# 2023 Food Rankings

### Amy Shuff

2023-03-31

Our 2023 Food Rankings analyze data from the 2022 Program Year (2021-2022 data).

The code used to create our rankings is available to the public on github.

### **Data Sources**

### Texas Education Agency (TEA)

• Total Enrollment Counts in Student Program and Special Populations Reports, PEIMS Data 2021-2022

TEA data was obtained via Public Records Request. From this data we use the student enrollment counts and economically disadvantaged counts.

Student enrollment for small campuses are masked. In the case of the reported number being <10, we use 10. This means our district student counts are a slight overestimate.

Economically disadvantaged counts are sometimes unavailable, so in that case they are assumed zero.

Percent economically disadvantaged for each district is calculated from the campus student counts.

#### Texas Department of Agriculture (TDA)

 Although we obtained our data from a public information request, it is also available on the Food and Nutrition Meals Served Dashboard, 2021-2022.

#### School Nutrition Program (SNP)

SNP Data includes meal counts from the National School Lunch Program (NSLP) and the School Breakfast Program (SBP).

Credit for participating in the Community Eligible Provision (CEP) was given to the entire district if they had any participating location.

#### Seamless Summer Option (SSO)

SSO data was obtained from the TDA F&N Meals Served Dashboard.

- 2021-2022 Monthly SNP & SSO School Meal Count

Note from the data dashboard: "In March 2020, USDA began allowing flexibility in nutrition assistance program policies to support continued meal access during the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19). This

included offering School Nutrition Program sponsors the option to provide meals through Seamless Summer Option (SSO) in program year 2021-2022."

The SSO is what schools used to offer free meals to all students regardless of income. Because of this, we are choosing to look at total number of meals served instead of only the free and reduced as we've done in years past. This option will not be available next year because the program has ended.

#### Child and Adult Care Food Program (CACFP)

 Child and Adult Care Food Programs (CACFP) – At Risk– Meal Reimbursement – Program Year 2021-2022

CACFP data captures meals (supper) served in At-Risk afterschool care centers.

## **Analysis**

## **Harmony Districts**

Harmony Public Schools are listed under multiple district numbers (101858, 101862, 71806, 15828, 161807, 101846, 227816) in both the TEA and TDA data, but we combine them and consider them as a single district.

### Aggregate data up to district level

TDA data is reported monthly by Contracting Entities (CE) and participating sites. Usually October is used as the snapshot claim month for the year. This year fewer sites reported to TDA, and those that did didn't report every month, instead favoring SSO for meal reimbursement, so we used their maximum claim month for the year instead.

Breakfast and lunch average daily participation (ADP) rates were found by dividing all meals served by the number of days meals were served.

Then the percent of breakfast and lunch participation was found by dividing their respective ADPs by the total number of students, as reported by the TEA data.

Our previous rankings found the percent of breakfast and lunch participation by dividing the free and reduced meals by the students that were eligible for them. We are looking at all meals for all students this year, since everyone was eligible for a free meal with SSO.

Credit for supper and snacks was given if any were offered by any site in the district at any point in the year.

### **Overall Rankings**

District scores were calculated as follows

- 25% lunch participation percent
- 50% breakfast participation percent
- 10% serving supper
- 15% serving after school snacks

We only include districts that have at least 1,000 students and at least 60% economically disadvantaged student population.

Table 1: Top 10 Districts Overall

				% Economi-			%			
		ESC	Total	cally		% Lunch	Breakfast	CACI	FP	
District		Re-	Enroll-	Disadvan-	Overall	Partici-	Participa-	Sup-	Aftersch	iool
Name	Rar	nkCountygion	ment	taged	Score	pation	tion	per	Snack	CEP
DONNA	1	HIDALGO	13,080	93.9	81.9	80.5	73.6	Yes	Yes	Yes
ISD										
IDEA	2	HIDALGO	67,988	83.3	81.1	79.5	72.3	Yes	Yes	Yes
ACADEM										
MCALLE	N3	HIDALGO	$20,\!410$	73.8	77.0	70.7	68.7	Yes	Yes	Yes
ISD										
HARLANDALIBEXAR 20		12,094	88.0	76.6	63.3	71.6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
ISD	-	EI 10	10 404	00.7	75 7	co c	70.0	3.7	37	3.7
CLINT	5	EL 19	10,494	86.7	75.7	62.6	70.0	Yes	Yes	Yes
ISD	c	PASO	04.100	02.0	71.0	79.5	FF 0	3.7	37	3.7
LA JOYA	6	HIDALGO	24,163	93.2	71.2	73.5	55.6	Yes	Yes	Yes
ISD	-	MANUELD BOOK	10.005	09.1	71 1	C 1 1	00.0	N.T	37	3.7
EAGLE	7	MAVER <b>EO</b> K	13,385	83.1	71.1	64.1	80.2	No	Yes	Yes
PASS										
ISD	D 00	1115 41 00	22.0=2	00.0	00.0		40.0	***	***	**
EDINBU	KC8	HIDALGO	32,078	82.2	68.6	75.7	49.3	Yes	Yes	Yes
ISD		D								
SAN	9	BEXAR 20	44,731	87.9	67.2	67.1	50.9	Yes	Yes	Yes
ANTO-										
NIO										
ISD	~									
	GEN	CAMERON	17,037	76.5	66.9	71.8	47.8	Yes	Yes	Yes
CONS										
ISD										

## Large districts

Districts are considered large if they have at least  $50,\!000$  students.

Table 2: Top 5 Large Districts

			% Economi-			%				
	ESC	Total	cally		% Lunch	Breakfast	CACE	$^{7}$ P		
District Re-		Enroll-	Disadvan-	Overall	Partici-	Participa-	Sup-	Aftersch	Afterschool	
Name Ra	nkCountygion	ment	taged	Score	pation	tion	per	Snack	CEP	
IDEA 1 ACADEMY	HIDALGO	67,988	83.3	81.1	79.5	72.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	
ALDINE 2 ISD	HARRIS 4	61,642	89.7	61.2	69.0	37.9	Yes	Yes	No	
HOUSTON ISD	HARRIS 4	194,622	79.2	58.7	56.0	39.5	Yes	Yes	Yes	
DALLAS 4 ISD	DALLAS10	143,574	85.1	57.5	60.9	34.6	Yes	Yes	Yes	
GARLAN <b>T</b> ISD	DALLAS10	53,685	73.9	53.9	64.5	25.5	Yes	Yes	Yes	

#### Middle-income districts

Districts are considered middle-income if they have 60-70% economically disadvantaged student population. This takes out high poverty schools and focuses on those which often face more challenges in implementing school food programs to scale.

Table 3: Top 5 Middle-income Districts

	ESC	Total	% Economically		% Lunch	% Breakfast	CACI	7D	
District Name Ra	Re- ankCounty gion	Enroll- ment	Disadvan- taged	Overall Score	Partici- pation	Participa- tion	Sup- per	Aftersch Snack	ool CEP
JUDSON 1	BEXAR 20	24,552	68.4	66.0	68.1	47.9	Yes	Yes	Yes
ISD WICHITA 2 FALLS	WICHITA 9	13,380	65.8	64.0	70.1	43.0	Yes	Yes	No
ISD NEW 3 CANEY	MONTGOMI	ER <b>M</b> ,116	67.1	52.5	73.0	38.4	No	Yes	No
ISD EAST 4 CEN-	BEXAR 20	10,018	66.2	51.6	64.2	41.0	No	Yes	Yes
TRAL ISD VICTORIA5 ISD	VICTORIAS	13,315	68.5	50.9	59.2	22.2	Yes	Yes	Yes

# Key Take-Aways

All 5,429,895 students in Texas were offered free meals this year.

The top ranking districts all had over 70% of their student population participating in lunch.

Even the lowest ranked district had 44.9% of their student population participating in lunch.

Top 10 school districts overall: Donna ISD, IDEA Academy, McAllen ISD, Harlandale ISD, Clint ISD, La Joya ISD, Eagle Pass ISD, Edinburg ISD, San Antonio ISD, Harlingen Consolidated ISD

Top 5 large school districts: IDEA Academy, Aldine ISD, Houston ISD, Dallas ISD, Garland ISD

Top 5 middle-income school districts: Judson ISD, Wichita Falls ISD, New Caney ISD, East Central ISD, Victoria ISD

District with the highest lunch participation: Donna ISD, with 80.5% of their total student population participating in lunch

District with the highest breakfast participation: Eagle Pass ISD, with 80.2% of their total student population participating in breakfast

16.6% of districts participate in the CACFP after-school meal program