

§10.2 Adjoints — i.e. using inner products to understand dual space

As before, for $j \in V$, consider $\phi_j: V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ given by

$$\phi_j = \langle j, - \rangle \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \phi_j(\alpha) = \langle j, \alpha \rangle$$

$$\phi_j \in L(V, \mathbb{F}) = \hat{V}$$

Ex: in \mathbb{R}^3 with dot product: let $j = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}$ then $\phi_j \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = 5x + y + 7z$

From §9.1: every $\phi \in \hat{\mathbb{R}^3}$ is of the form $\phi \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = ax + by + cz$ for some a, b, c .

so every $\phi \in \hat{\mathbb{R}^3}$ is ϕ_j for some $j \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

\therefore any question about $\phi \in \hat{\mathbb{R}^3}$ can be "translated" into a question about $j \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Th (~10.2.1, 10.2.2) (simplified) Riesz representation theorem:

$$\text{Let } \underline{\Phi}: V \rightarrow \hat{V}$$
$$\underline{\Phi}(y) = \phi_y = \langle y, - \rangle$$

Then $\underline{\Phi}$ is an injective conjugate-linear function.

$$\underline{\Phi}(ay + y') = \bar{a} \underline{\Phi}(y) + \underline{\Phi}(y')$$

In particular, if V is finite dimensional,

(so $\dim V = \dim \hat{V}$), then $\underline{\Phi}$ is surjective,

i.e. every $\phi \in \hat{V}$ is ϕ_y for some $y \in V$.

Proof: see homework.

[?] (when $\dim V < \infty$) Given $\phi \in \hat{V}$, how to find the corresponding y ?

i.e. what is the inverse function $\underline{\Lambda}: \hat{V} \rightarrow V$ to $\underline{\Phi}: V \rightarrow \hat{V}$? ($\underline{\Lambda} = \underline{\Phi}^{-1}$)

Recall \mathbb{R}^3 example:

$$\text{if } \phi \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = ax + by + cz$$

$$\text{then } y = \underline{\Lambda}(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{i.e. if } \phi = a\phi_1 + b\phi_2 + c\phi_3$$

where $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3\}$ is dual basis to $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$

$$\text{then } y = ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3.$$

e.g. $\phi = \phi_1$ corresponds to $y = e_1$. i.e. $\underline{\Lambda}(\phi_1) = e_1, \underline{\Phi}(e_1) = \phi_1$

$$\text{i.e. } \phi \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = x \text{ is the same as } \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = x$$

$$\text{why? } \phi_1(xe_1 + ye_2 + ze_3)$$
$$= x \phi_1(e_1) + y \phi_1(e_2) + z \phi_1(e_3) = x$$

$$\langle e_1, xe_1 + ye_2 + ze_3 \rangle$$
$$= x \langle e_1, e_1 \rangle + y \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle + z \langle e_1, e_3 \rangle = x$$

$\because \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is an orthonormal basis.

In general:

Prop: Let $\mathcal{A} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of V ,
 $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$ be the dual basis of \hat{V} .

Let $\Phi: V \rightarrow \hat{V}$ be $\Phi(y) = \langle y, - \rangle$

Then $\Phi^{-1} = \Lambda: \hat{V} \rightarrow V$ satisfies $\Lambda(\phi_i) = \alpha_i$

i.e. $\phi_i = \langle \alpha_i, - \rangle$

$\therefore \Lambda$ is conjugate-linear, so

if $\phi = \underbrace{b_1}_{\phi(\alpha_1)} \phi_1 + \dots + b_n \phi_n$, then $\Lambda(\phi) = \overline{b_1} \alpha_1 + \dots + \overline{b_n} \alpha_n$.

i.e. $\Lambda(\phi) = \overline{\phi(\alpha_1)} \alpha_1 + \dots + \overline{\phi(\alpha_n)} \alpha_n$.