Ex. 6.5.8: Seeing the first part of the proof in an example:

In 
$$\mathbb{R}^3$$
:  $W_1 = \operatorname{Span}\left\{ {1 \choose 2}, {2 \choose 2} \right\}$ ,  $W_2 = \operatorname{Span}\left\{ {1 \choose 2}, {2 \choose 2} \right\}$ 

To find  $d$ -basis of  $W_1 \cap W_2$ :

if  $d \in W_1 \cap W_2$   $d = a {2 \choose 2} + b {2 \choose 2} = c {1 \choose 2} + d {1 \choose 2}$ 

Solving e.g.  ${1 \choose 2} = -1 - 1 \choose 2 \ge 0 - 1 \choose$ 

i.e. dimensions in proof are

r=1, s=1, t=1  $:. W_1 \cap W_2 = Span \left( \left( \frac{3}{2} \right) \right)$ : basis of W. nWz is \d= (3) Extend to d, B-basis of W, e.g. method 2: casting out algorithm: -> first two columns have
pivots, :: basis of Wi OR method 3: we are given  $W_1 = \text{Span}\left\{\binom{1}{2}, \binom{1}{2}\right\}$ , and these vectors are linearly independent  $= \left\{ \alpha_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} / \beta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ .. din W,=2. And (3/2), (0) is linearly independent and contains 2 vectors in W,

is a basis for Wi.

A sum with "no overlap" - i.e. "overlap at to only" like a disjoint union. Def 6.5.9: if W, NW2={0}, then W,+W2 is a direct sum and is written Wi @ Wz. From 6.5.6: dim (W, &Wz) = dim W, + dim Wz and from proof: (basis of Wi) u (basis of Wz) = basis of W, @ W2. Ex: 1) in R3: Spanseis @ Spansez = Spansei, e2]. Or Spanseiez + Spansez, e3 is not direct.

$$\mathbb{E}[x] = \mathbb{E}[x]_{old} \oplus \mathbb{E}[x]_{exn}$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0 + a_2x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_0x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_1x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + (a_1x + x^2 + \dots)$$

$$= (a_1x + a_2x^2$$

Direct sums have a unique representation property: Prop. 6.5.10: W,+Wz is a direct sum > Ya EW,+Wz, & has unique representation as d= d,+d2 with dieWi. Proof: (by contradiction): if W,+Wz is not direct, then  $\exists x \neq \delta \in W_1 \cap W_2$ . of can be written as  $d = d + \delta$  and  $d = \delta + \alpha$ . and these are different :: X+0.  $\Rightarrow: Assume W_1 \cap W_2 = \{5\} \text{ and suppose } x = x_1 + x_2 = \beta_1 + \beta_2$   $W_1 \quad W_2 \quad W_1 \quad W_2$   $W_2 \quad W_3 \quad W_4$ 

 $X_1 - B_1 = B_2 - \alpha_2$   $X_1 - B_1 = B_2 - \alpha_2$   $X_1 - B_2 = B_2 - \alpha_3$   $X_1 - B_2 = B_3 - \alpha_4$   $X_1 - B_2 = B_3 - \alpha_4$   $X_2 - B_3 = B_3 - \alpha_4$   $X_1 - B_2 = B_3 - \alpha_5$   $X_2 - B_3 = B_3 - \alpha_5$   $X_1 - B_2 = B_3 - \alpha_5$   $X_2 - A_3 = B_3 - \alpha_5$   $X_3 - B_4 = B_3 - \alpha_5$   $X_4 - B_5 = B_5$   $X_1 - B_2 - A_3 = B_3$   $X_2 - A_3 = B_3$   $X_3 - A_4 = B_3$   $X_4 - B_5 = B_3$