10.5 Orthogonal diagonalisation of normal operators Def 10.5.1 $\sigma:V \rightarrow V$ is normal if $\sigma \circ \sigma^* = \sigma^* \circ \sigma^*$ Def 10.5.13: AEMmon(F) is normal if AAT=ATA Normal operators include. · self-adjoint operators (symmetric / Hermitian matrices) unitary operators: 0 = 5 (orthogonal/unitary matrices: 47=41)

Spectral theorem for normal operators: Th 10.5.10: if o:V->V is normal, then o has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors. Th 10.5.11: furthermore, if o is self-adjoint, then all eigenvalues of o

(Actually, both are iff.)

Proof: Th. 10.5.4, a stronger version of "furthermore": if $\sigma:V \rightarrow V$ is normal, and \tilde{z} is a λ -eigenvector of σ , then 3 is a 1-eigenvector of ot (: if $\sigma = \sigma^{k}$ then $\lambda = \overline{\lambda}$)

Proof: we show $\sigma^*(\bar{s}) = \bar{\lambda}\bar{s}$ i.e. $\sigma^*(\bar{s}) - \bar{\lambda}\bar{s} = 0$	Now
- "- 12 / +/=\-\bar{3} \sigma^*(\beta) - \lambda \bar{3}	(see
$ \sigma^{+}(\overline{s}) - \lambda \overline{s} = \langle \sigma(s) \wedge \lambda, \overline{s} \rangle$ $= \langle \sigma^{+}(\overline{s}), \sigma^{+}(\overline{s}) \rangle - \langle \overline{\lambda} \overline{s}, \sigma^{+}(\overline{s}) \rangle - \langle \sigma^{+}(\overline{s}), \overline{\lambda} \overline{s} \rangle + \langle \overline{\lambda} \overline{s}, \overline{\lambda} \overline{s} \rangle$ $= \langle \sigma^{+}(\overline{s}), \sigma^{+}(\overline{s}) \rangle - \langle \overline{\lambda} \overline{s}, \sigma^{+}(\overline{s}) \rangle - \overline{\lambda} \langle \sigma^{+}(\overline{s}), \overline{s} \rangle + \lambda \overline{\lambda} \langle \overline{s}, \overline{s} \rangle$	idea:
112 17 - 1250	
$= \langle \sigma^*(\underline{s}), \sigma(\underline{s}) \rangle - \lambda \langle \sigma(\underline{s}), \underline{s} \rangle - \overline{\lambda} \langle \underline{s}, \sigma(\underline{s}) \rangle + \lambda \overline{\lambda} \langle \underline{s}, \underline{s} \rangle$ $= \langle \underline{s}, \sigma \circ \sigma^*(\underline{s}) \rangle - \lambda \langle \sigma(\underline{s}), \underline{s} \rangle - \overline{\lambda} \langle \underline{s}, \sigma(\underline{s}) \rangle + \lambda \overline{\lambda} \langle \underline{s}, \underline{s} \rangle$	T
= (3,000(8))-100(3),3)	
(\$, oteo(\$)) (o is remail)	
(c(z), o(z))	
$= \langle \sigma(\overline{s}), \sigma(\overline{s}) \rangle - \langle \sigma(\overline{s}) \Im s \rangle - \langle \Im s \rangle $	Uge
$= \langle o(\underline{z}), o(\underline{z}) \rangle - \langle o(\underline{z}), \lambda \underline{z} \rangle - \langle \lambda \underline{z}, o(\underline{z}) \rangle + \langle \lambda \underline{z}, \lambda \underline{z} \rangle$ $= \ o(\underline{z}) - \lambda \underline{z} \ ^2$	le.
100 101 = 0 5 . 1	
(Equivalently: if T is normal, then kertekort - Aprily to T=5-21, T*=5*-21.	

To apply inductive hypothesis to oly, , we need: back to Th 10.5.10: also point 3 from last page of 2207) a) Wi is invariant under o (i.e. we can let the codomain of orly be W, instead of V) tind an eigenvector 3, 1 (i.e. we can let the which follows from W, being
b) ofw, is normal - which follows from W, being
(then (of w) = of |w|) consider W, = (Spon {5,}) + invariant under or also (then (olm,) = orly,) In W, , find an eigenvector 3 :: \$2 EW, we have (3,,32)=0 To show W, is invariant under o, i.e. Consider Wz = (Span{{}, }) if XEW, = (Spon (3,)), then o(x) EW, In Wz, find an eigenvector... induction on aim! 3000 industria hypothesis to

 $\langle \sigma(\alpha), \xi \rangle = \langle \alpha, \sigma^{+}(\xi) \rangle$ [property of adjoint] = (a,) (Th. 1054, writing 2 to 7 same argument = $\frac{1}{\lambda}(\alpha, \xi_1)$ the eigenvalue of ξ_1 for σ under ok = 0 [xew = Ebm (3)])