

This week's notes are about the **theory** of integration; the notation and details will be complicated, but we will NOT be using most of it for computation (we will compute integrals in week 4 notes). The important thing to understand is this overall “story”:

- Informally, the definite integral is the **area under a graph** (p5-11, §5.2 in textbook).
- The definite integral is defined to be a **limit** of something called a **Riemann sum**, and is painfully hard to compute by hand (p12, §5.3-5.4 in textbook).
- The **Fundamental Theorem of Calculus** (FTC) says that a definite integral of  $f$  can be **calculated using its antiderivative** (i.e. by finding a function  $F$  with  $f = \frac{dF}{dx}$ ). This is much easier than using the definition (p , §5.5 in textbook).
- Many interesting geometric quantities are limits of Riemann sums. By rewriting these as **multiple integrals** and using FTC, we can evaluate some of them using antiderivatives (week 5 notes, §14 in textbook).

This story is extremely important because **only a tiny proportion of elementary functions have elementary antiderivates**. (An elementary function is a function that is “built out of”  $x^n, e^x, \ln x, \sin x, \cos x$ .) In other words, the integral of most familiar functions is something that we do not have a name for. So, in almost all applications, functions are **integrated numerically using Riemann sums**.

## Sigma notation for sums (§5.1)

Integration is about adding many things together, so it's useful to have some notation for sums.

**Definition:** If  $m$  and  $n$  are integers with  $m \leq n$ , and  $f$  is a function defined at  $m, m+1, \dots, n$ , then

$$\sum_{i=m}^n f(i) = f(m) + f(m+1) + \cdots + f(n).$$

In this formula,  $i$  is the *index of summation*,  $m$  is the *lower limit* and  $n$  is the *upper limit*. Note that the index of summation  $i$  is a “dummy variable” and can be changed without changing the value of the sum, i.e.  $\sum_{i=m}^n f(i) = \sum_{j=m}^n f(j)$ .

**Examples:**

$$\sum_{i=2}^5 i^2 = 2^2 + 3^2 + 4^2 + 5^2.$$

$i=2 \quad i=2 \quad i=3 \quad i=4 \quad i=5$

$$\sum_{j=5}^n jx^j = 5x^5 + 6x^6 + \cdots + (n-1)x^{n-1} + nx^n.$$

**Definition:** If  $m$  and  $n$  are integers with  $m \leq n$ , and  $f$  is a function defined at  $m, m + 1, \dots, n$ , then

$$\sum_{i=m}^n f(i) = f(m) + f(m+1) + \dots + f(n).$$

The function  $f(i)$  can itself be a sum (with a different index of summation) - in

the example below,  $f(i) = \sum_{j=2}^4 \frac{x^i}{i+j}$ .

**Example:**

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=3}^4 \sum_{j=2}^4 \frac{x^i}{i+j} &= \sum_{i=3}^4 \frac{x^i}{i+2} + \frac{x^i}{i+3} + \frac{x^i}{i+4} \\ &= \frac{x^3}{3+2} + \frac{x^3}{3+3} + \frac{x^3}{3+4} + \frac{x^4}{4+2} + \frac{x^4}{4+3} + \frac{x^4}{4+4}. \end{aligned}$$

$i=3$   
 $j=2$

$i=3$   
 $j=3$

$i=3$   
 $j=4$

$i=4$   
 $j=2$

$i=4$   
 $j=3$

$i=4$   
 $j=4$

Some properties of sums:

- If  $A$  and  $B$  are constants, then  $\sum_{i=m}^n (Af(i) + Bg(i)) = A \sum_{i=m}^n f(i) + B \sum_{i=m}^n g(i)$ ;


**Example:**  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{i^2 + i}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^n i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{i^2 + i}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n i$

- $\sum_{i=1}^n 1 = \underbrace{\overset{i=1}{1} + \overset{i=2}{1} + \dots + \overset{i=n}{1}}_{n \text{ times}} = n.$


**Example:** Combining the two properties,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1 = \frac{1}{n} n = 1.$

## §5.2: Area under a graph

Suppose we want to find the area of the region bounded by the lines  $x = 0$ ,  $x = 1$ ,  $y = 0$  and the graph of  $f(x) = x^2 + 1$ .



A first step might be to approximate the region by this rectangle:




Approximate area  
= width  $\times$  height =  $1f(1) = 2$ .

We obtain a better approximation by using two rectangles:


Approximate area

$$= \frac{1}{2} f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} f(1) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{5}{4} + \frac{1}{2} 2 = 1.625.$$



We have an even better approximation using four rectangles:


$$\frac{1}{4} f\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{4} f\left(\frac{2}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{4} f\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{4} f(1) = 1.46875.$$



The approximate area using  $n$  rectangles is

$$\frac{1}{n}f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{n}f\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) + \dots + \frac{1}{n}f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) + \dots + \frac{1}{n}f(1) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n}f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right),$$

because the  $i$ th rectangle has width  $\frac{1}{n}$  and height  $f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right)$ .



Remembering  $f(x) = x^2 + 1$ , this approximate area is:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left( \left( \frac{i}{n} \right)^2 + 1 \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{i^2}{n^3} + \frac{1}{n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{i^2}{n^3} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^3} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 \right) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

because of  
the properties  
of sums (p4)

From the last page: the approximate area using  $n$  rectangles is  $\left( \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 \right) + 1$ .

**Fact:**  $\sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}.$

(This formula is unimportant for the rest of the class so we will not prove it, see §5.1 Theorem 1c in textbook.)

So the approximate area using  $n$  rectangles is

$$\frac{1}{n^3} \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} + 1 = \frac{4}{3} + \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{6n^2}.$$

Because our approximation becomes more and more accurate as we use more and more rectangles, the true area must be the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4}{3} + \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{6n^2} = \frac{4}{3}$$

(This type of computation is important theoretically, but we will rarely compute like this.)



In general, to find the area under the graph of a continuous, positive function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

1. Divide  $[a, b]$  into  $n$  subintervals by choosing  $x_i$  satisfying  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b$ . Let  $\Delta x_i = x_i - x_{i-1}$ .
2. Consider the  $i$ th approximating rectangle: its width is  $\Delta x_i$  and its height is  $f(x_i)$ .
3. So the total area of the approximating rectangles is


$$\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i f(x_i).$$

This type of sum is a *Riemann sum*

4. If all  $\Delta x_i$  are equal, then the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i f(x_i)$


will exist and is the area under the graph.

(If the  $\Delta x_i$  are not all equal, then we have to choose  $x_i$  carefully.)



**Example:** Consider the function  $f : [0, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $f(x) = 2 + \cos x$ .

- Use a Riemann sum with 3 subintervals of equal width to approximate the area under the graph of  $f$ .
- Express the exact area under the graph of  $f$  as a limit of a Riemann sum.



**Answer:**

- To divide  $[0, 2]$  into 3 subintervals of equal width, take  $\Delta x_i = \frac{2}{3}$ , so  $x_0 = a = 0$ ,  $x_1 = \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $x_2 = \frac{4}{3}$ ,  $x_3 = b = 2$ . So the Riemann sum is

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \Delta x_i f(x_i) = \frac{2}{3} \left( 2 + \cos \frac{2}{3} \right) + \frac{2}{3} \left( 2 + \cos \frac{4}{3} \right) + \frac{2}{3} (2 + \cos 2).$$

- To divide  $[0, 2]$  into  $n$  subintervals of equal width, take  $\Delta x_i = \frac{2}{n}$ , so  $x_i = \frac{2}{n}i$ .

So the area under the graph is  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i f(x_i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2}{n} \left( 2 + \cos \frac{2i}{n} \right).$

Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous, positive function, and  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b$  a division of  $[a, b]$  into  $n$  subintervals of equal width  $\Delta x_i$ . We saw (p9) that the area


under the graph of  $f$  is  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i f(x_i)$ .

Actually, we can calculate the area as the limit of the

more general Riemann sum  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i f(x_i^*)$ , where

$x_i^*$  is any point in the interval  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ . The intuition is that, when  $n$  is sufficiently big, the interval  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  is very small, so  $f$  does not change much within the interval, and which value of  $f$  we use as the

height of the approximating rectangles will not make much difference.




## §5.3-5.4: The Definite Integral

For functions  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  taking both positive and negative values, the Riemann sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i f(x_i^*)$  is still defined. But what does this mean when  $f$  is negative?

To answer this, suppose  $f(x_3^*) = -2$  in the diagrammed example.


Then the 3rd term in the Riemann sum is  $\Delta x_3(-2)$ .

The height of the 3rd (blue) rectangle in the diagram is 2. So its area is  $\Delta x_3 2$ , the negative of the 3rd term in the Riemann sum.






So the Riemann sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i f(x_i^*)$  is the area of the grey rectangles, which are above the  $x$ -axis and below the graph, minus the area of the blue rectangles, which are below the  $x$ -axis and above the graph.



So the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i f(x_i^*)$  is the **signed area**: the total area below the graph and above the  $x$ -axis, minus the total area above the graph and below the  $x$ -axis.



The signed area is an interesting quantity: for example, if  $f$  is velocity, then the signed area is the change in position. So let's define this to be the integral.

**Definition:** Let  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b$  be a division of  $[a, b]$  into  $n$  subintervals of equal width  $\Delta x_i$ , and let  $x_i^*$  be a point in  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ . A function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *integrable* if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i f(x_i^*)$  exists and is independent of the choice of  $x_i^*$  in  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ . The value of this limit is the *integral of  $f$  on  $[a, b]$*  (or the integral of  $f$  from  $a$  to  $b$ ):

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i f(x_i^*).$$

It is hard to use this definition to prove that a function is integrable. Luckily, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 2: Continuous functions are integrable:** If  $f$  is (piecewise) continuous on  $[a, b]$ , then  $f$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

Terminology of the various parts of the integral symbol  $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ :


- $\int$  is the *integral sign* - it is a long S for “sum”.
- $a$  is the *lower limit of integration* and  $b$  is the *upper limit of integration*.
- $f$  is the *integrand*, the function that is being integrated.
- $dx$  tells us that the *variable of integration* is  $x$ . The variable of integration is a dummy variable like the index of summation (p2), we can change it without changing the value of the definite integral, e.g.  $\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b f(t) dt$ .

Important:

- The definite integral is a **number**, not a function.
- The symbol  $\int f(x) dx$ , without any limits of integration, is the *indefinite integral* or antiderivative. It is a **function** of  $x$ , whose derivative is  $f$ . At the moment we do not know that it is related to the definite integral.



**Example:** By drawing a graph and using geometry, determine  $\int_1^2 2 - x \, dx$ .



It will be useful to define  $\int_a^b f(x) dx$  when  $a > b$ , so we can put variables in the limits of the integral without worrying about which limit is bigger (e.g. p21). The convention which makes all our later theorems work is

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = - \int_b^a f(x) dx,$$

i.e. reversing the limits of integration changes the sign of the integral.

Important properties of the definite integral (the labelling follows §5.4 Theorem 3 in textbook):

c. An integral depends **linearly on the integrand**: if  $A$  and  $B$  are constants, then 
$$\int_a^b Af(x) + Bg(x) dx = A \int_a^b f(x) dx + B \int_a^b g(x) dx.$$
 This comes from the corresponding property of Riemann sums (p4).


d. An integral depends **additively on the interval of integration**:

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx + \int_b^c f(x) dx = \int_a^c f(x) dx.$$

For the case  $a < b < c$ , this is believable from thinking about integrals as signed areas. When  $a, b, c$  are in another order, we need to use identity/definition from the previous page.

We can deduce from d. that


a. 
$$\int_a^a f(x) dx = 0.$$



The following two properties shows how to use symmetry to simplify some integrals (the labelling follows §5.4 Theorem 3 in textbook):

g. If  $f$  is an **odd** function ( $f(-x) = -f(x)$ ),  
then  $\int_{-a}^a f(x) dx = 0$ .

h. If  $f$  is an **even** function ( $f(-x) = f(x)$ ),  
then  $\int_{-a}^a f(x) dx = 2 \int_0^a f(x) dx$ .



# §5.5: The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

This important theorem is in two parts:

**Theorem 5: Fundamental Theorem of Calculus (FTC):** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a **continuous** function.

FTC1. The cumulative area function  $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$  is differentiable, and is an **antiderivative** of  $f$ , i.e.  $F'(x) = f(x)$ .

FTC2. If  $G : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is **any antiderivative** of  $f$  (i.e.  $G'(x) = f(x)$ ), then


$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = G(b) - G(a).$$

FTC1 explains how to differentiate a cumulative area function, and is mainly for theoretical use.

FTC2 explains how to compute a definite integral if you can find the antiderivative of the integrand - this will be very useful to us.

FTC1 will be “obvious” if we understand the cumulative area function


$$F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt.$$




First note that such a function is defined whether  $x \geq a$  or  $x < a$ , because of our definition / identity (p18) that reversing the limits of an integral changes its sign.

Despite the slightly scary formula, cumulative area functions are very natural: for example, if  $f(t)$  is the rate that a company is earning money at time  $t$ , then  $F(x)$  is the total money earned from time  $a$  to time  $x$ . (Cumulative area functions are also very important in probability.)

Suppose this is the graph of  $f : [1, 4] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :



Let's sketch its cumulative area function  $F(x) = \int_1^x f(t) dt$ .



- $F(1) = \int_1^1 f(t) dt = 0$  by the properties of definite integrals.
- $F(2) = \int_1^2 f(t) dt = A_1$ , which is a positive number.
- $F(3) = \int_1^3 f(t) dt = A_1 + A_2$ . Since  $A_2 > 0$ , we must have  $F(3) > F(2)$ , but  $A_2 < A_1$  so the increase in  $F$  between 2 and 3 is less than it was between 1 and 2.
- $F(4) = \int_1^4 f(t) dt = A_1 + A_2 - A_3$ , so  $F(4) < F(3)$ .

Observe that we were sketching  $F(x)$  by considering the increase or decrease of  $F$ , i.e. the derivative of  $F$ . This derivative is:

$$F'(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x+h) - F(x)}{h} \quad \text{definition of derivative}$$



$$= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \left[ \int_a^{x+h} f(t) dt - \int_a^x f(t) dt \right] \quad \text{definition of } F$$

$$= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \left[ \left( \int_a^x f(t) dt + \int_x^{x+h} f(t) dt \right) - \int_a^x f(t) dt \right] \quad \text{additive dependence on the domain of integration (d, p19)}$$

$$= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} f(t) dt.$$

By the Mean Value Theorem for Integrals (later, §5.4), there is a number  $c \in [x, x+h]$  such that  $\int_x^{x+h} f(t) dt = hf(c)$ . So

$$F'(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} hf(c) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(c) = f(x).$$





The previous page proved FTC1:  $F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$  is an antiderivative of  $f$ .

Now we use FTC1 to prove FTC2:  $\int_a^b f(t) dt = G(b) - G(a)$  for any antiderivative  $G$  of  $f$ .

Because  $G$  and  $F$  are both antiderivatives of  $f$ , we must have  $F(x) = G(x) + C$  for some constant  $C$ .

So  $\int_a^b f(t) dt = F(b)$

definition of  $F$

$$= F(b) - F(a)$$

because  $F(a) = \int_a^a f(t) dt = 0$

$$= (G(b) + C) - (G(a) + C) \quad \text{using } F(x) = G(x) + C$$

$$= G(b) - G(a).$$

To simplify the notation when using FTC2, we write  $F(x)|_a^b$  to mean  $F(b) - F(a)$ . (The alternative notation  $[F(x)]_a^b$  will also be accepted.)

Recall that the symbol  $\int f(x) dx$  means the general antiderivative of  $f$ . So FTC2

says 
$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \left( \int f(x) dx \right) \Big|_a^b.$$

**Redo Example:** (Q1 ex. sheet #5) Compute  $\int_{-3}^1 2x dx$  using FTC2.

**Redo Example:** (p5-8) Compute  $\int_0^1 x^2 + 1 \, dx$  using FTC2.

**Redo Example:** (p10) Compute  $\int_0^2 2 + \cos x \, dx$  using FTC2.

As the previous examples showed, it's useful to know some common, simple antiderivatives:

$$\int x^r dx = \frac{x^{r+1}}{r+1} + C \text{ if } r \neq -1.$$

$$\int \sin x dx = -\cos x + C.$$

$$\int \cos x dx = \sin x + C.$$

$$\int e^x dx = e^x + C.$$

$$\int \frac{1}{x} dx = \ln |x| + C.$$

These can be proved by differentiating the right hand side, e.g. for the last line:

if  $x > 0$ , then  $\ln |x| = \ln x$ , and  $\frac{d}{dx} \ln x = \frac{1}{x}$ .

if  $x < 0$ , then  $\ln |x| = \ln(-x)$ , and  $\frac{d}{dx} \ln(-x) = \frac{1}{-x}(-1) = \frac{1}{x}$ .

Some other useful antiderivatives that will be provided to you in exams:

$$\int \sec^2 x \, dx = \tan x + C,$$

$$\int \csc^2 x \, dx = -\cot x + C,$$

$$\int \sec x \tan x \, dx = \sec x + C,$$

$$\int \csc x \cot x \, dx = -\csc x + C,$$

$$\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \, dx = \sin^{-1} x + C,$$

$$\int \frac{1}{1+x^2} \, dx = \tan^{-1} x + C.$$

These can be proved by differentiating the right hand sides:  
the first four use the quotient rule (see §3.2 of textbook),  
the last two use implicit differentiation (see §3.5 of textbook).

**Warning:** FTC2 only works for **continuous** integrands. For example, it cannot be applied to  $\frac{1}{x^2}$  on an interval containing 0, where the function is not defined.

$\int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{x^2} dx \neq \left( \frac{-1}{x} \right) \Big|_{-1}^1 = -2$  - we will see (§6.5) that the associated area is in fact infinite.

(Integrals like these, on an interval containing points where the integrand is not defined, are called **improper integrals**. These regions do sometimes have finite area - we will explore this later.)

