yes    tempora~
##  4    260  trea~ 1L0    both        no        no    no        yes    tempora~

```

```
## 5 385 trea~ 1L0 both no no no yes tempora~
## 6 394 trea~ 5M8 takeout no no no yes tempora~
## 7 398 trea~ 0N both no no no yes tempora~
## 8 399 trea~ 1W0 both no no no yes tempora~
## 9 437 trea~ 2M4 both no no no yes tempora~
## 10 470 trea~ 1A0 takeout no no no yes tempora~
## # ... with 1,225 more rows, and 3 more variables: revenue <dbl>, ft <dbl>,
## # pt <dbl>
```

```
write_csv(survey_1, here("outputs/data/survey_1.csv"))
write_csv(survey_2, here("outputs/data/survey_2.csv"))
```

## References

- “2019 Restaurant Industry Factbook.” 2019. National Restaurant Organization. [https://restaurant.org/downloads/pdfs/research/soi/restaurant\\_industry\\_fact\\_sheet\\_2019.pdf](https://restaurant.org/downloads/pdfs/research/soi/restaurant_industry_fact_sheet_2019.pdf).
- “Average Usual Hours and Wages by Selected Characteristics, Monthly, Unadjusted for Seasonality (X 1,000).” 2021. Statistics Canada. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.25318/1410032001-eng>.
- “Business or Organization Revenue from August 2020 Compared with August 2019, by Business Characteristics.” 2021. Statistics Canada. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.25318/3310028101-eng>.
- “Canadian Business Counts, with Employees, December 2019.” 2021. Statistics Canada. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.25318/3310022201-eng>.
- “Canadian Business Counts, Without Employees, December 2019.” 2021. Statistics Canada. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.25318/3310022301-eng>.
- “Census Profile, 2016 Census.” 2017. Statistics Canada. <https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2016/dp-pd/prof/index.cfm?Lang=E>.
- Dixon, Vince. 2020. “By the Numbers: COVID-19’s Devastating Effect on the Restaurant Industry.” <https://www.eater.com/2020/3/24/21184301/restaurant-industry-data-impact-covid-19-coronavirus>.
- “Download Yelp Dataset.” 2019. Yelp Inc. <https://www.yelp.com/dataset/download>.
- “Food Services and Drinking Places, Summary Statistics.” 2021. Statistics Canada. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.25318/2110017101-eng>.
- “Impact of Covid-19 on Business or Organization Status, by Business Characteristics.” 2021. Statistics Canada. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.25318/3310027601-eng>.
- “Kaggle Restaurant Revenue Prediction.” 2019. <http://www.mbel.io/2019/08/23/kaggle-restaurant-revenue-prediction/>.
- “Number and Proportion of Visible Minority Population in Canada, 1981 to 2036.” 2017. Statistics Canada. <https://www.statcan.gc.ca/eng/dai/btd/othervisuals/other010>.
- “SME Profile: Ownership Demographics Statistics.” 2020. Innovation, Science; Economic Development Canada. [https://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/061.nsf/eng/h\\_03115.html](https://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/061.nsf/eng/h_03115.html).
- Sparks, Hannah. 2020. “More Than Half of Us Restaurant Closures Are Permanent Due to Coronavirus Study.” <https://nypost.com/2020/06/30/more-than-half-of-us-restaurants-closed-due-to-covid-19-study/>.
- Suominen, Sakari, Karoliina Koskenvuo, Lauri Sillanmäki, Jussi Vahtera, Katariina Korkeila, Mika Kivimäki, Kari J Mattila, et al. 2012. “Non-Response in a Nationwide Follow-up Postal Survey in Finland: A Register-Based Mortality Analysis of Respondents and Non-Respondents of the Health and Social Support (Hessup) Study.” *BMJ Open* 2 (2). <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2011-000657>.
- “Table a-1 Counts of Businesses Per 1,000 Residents by Province/Territory and Industry.” 2019. Statistics Canada. <https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/18-001-x/2019002/app-ann/app-ann-tbla1-eng.htm>.