

§6.2 Linear dependence / independence

Def 6.2.1: β is a \mathbb{F} -linear combination of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ if there are scalars (weights) $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\beta = a_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + a_n \alpha_n$.
(Textbook also says " β is linearly dependent on $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ ")

Ex: in \mathbb{C}^3

$\begin{pmatrix} 2+3i \\ i \\ i \end{pmatrix}$ is a linear combination of

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -i \\ 3-i \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\therefore \begin{pmatrix} 2+3i \\ i \\ i \end{pmatrix} = i \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + (1+i) \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + 0 \begin{pmatrix} -i \\ 3-i \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In continuous functions over \mathbb{R} :
 $2+3x$ is a linear combination of
 x , $\sin^2 x$ and $\cos^2 x$:

$$2+3x = 2\sin^2 x + 2\cos^2 x + 3x$$

Def 6.2.2: (for finite sets:) $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$
 is linearly dependent (over \mathbb{F}) if there exists

$a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ not all zero such that

$a_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + a_n \alpha_n = \vec{0}$. This equation is
 a linear dependence relation.

The opposite: $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is linearly independent (over \mathbb{F})

if: whenever $a_1\alpha_1 + \dots + a_n\alpha_n = \vec{0}$ with $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$,

then $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$.

(i.e. there are no linear dependence relations.)

(for finite or infinite sets): S is linearly dependent

if $\exists \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in S$, $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ not all zero,
with $a_1\alpha_1 + \dots + a_n\alpha_n = \vec{0}$.

S is linearly independent if, $\forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in S$

$a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ with $a_1\alpha_1 + \dots + a_n\alpha_n = \vec{0}$, it means
 $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$.

To show linear dependence: give one example of a linear dependence relation.

To show linear independence: suppose

$$a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_n x_n = \vec{0}, \text{ show } a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0.$$

(This applies to calculations and to proofs.)

Ex: $\{1, e^x + 1, e^x - 1\}$ is linearly dependent
 $-2(1) + 1(e^x + 1) + (-1)(e^x - 1) = 0$

$\{1+x, 1, x^2-1\}$ is linearly independent

$$\text{if } a_1(1+x) + a_2(1) + a_3(x^2-1) = 0$$

$$(a_1 + a_2 - a_3) + a_1 x + a_3 x^2 = 0$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \star & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ & a_1 = 0 & a_3 = 0 \end{array}$$

$$a_1 + a_2 - a_3 = 0 \Rightarrow a_2 = 0.$$

The step \star implicitly uses:

- $\{1, x, \dots, x^n\}$ is linearly independent
(proof by substitution / differentiation)
2207 week 8 p11?
- $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$ is linearly independent.

Proof outline: suppose $a_1 x^{i_1} + a_2 x^{i_2} + \dots + a_n x^{i_n} = \vec{0}$. for some $i_1, \dots, i_n \in$
now use substitution/differentiation.

Remark 6.2.3 — useful properties and explanation

② if $\vec{0} \in S \subseteq V$, then S is linearly dependent.

$1 \cdot \vec{0} = \vec{0}$ is a linear dependence relation
 \uparrow \uparrow
 $a_1 \neq 0$ α_1 $(1 \cdot \vec{0} + 0 \alpha_2 + \dots + 0 \alpha_n = \vec{0})$

③ if $\alpha \neq \vec{0}$, then $\{\alpha\}$ is linearly independent.

HW1 Q1b the only solution to $a_1 \alpha = \vec{0}$ is $a_1 = 0 \because \alpha \neq \vec{0}$

① the empty set \emptyset is linearly independent.

there are no vectors in the empty set \therefore no linear dependence relations

Consider $S \subseteq T$

④ if S is linearly dependent, then so is T .

↳ $\exists a_1, \dots, a_n$ not all zero, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in S$ with
 $a_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + a_n \alpha_n = \vec{0}$. But $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in T$ also

⑤ if T is linearly independent, then so is S
this is the contrapositive of ④

(i.e. ④ gives a proof by contradiction)

Th 6.2.6: A set of non-zero vectors $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$
is linearly dependent \Leftrightarrow some α_k is a linear
combination of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}$.

Proof: \Leftarrow $\alpha_k = a_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} \alpha_{k-1}$ then
 $a_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} \alpha_{k-1} + \underbrace{(-1)}_{\neq 0} \alpha_k = \vec{0}$ is a linear
dependence relation

\Rightarrow : Given a linear dependence relation

$$a_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + a_n \alpha_n = \vec{0},$$

let k be the largest with $a_k \neq 0$.

(this k exists \because not all a_i are 0)

So $a_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + a_k \alpha_k = \vec{0}$

$$\alpha_k = -\frac{a_1}{a_k} \alpha_1 + \dots + \frac{-a_{k-1}}{a_k} \alpha_{k-1}$$