

CMEE Miniproject: Population Growth

How well do different mathematical models, e.g., based upon population growth (mechanistic) theory vs. phenomenological ones, fit to functional responses data across species?

Amy Bo Tinky Solman

Imperial College London

24th January 2020

1 Introduction

The study of population growth, or dynamics, began in earnest in the early 20th century as a way to manage and predict agricultural stocks in the wake of the World Wars [9]. Since that time the field has developed and been applied to conservation efforts and in predicting the impacts of climate change [12] [7]. Fluctuations in population abundance can impact keystone processes and ecosystem dynamics [15]. Emergent functional characteristics such as disease transmission can also be affected by host/pathogen population dynamics [2]. Moreover, population dynamics for species such as phytoplankton dictates rates of carbon fixation in aquatic systems [13].

Turchin asserts that there are three general laws of population dynamics: populations tend to grow exponentially, population growth is self-limiting and consumer-resource populations tend to oscillate [17]. Patterns of population dynamics include exponential growth, logistic growth and predator-prey interactions [14]. Exponential population growth describes a continually increasing number of individuals under conditions where resources are not limiting [4]. Logistic growth occurs when population growth rate decreases as it reaches carrying capacity [8]. Predator-prey interactions are concerned with the feeding relationship between species, resulting in a temporally staggered undulating pattern, with predator numbers lagging behind prey [3].

Population ecologists are concerned with quantifying these patterns of growth. Levin's stated that, "it is of course desirable to work with manageable models that maximise generality, realism and precision" [10]. Over the past century a wealth of models have been devised to fit the various patterns of population growth observed in nature. Models may be either phenomenological or mechanistic. Mechanistic models often need a large number of parameters to describe complex ecological systems, whilst phenomenological models may need relatively few [16]. In mechanistic models then we lose generality, in gaining precision and realism. In phenomenological models we may lose sight of the real-world mechanisms at play.

Understanding microbial patterns of population growth is important for food microbiology, risk assessment and water protection [19] [11] [6]. Microbial growth patterns also play a significant role in ecosystem processes, particularly in habitats newly exposed through climate change [5]. Typically, bacteria in culture has a four phase growth curve: the lag phase, exponential or log phase, stationary and death phase [1]. Two types of models can be applied to bacterial growth: 1) models

30 concerning changes in population over time; 2) models predicting changes in population in changing environmental conditions;
31 3) models combining the two [18].

32 In this investigation I compare a variety of both mechanistic and phenomenological mathematical models, to see how well
33 each characterises patterns of bacterial growth over time. Here I use a variety of statistical measures to find which model
34 best fits the bacterial sigmoidal growth curve see in the given dataset.

35 2 Methods

36 2.1 Computing Tools

37 3 Data

38 4 Results

39 5 Discussion

40 References

- 41 [1] HAMZAH M AL-QADIRI, NIVIN I AL-ALAMI, Mengshi Lin, MURAD AL-HOLY, Anna G Cavinato, and Barbara A
42 Rasco. Studying of the bacterial growth phases using fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and multivariate analysis.
43 *Journal of Rapid Methods & Automation in Microbiology*, 16(1):73–89, 2008.
- 44 [2] Helen Miller Alexander, Peter H Thrall, Janis Antonovics, Andrew M Jarosz, and Peter V Oudemans. Population
45 dynamics and genetics of plant disease: a case study of anther-smut disease. *Ecology*, 77(4):990–996, 1996.
- 46 [3] 1937 Berryman, Alan A. (Alan Andrew). *Population systems : a general introduction*. Springer, Dordrecht ; London,
47 [2nd ed.]. edition, 2008.
- 48 [4] author Botsford, Louis W. *Population dynamics for conservation*. First edition. edition, 2019.
- 49 [5] James A Bradley, Alexandre M Anesio, and Sandra Arndt. Microbial and biogeochemical dynamics in glacier forefields
50 are sensitive to century-scale climate and anthropogenic change. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 5:26, 2017.
- 51 [6] Sam Dukan, Yves Levi, Philippe Piriou, Frédéric Guyon, and Pierre Villon. Dynamic modelling of bacterial growth in
52 drinking water networks. *Water Research*, 30(9):1991–2002, 1996.
- 53 [7] Christine M Hunter, Hal Caswell, Michael C Runge, Eric V Regehr, Steve C Amstrup, and Ian Stirling. Climate change
54 threatens polar bear populations: a stochastic demographic analysis. *Ecology*, 91(10):2883–2897, 2010.
- 55 [8] Sharon Kingsland. The refractory model: The logistic curve and the history of population ecology. *The Quarterly Review*
56 *of Biology*, 57(1):29–52, 1982.

- [9] Sharon E Kingsland. *Modeling nature : episodes in the history of population ecology*. Science and its conceptual foundations. University of Chicago Press, Chicago ; London, 2nd ed. edition, 1995.
- [10] Richard Levins. The strategy of model building in population biology. *American scientist*, 54(4):421–431, 1966.
- [11] Maarten J Nauta. Modelling bacterial growth in quantitative microbiological risk assessment: is it possible? *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 73(2-3):297–304, 2002.
- [12] Arpat Ozgul, Dylan Z Childs, Madan K Oli, Kenneth B Armitage, Daniel T Blumstein, Lucretia E Olson, Shripad Tuljapurkar, and Tim Coulson. Coupled dynamics of body mass and population growth in response to environmental change. *Nature*, 466(7305):482–485, 2010.
- [13] CS Reynolds, SN Reynolds, IF Munawar, and M Munawar. The regulation of phytoplankton population dynamics in the world’s largest lakes. *Aquatic Ecosystem Health & Management*, 3(1):1–21, 2000.
- [14] Larry L. Rockwood. *Introduction to Population Ecology*. Wiley Blackwell, Chichester, West Sussex, 2nd edition.. edition, 2015.
- [15] ARE Sinclair. Mammal population regulation, keystone processes and ecosystem dynamics. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 358(1438):1729–1740, 2003.
- [16] Mark K Transtrum and Peng Qiu. Bridging mechanistic and phenomenological models of complex biological systems. *PLoS computational biology*, 12(5), 2016.
- [17] Peter Turchin. Does population ecology have general laws? *Oikos*, 94(1):17–26, 2001.
- [18] Richard C Whiting. Microbial modeling in foods. *Critical Reviews in Food Science & Nutrition*, 35(6):467–494, 1995.
- [19] MH Zwietering, Il Jongenburger, FM Rombouts, and KJAEM Van’t Riet. Modeling of the bacterial growth curve. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 56(6):1875–1881, 1990.