



Amy Winecoff
PSY 141 Intro to Psychological Science (20150991668)
No. of responses = 19



1.4)	Number of courses you have taken in this program prior to this sen	mester:		
		0	88.2%	n=17 av.=0.2
		1	5.9%	dev.=0.5
	2 or mo	ore	5.9%	
1.5)	Your reasons for taking this course (mark all that apply):			
	distribution requirement	ent	36.8%	n=19
	program requireme	ent	21.1%	
	elective in progra	am [0%	
	elective in related progra	am [0%	
	curiosity about the subje	ject	84.2%	
	prerequisite for another cour	rse	21.1%	
	oth	her	5.3%	
1.6)	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: I have worked to the best of my ability in this class.	0% 5.3% 21.1% 36.8% 36.8% 1 2 3 4 5	Strongly agree	n=19 av.=4.1 dev.=0.9
1.7)	Number of classes missed:			
		0	42.1%	n=19 av.=1 dev.=1.1
		1	26.3%	ucv1.1
		2	21.1%	
		3	10.5%	
		4	0%	
	5 or mo	ore	0%	
2.	Evaluation of the Course			
2.1)	The course was challenging.	SD 0% 5.6% 27.8% 38.9% 27.8% 1 2 3 4 5	SA	n=18 av.=3.9 dev.=0.9
2.2)	The course promoted thought and discussion outside of the classroom.	5.3% 0% 26.3% 42.1% 26.3% 1 2 3 4 5	SA	n=19 av.=3.8 dev.=1
2.3)	The course objectives were clear.	SD 0% 10.5% 5.3% 15.8% 68.4% 1 2 3 4 5	SA	n=19 av.=4.4 dev.=1
2.4)	Grading criteria were clear.	SD 0% 0% 5.9% 17.6% 76.5% 11 2 3 4 5	SA	n=17 av.=4.7 dev.=0.6



Profile

Subunit: Science, Math, and Computing

Name of the instructor:

Amy Winecoff

Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

PSY 141 Intro to Psychological Science

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Student Information


1.6) To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: I have worked to the best of my ability in this class.



n=19 av.=4.1 md=4.0 dev.=0.9

2. Evaluation of the Course

- 2.1) The course was challenging.
- 2.2) The course promoted thought and discussion outside of the classroom.
- ^{2.3)} The course objectives were clear.
- ^{2.4)} Grading criteria were clear.
- $^{2.5)}$ The course requirements were clear.
- ^{2.6)} Overall rating of the course.



n=18 av.=3.9 md=4.0 dev.=0.9 n=19 av.=3.8 md=4.0 dev.=1.0

=19 av.=4.4 md=5.0 dev.=1.0


n=17 av.=4.7 md=5.0 dev.=0.6

n=19 av.=4.7 md=5.0 dev.=0.7

n=19 av.=4.5 md=5.0 dev.=0.9

3. Evaluation of the Instructor

- 3.1) The instructor seemed prepared and ready to teach.
- 3.2) The instructor deepened my understanding of the subject.
- 3.3) The instructor was able to communicate ideas, theories, skills, and/or concepts effectively.
- 3.4) The instructor's feedback about my work was helpful.
- 3.5) The instructor facilitated active engagement with the subject (e.g. class participation, small group work, lab or studio work, or lectures that
- 3.6) The instructor was available and approachable about the class and course work.
- 3.7) The instructor was effective in establishing an inclusive environment for all students.
- 3.8) Overall rating of the instructor.



n=19 av.=5.0 md=5.0 dev.=0.0

n=19 av.=4.8 md=5.0 dev.=0.4

n=17 av.=4.6 md=5.0 dev.=0.7

n=17 av.=4.4 md=5.0 dev.=0.9

n=18 av.=4.5 md=5.0 dev.=1.0

n=19 av.=4.5 md=5.0 dev.=0.8

n=19 av.=4.6 md=5.0 dev.=1.0

av.=4.6 md=5.0 dev.=0.6

n=18

Comments Report

1. Student Information

^{1.8)} Further elaboration on your responses or additional commentary is encouraged. Stay within the box.

I didn't understand how to mark 1.1. I'm a double major in the Arts & SMC

Of all the classes I am taking this Sevester this is my Favorite class. I would like to be a Psychology major

IM UNDECIDED BUT AFTEK TAKING THIS CLASS AM STRONGLY CONSIDERING MAJORING IN PSYCHOLOGY.

i wish this class was yearlong

2. Evaluation of the Course

27) Further elaboration on your responses and additional commentary is encouraged. Stay within the box.

I always felt like I knew what was expected of me for each assignment and I thoroughly enjoyed the class

I enjoyed warry up every our monday and thedresday to Lome to this class.

This was my only class front had a clear outline of the expectations and reprincibilities; it made the class more efficient.

EVERYTHING WAS VERY CLEAR FROM THE START.

I wish that there could be more dissousion with groups or activities on each chapter to better inderstored the material

I can see myself makeris in psych aftertanis this class

02/01/2016

I wish there had been more discussion to reading of literature.

Cur extremely enlightening course, wonderful introduction to field, not dry as some intro courses can be

repetetive not interesting discussions lecture-ish

I look forward to coming to this class every day... but I wish it was @ a later time!!

Could have been harder. Not that I got any less out of it, but we could easily have gone longer with some topics.

TAGE CONTEP

one important problem about this course was the amount of meterial that we covered. Sometimes I really feel confused because of the number of concepts we are discussing which makes me feel hopeless espically at the end of the semoster.

3. Evaluation of the Instructor

^{3.9)} Further elaboration on your responses or additional commentary is encouraged. Stay within the box.

Amy was a wonderful professor! I always felt like I could get answers to my questions, and she put a lot of effort into making each part of class fair and clear.

have had to date, I hope I can take more classes with her.

I was able to enjoy the class work inside for classroom and ontide. Here felt too over whelmed of for any of the work ble portet teacher prepared class for all assignments.

Amy was excellent. I reary enjoyed her teaching style and direct approach. I always know what was expected of me and each topic down was discussed in detail. Really interesting.

THOUGHTFUL AND ENGAGING. THE STRUCTURE OF THE CLASS WAS GOOD SHE INCORPERATED MANY THINGS -> GROUP WORK, LECTURES, PAPERS.

Maybe if the class wasn't taught in 30 much of a lecture style it might be more helpfor

I can not would be face another class with Amy!

Amy has mad spunk. I look forward to taking her classes in the future.

Some of her in-class presentations could've been claver, it was hard to go back and rensist slides following the classes. Amy is very knowledge-alone and good at explaining topics to people with no background in psych.

Amy did a very good job at explaining difficult topics throughout the course

02/01/2016

I selfishly wished that when talking about ED's, EDNOS, or at least mention of a continuum of symptoms had been Microsped or mentioned, just become it's personal to me.

Great course. You keep it interesting, both in just how you say things but also with our topics. For the most part, class was well used, going over the reading material but then, critically, going in-depth