$$\mathbf{FACT}\colon \mathsf{Let}\ A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\label{eq:factorization}$$
 i) if $ad-bc \neq 0$, then A is invertible and $A^{-1} =$


What is the mysterious quantity ad-bc? ii) if ad - bc = 0, then A is not invertible,


§3.1-3.3: Determinants

Conceptually, the determinant detA of a square $n \times n$ matrix A is the signed area/volume scaling factor of the linear transformation $T(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$, i.e.:

- For any region S in \mathbb{R}^n , the volume of its image T(S) is $|\det A|$ multiplied by the original volume of S,
 - If $\det A>0$, then T does not change "orientation". If $\det A<0$, then Tchanges "orientation".

Example: Area of ellipse $= \det \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} \times$ area of unit circle $= ab\pi$.





multivariate

calculus.

This idea is useful in Semester 1 2016, Week 6, Page 2 of 17

HKBU Math 2207 Linear Algebra

Formula for 2×2 matrix: $\det \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = ad - bc$.


determinant is $1 \cdot 0 - 0 \cdot 0 = 0$. Projection sends the unit square to a line, which **Example**: The standard matrix of projection onto the x_1 -axis is $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Its has zero area.

Example: The standard matrix for reflection through the x_2 -axis is $egin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Formula for 2×2 matrix: $\det \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = ad - bc$.

Its determinant is $-1 \cdot 1 - 0 \cdot 0 = -1$: reflection does not change area, but

changes orientation.





Theorem: A is invertible if and only if $\det A \neq 0$.

Semester 1 2016, Week 6, Page 3 of 17

HKBU Math 2207 Linear Algebra

Exercise: Guess what the determinant of a rotation matrix is, and check your answer.

HKBU Math 2207 Linear Algebra

Semester 1 2016, Week 6, Page 4 of 17

Calculating Determinants

Notation: A_{ij} is the submatrix obtained from matrix A by deleting the ith row and jth column of A.

EXAMPLE:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 \end{bmatrix} \qquad A_{23} = \begin{bmatrix} \\ \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

Recall that
$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = ad - bc$$
 and we let $\det[a] = a$.

For $n \ge 2$, the **determinant** of an $n \times n$ matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ is given by

$$\det A = a_{11} \det A_{11} - a_{12} \det A_{12} + \dots + (-1)^{1+n} a_{1n} \det A_{1n}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{1+ij} a_{1j} \det A_{1j}$$

EXAMPLE: Compute the determinant of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

EXAMPLE:

It's easy to compute the determinant of a triangular matrix:

EXAMPLE:

THEOREM 2: If A is a triangular matrix, then $\det A$ is the product of the diagonal entries of A.

THEOREM 1 The determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix A can be computed by expanding across any row or down any column:

$$\begin{split} \det A &= (-1)^{i+j} a_{i1} \det A_{i1} + (-1)^{i+2} a_{i2} \det A_{i2} + \dots + (-1)^{i+\eta} a_{in} \det A_{in} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} a_{ij} \det A_{ij} \qquad (\text{expansion across row } i) \\ \det A &= (-1)^{l+j} a_{ij} \det A_{ij} + (-1)^{2+j} a_{i2} \det A_{2j} + \dots + (-1)^{n+j} a_{nj} \det A_{nj} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} a_{ij} \det A_{ij} \qquad (\text{expansion down column } j) \end{split}$$
 Use a matrix of signs to determine $(-1)^{i+j} = \begin{bmatrix} + & - & + & \dots \\ - & + & - & \dots \\ + & - & + & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$

EXAMPLE: An easier way to compute the determinant of
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- $R_i \to R_i + cR_j$ Replacement: add a multiple of one row to another row. determinant does not change.
- Interchange: interchange two rows. determinant changes sign.

 $R_i \to R_j$, $R_j \to R_i$

Scaling: multiply all entries in a row by a nonzero constant. $R_i
ightarrow c R_i, \, c
eq 0$ determinant scales by a factor of c. ∾.

To help you remember:

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1, \quad \begin{vmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1, \quad \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = -1, \quad \begin{vmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = c.$$

Because we can compute the determinant by expanding down columns instead of across rows, the same changes hold for "column operations"

Semester 1 2016, Week 6, Page 8 of 17

- Replacement: $R_i \rightarrow R_i + cR_j$ determinant does not change.
- 2. Interchange: $R_i \to R_j$, $R_j \to R_i$ determinant changes sign. 3. Scaling: $R_i \to cR_i$, $c \neq 0$ determinant scales by a factor of
- Scaling: $R_i
 ightarrow c R_i, \ c
 eq 0$ determinant scales by a factor of c.

Usually we compute determinants using a mixture of "expanding across a row or down a column with many zeroes" and "row reducing to a triangular matrix".

Example:

HKBU Math 2207 Linear Algebra

Semester 1 2016, Week 6, Page 9 of 17

Why does the determinant change like this under row and column operations? Two views:

Either: It is a consequence of the expansion formula in Theorem 1;

Or: By thinking about the signed volume of the image of the unit cube under the associated linear transformation:

2. Interchanging columns changes the orientation of the image of the unit cube. 3. Scaling a column applies an expansion to one side of the image of the unit cube.

Proof: Use a replacement row operation to make one of the rows into a row of

zeroes, then expand along that row.

Example:

Useful fact: If two rows of A are multiples of each other, then $\det A=0$.


2. Interchange: $R_i \to R_j$, $R_j \to R_i$ determinant changes sign. 3. Scaling: $R_i \to cR_i$, $c \neq 0$ determinant scales by a factor of c.

1. Replacement: $R_i \to R_i + cR_j$ determinant does not change.

- 1. Column replacement rearranges the image of the unit cube without changing its

 $\begin{vmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{vmatrix} = 0 \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 9 & 3 \end{vmatrix} - 0 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 5 & 3 \end{vmatrix} + 0 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 5 & 9 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$

 $\begin{array}{c|c} R_3 \to R_3 - 2R_1 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 5 & 9 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 6 & 8 \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{c|c} R_3 \to R_1 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$



Semester 1 2016, Week 6, Page 10 of 17

HKBU Math 2207 Linear Algebra

Semester 1 2016, Week 6, Page 11 of 17

replacement

· ω ∞

HKBU Math 2207 Linear Algebra

Properties of the determinant:

 $\det(A^T) = \det A.$

Theorem 6: Determinants are multiplicatve:

$$det(AB) = det A det B.$$

In particular:

$$\det(A^{-1}) = \cdots \qquad \det(cA)$$

Semester 1 2016, Week 6, Page 12 of 17

HKBU Math 2207 Linear Algebra

Properties of the determinant:

Theorem 4: Invertibility and determinants: A square matrix A is invertible if and only if $\det A \neq 0$.


Proof 1: By the Invertible Matrix Theorem, A is invertible if and only if $\operatorname{rref}(A)$ has $\det A=0$ if and only if $\det(\operatorname{rref}(A))=0$, which happens precisely when $\operatorname{rref}(A)$ has n pivots. Row operations multiply the determinant by nonzero numbers. So ewer than n pivots.

Proof 2: By the Invertible Matrix Theorem, A is invertible if and only if its columns span \mathbb{R}^n . Since the image of the unit cube is a subset of the span of the columns, this image has zero volume if the columns do not span \mathbb{R}^n .

So we can use determinants to test whether $\{\mathbf{v}_1,\dots,\mathbf{v}_n\}$ in \mathbb{R}^n is linearly independent, or if it spans \mathbb{R}^n : it does when $\det\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{v}_n \\ 1 & \dots & \mathbf{v}_n \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$.

HKBU Math 2207 Linear Algebra

Other applications: finding volumes of regions with determinants $\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$



 $\mathbf{x}\mapsto\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{v}$, where \mathbf{v} is one of the vertices of the vertices of the parallelogram to the origin - this Answer: Use a translation to move one of the The formula for this translation function is does not change the area.


Here, the vertices of the translated parallelogram are $\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. So, by the previous example, the area of the parallelogram is 12. Semester 1 2016, Week 6, Page 15 of 17

parallelogram.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}^- \begin{bmatrix} -1 \end{bmatrix}^- \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $\begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}^- \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}^- \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}^- \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}^-$ So, by the previous example, the area of the parallelogram is 12.

Other applications: finding volumes of regions with determinants

Example: Find the area of the parallelogram with vertices $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.




Answer: This parallelogram is the image of the unit square under a linear transformation T with $T(\mathbf{e}_1) = \begin{bmatrix} -2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$ and $T(\mathbf{e}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 4\\-3 \end{bmatrix}$.

$$T(\mathbf{e}_1) = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } T(\mathbf{e}_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 So area of parallelogram =
$$\begin{vmatrix} -2 & 4 \\ 3 & -3 \end{vmatrix} \times \text{area of unit square} = |-12| \cdot 1 = 12.$$

This works for any parallelogram where the origin is one of the vertices (and also in \mathbb{R}^3 , for parallelopipeds).

Semester 1 2016, Week 6, Page 14 of 17

Cramer's rule: Let A be an invertible $n \times n$ matrix with columns $\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_n$. For any \mathbf{b} in \mathbb{R}^n , the unique solution \mathbf{x} of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is given by



HKBU Math 2207 Linear Algebra

this is x_i - expand along ith row

Semester 1 2016, Week 6, Page 16 of 17

Theorem 8 in textbook; this formula is called the adjugate or classical adjoint) Applying Cramer's rule to ${\bf b}={\bf e}_i$ gives a formula for each entry of A^{-1} (see

The
$$2 \times 2$$
 case of this formula is $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$.

Cramer's rule is much slower than row-reduction for linear systems with actual numbers, but is useful for obtaining theoretical results. **Example**: If every entry of A is an integer and $\det A = 1$ or -1, then every entry of A^{-1} is an integer.

integer matrix divided by $\det A$. And the determinant of an integer matrix is an Proof: Cramer's rule tells us that every entry of ${\cal A}^{-1}$ is the determinant of an integer. Exercise: using the fact $\det AB = \det A \det B$, prove the converse (if every entry of A and of A^{-1} is an integer, then $\det A = 1$ or -1).

HKBU Math 2207 Linear Algebra

Semester 1 2016, Week 6, Page 17 of 17