# PSY 354-Causes and Consequences of Eating Disorders, Spring 2015

## **Class Statistics**

Evaluation of the Course Average = 4.8 (out of 5) SD = 0.94

Evaluation of the Instructor Average = 4.93 (out of 5) SD = 0.28

l													
Class	Climate			Сс	ourse and F	aculty Eval	uation Form						SCANTRON
Bard C	College				Amy	Winecoff							Bard
Social	Studies				PSY	354 Cause	s/Conseq:E	ating Dis	sorders	S			
Mark as Correction						felt tip. This fo					S.		
the sp	ace pro ss.	ie course a vided. You Strongly Di	ur respon	se will l	oe used f	or course	improvem	ent an	d in th	ne fac	culty	evalu	mmenting ir uation
1. S	tudent	Informatio	on		· (基础)		M JXII		100			S. 250	ALDINE
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7	☐ Arts ☐ Social Your level ☐ FY ☐ SR Number ☐ 0 Number ☐ 0 Your real ☐ distrit ☐ election ☐ other To what statement Number ☑ 0 ☐ 3	or division (in I Studies el:  of courses your of courses your or taking the course of classes	rou have taking this coursement program u agree or enriched to the hissed:	ken with the ken in this rse (mark disagree best of r	■ 1 s program	prior to this oply): In requirement y about the open this class.	semester: nt subject Strong disagre	r: [	□ prer □ □ 2 □ 5 or	more more equisi	prog te for	anoth	er course Strongly agree
2. E	valuatio	on of the (	Course					11		A RES	高級		da Trong
			) f					\$	0	1	7	Q.	
2.1 2.2 2.3	The cou	rse was chal rse promote m. rse objective	d thought a		ssion outsid	de of the	e e	3 D					



Climate Course and Faculty Eva	luation Form						SCANTRUN		
Evaluation of the Course [Continue]									
Grading criteria were clear. The course requirements were clear. Overall rating of the course.  Further elaboration on your responses and additional commen	Very Poor			vithin			Excellent		
2.7 Further elaboration on your responses and additional commentary is encouraged. Stay within the box.  This was a facinating course that did a good job of balancing range of topics and depth of each topic explored. While it was sometimes different to predict exactly what was expected for writing assignments, I received valuable feedback and had a chame to apply it.									
valuation of the Instructor					W 25.				
<b>%</b>		S	0	1	7	Q.A			
The instructor seemed prepared and ready to teach. The instructor deepened my understanding of the subject. The instructor was able to communicate ideas, theories, skills, and/or concepts effectively.	8 8					NX			
The instructor's feedback about my work was helpful.  The instructor facilitated active engagement with the subject (e.g. class participation, small group work, lab or studio work, or lectures that incorporated time for questions and comments).						X X	72		
The instructor was available and approachable about the class and course work.						×			
The instructor was effective in establishing an inclusive environment for all students.						×			
Overall rating of the instructor.	Very Poor					X	Excellent		
Further elaboration on your responses or additional commenta	ry is encourage	d. St	ay wit	hin th	e box				
vith a lot of thought, but very open and responsive hypotheses, and opinions. Sh. articles and connecting them	despite to o e did a to each	hur gre	er!	kno hov jok	swl. igh	edo its in	ee, she's Legrating		
	Grading criteria were clear. The course requirements were clear. Overall rating of the course.  Further elaboration on your responses and additional comments of the course of the pick of	Grading criteria were clear. The course requirements were clear. Overall rating of the course.  Further elaboration on your responses and additional commentary is encourage.  This was a facinative course that balancing to hope of to price and described while it was sometimes difficult what was expected for writing assigning valuable feedback about my work was helpful. The instructor was able to communicate ideas, theories, skills, and/or concepts effectively. The instructor's feedback about my work was helpful. The instructor facilitated active engagement with the subject (e.g. class participation, small group work, lab or studio work, or lectures that incorporated time for questions and comments).  The instructor was available and approachable about the class and course work. The instructor was effective in establishing an inclusive environment for all students.  Overall rating of the instructor.  Very Poor  Further elaboration on your responses or additional commentary is encourage.  Amy knows a lotabout this and with a lot of thought, but despite very open and responsive to on the potheses, and opinions. She did a articles and converting them to each	Grading criteria were clear.  The course requirements were clear.  Overall rating of the course.  Further elaboration on your responses and additional commentary is encouraged. Standard and the course of the pick and dept explored. While it was sometimes difficult what was expected for writing assignment valuable feedback and had a chance to a country effectively.  The instructor seemed prepared and ready to teach. The instructor deepened my understanding of the subject. The instructor seedback about my work was helpful. The instructor facilitate active engagement with the subject (e.g. class participation, small group work, lab or studio work, or lectures that incorporated time for questions and comments).  The instructor was effective in establishing an inclusive environment for all students.  Overall rating of the instructor.  Very Poor   Further elaboration on your responses or additional commentary is encouraged. St Amy knows a lot about this and and with a lot of thought, but despite has very open and responsive to our hypotheses, and opinions. She did a great which is and and connecting them to good a great which is and a great which is an a great which is and a great which is a great which is a great which is a great whi	Grading criteria were clear.  The course requirements were clear.  Overall rating of the course.  Further elaboration on your responses and additional commentary is encouraged. Stay with the course of the pick and depth of the course of the pick and depth of the course.  Further elaboration on your responses and additional commentary is encouraged. Stay with the course of the pick and depth of the pick of the pick and depth of the pick of the pic	Grading criteria were clear.  The course requirements were clear.  Overall rating of the course.  Further elaboration on your responses and additional commentary is encouraged. Stay within This was a faxchating course that did a go balancing to high a factor of the price and depth of explored. While it was sometimes different to provide the provided within the provided was expected for writing assignments. It was been a chance to apply it was	Grading criteria were clear.  The course requirements were clear.  Overall rating of the course.  Further elaboration on your responses and additional commentary is encouraged. Stay within the both and any the course of the price and depth of early stands of the course.  Further elaboration on your responses and additional commentary is encouraged. Stay within the both and any the course of the price and depth of early stands of the price and depth of early stands of the stands of the price and depth of early stands of the stand	Grading criteria were clear.  The course requirements were clear.  Overall rating of the course.  Further elaboration on your responses and additional commentary is encouraged. Stay within the box.  This was a facinating course of topics and depth of each explored. White it was sometimes difficult to predict what was expected for writing assignments. I receive valuable feedback and had a chance to apply it.  The instructor deepened my understanding of the subject.  The instructor feedback about my work was helpful.  The instructor was available and approachable about the class and course work.  The instructor was available and approachable about the class and course work.  Further elaboration on your responses or additional commentary is encouraged. Stay within the box.  Amy knows a 10 t about this and answered quest with a 10t of thought, but despite her knowledge of the course work of the class and course work.  Amy knows a 10 t about this and answered quest with a 10t of thought, but despite her knowledge of the course work of the class and course work of the course work of the course work of the class and course work of the class an		

ĺ													
Class	Climate			С	ourse and I	Faculty Evalu	ation Form						SCANTRON
Bard C	College				Amy	/ Winecoff							Bard
Social Studies PSY 354 Causes/Conseq:Eating Disorders							3						
	Mark as shown:  Please use a ball-point pen or a thin felt tip. This form will be processed automatically.  Correction:  Please follow the examples shown on the left hand side to help optimize the reading results.								S.		¥.		
the sp	ace pro	ovided. Yo	ur respor	ise will	be used f	ria below b for course i eutral, A-Aç	mproveme	ent and	d in th	ne fac	culty	y cor evalu	mmenting in uation
1. S	tudent	Informatio	on		A VANCOUS					11			基于产品
1.1	☐ Arts	ajor division ( al Studies	mark one o	or two):	□ L&L □ MDS			_	Ճ SMO ] Und		d		
1.2	☐ FY ☐ SR	OI.			□ so			Ď	<b>X</b> JR				
	Number	•			図1	ctor prior to the			] 2 or ₫ 2 or				
1.5	☐ distri	asons for taki bution require ive in related	ement	ırse (maı	prograi	oply): m requirementy about the s		) [	∢ elec ] prer				er course
1.6	To what	èxtent do yo ent: <i>I have wo</i>	ou agree or orked to the	disagree best of	e with the fo my ability in	ollowing on <i>this class</i> .	Strongly disagree					×	Strongly agree
1,,7		of classes n	nissed:					_	7.0				
	<b>⊠</b> 0 □ 3				□ 1 □ 4				]2 ]5 or	more			
1.8	Further	elaboration o	on your res	ponses o	or additiona	l commentary	/ is encoura	ged. St					
						d.							ži,
2. E	valuati	on of the	Course			7,10	70 P. A. B						
							8	S	0	1	7	Q.	
2.1 2.2		ırse was cha ırse promote		and discu	ıssion outsi	de of the							
2.3		rse objective	es were cle	ar.							Ø		

Class	Climate Course and Faculty Evaluation	n Form						SCANTRON
2. E	valuation of the Course [Continue]							
2.4 2.5 2.6	Grading criteria were clear. The course requirements were clear. Overall rating of the course.	ery Poor	\$	<b>\</b>	<b>√</b> □ □ □	4 000		Excellent
2.7	Further elaboration on your responses and additional commentary is							
	The class was thorou	gh	an	d	in-	ter	est	ing.
	*							
3. E	valuation of the Instructor				le Hell			
			S	0	1	7	S	
3.1 3.2 3.3	The instructor seemed prepared and ready to teach. The instructor deepened my understanding of the subject. The instructor was able to communicate ideas, theories, skills, and/or concepts effectively.							
3.4 3.5	The instructor's feedback about my work was helpful.  The instructor facilitated active engagement with the subject (e.g. class participation, small group work, lab or studio work, or lectures that incorporated time for questions and comments).							
3.6	The instructor was available and approachable about the class and course work.						×	
3.7	The instructor was effective in establishing an inclusive environment for all students.							
3.8	Overall rating of the instructor.	ery Poor					$\boxtimes$	Excellent
3.9	Further elaboration on your responses or additional commentary is e	ncourage	d. St	ay wit	hin the	e box.		
	Amy is open to feed bar perspectives, and makes one understands the m	ck/ sul ater	ot l	nen t	ha ha	+	ple	e's lery-

•									
Class C	limate	Course and Faculty Evaluation	Form		SCANTRON				
Bard Co	llege	Amy Winecoff	*		Bard				
Social S	Social Studies PSY 354 Causes/Conseq:Eating Disorders								
Mark as sh	<del> </del>	point pen or a thin felt tip. This form will t							
Correction:	Please follow the	examples shown on the left hand side to	help optimize the reading	results.					
the spa process Legend	: SD-Strongly Disagree, D-Dis	rill be used for course impro	ovement and in the	e faculty eval	mmenting in uation				
A Land of the land	ident Information				Water St.				
[2 [	our major division (mark one or two)	): ☐ L&L ☐ MDS	☑ SMC ☐ Unde						
	∕our level: ]FY	□ so	□JR						
1.3 N 1.4 N 1.5 N [	SR Jumber of courses you have taken w ] 0 Jumber of courses you have taken ir ] 0 Your reasons for taking this course (r ] distribution requirement ] elective in related program ] other To what extent do you agree or disagnate taken in the course of the	<ul> <li>☒ 1</li> <li>this program prior to this semes</li> <li>☐ 1</li> <li>nark all that apply):</li> <li>☒ program requirement</li> <li>☐ curiosity about the subjective</li> </ul>	□ 2 or r ster: ☑ 2 or r □ electi		ner course Strongly agree				
1.7	lumber of classes missed:	NELSO 1 1 P. M. CHEROSCHICK CO.	□ 2						
Г	] 0 ] 3	<b>⊠</b> 1 □ 4	5 or r						
1.8 F	Further elaboration on your response	es or additional commentary is er	couraged. Stay with	in the box.					
	÷								
į.									
2. <b>Ev</b>	aluation of the Course			A LEADING T					
			& &	N A Sq					
2.1	he course was challenging.								
	The course promoted thought and distance classroom.	scussion outside of the	ц Ц						
2.3	The course objectives were clear.								



Class	Climate		Course	and Faculty Evalu	iation Form						SCANTRON
2. E	valuation	of the Course	[Continue]								
2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7	The course in Overall rating	eria were clear. requirements were g of the course. oration on your re		dditional commenta	Very Poor		Stav w	1/ 	∜ □ □		Excellent
											***
3. E	valuation o	of the Instruct	or				400				
						S	0	N	A	S.	
3.1	The instructo	or seemed prepare	d and ready to	teach.		П	П	_	· 	·   <u>X</u>	
3.2 3.3	The instructo	or deepened my ur or was able to com	nderstanding of	f the subject.	8#6						
3.4	and/or conce	epts effectively. or's feedback abou									
3.5	The instructor (e.g. class pa	or facilitated active articipation, small lat incorporated tir	engagement v	vith the subject or studio work.						<b>X</b>	
3.6	The instructor	or was available ar urse work.	d approachabl	e about the						×	
3.7	The instructo	or was effective in for all students.	establishing an	inclusive						×	
3.8		g of the instructor.			Very Poor					X	Excellent
3.9	Further elabor	oration on your res	ponses or add	itional commentary	y is encourage	ed. St	ay wit	hin th	e box		
				3							
	×										
					¥						



I		
Class Climat	e Course and Faculty Evaluation Form	SCANTRON
Bard College	Amy Winecoff	Bard
Social Studie	PSY 354 Causes/Conseq:Eating Disorders	
Mark as shown: Correction:	☐ ☑ ☐ ☐ Please use a ball-point pen or a thin felt tip. This form will be processed automatically. ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ Please follow the examples shown on the left hand side to help optimize the reading results.	
the space process.	the course and instructor on the criteria below by marking your response and by provided. Your response will be used for course improvement and in the faculty of course of the course improvement and in the faculty of course of the course improvement and in the faculty of course improvement and in the faculty of course of the course of t	y commenting in evaluation
1. Studer	nt Information	Carlo Asserta
☐ Art ☐ So	cial Studies	
1.2 Your l ☐ FY	□ SO □ JR	
☐ 0 1.4 Numb ☐ 0 1.5 Your r ☐ dis ☐ ele ☐ oth 1.6 To wh stater 1.7 Numb	per of courses you have taken with this instructor prior to this semester:    1	
☐ 0 ☐ 3 1.8 Furthe	to the first term of the firs	
L	<u></u>	
2. Evalua	ation of the Course	
	\$ 0 1 A	<b>P</b>
2.2 The c	course was challenging.  Course promoted thought and discussion outside of the Course promoted thought and discussion outside ou	X X
2.3 The c	course objectives were clear.	⊠

Class	Climate Course and Faculty Eva	luation Form						SCANIRON		
2. E	valuation of the Course [Continue]									
2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7	Grading criteria were clear. The course requirements were clear. Overall rating of the course. Further elaboration on your responses and additional commen	Very Poor tary is encoura	S □ □ □	⊘ □ □ Stay v	<i>V</i> □□□	∜ ⊠ □ the b	SR	Excellent		
	Some of the rubtic items overlap or a new very specific (esp. The presentation rubtic).									
								r		
	**		×							
2 5		20 1-25 1/2 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		er Statut ( ) a			les et ann	Train BC Votage D. S.		
J. E	valuation of the Instructor		S	0	1	4	Ĉ₽			
3.1 3.2 3.3	The instructor seemed prepared and ready to teach. The instructor deepened my understanding of the subject. The instructor was able to communicate ideas, theories, skills, and/or concepts effectively.									
3.4 3.5	The instructor's feedback about my work was helpful.  The instructor facilitated active engagement with the subject (e.g. class participation, small group work, lab or studio work, or lectures that incorporated time for questions and comments).									
3.6	The instructor was available and approachable about the class and course work.						$\boxtimes$			
3.7	The instructor was effective in establishing an inclusive environment for all students.					×				
3.8	Overall rating of the instructor.	Very Poor					$\boxtimes$	Excellent		
3.9	Further elaboration on your responses or additional commenta	ry is encourage	ed. St	ay wit	hin th	e box				
	a									
	8									

Class Clima	te
-------------	----

#### Course and Faculty Evaluation Form

SCANTRON

2.	<b>Evaluation</b>	of th	e Course	[Continue]
----	-------------------	-------	----------	------------

- Grading criteria were clear.
- 2.5 The course requirements were clear.
- Overall rating of the course.

S		Ō	V	7	O.A
					V/
Г	_				

☐ ☐ ☐ Excellent 

Further elaboration on your responses and additional commentary is encouraged. Stay within the box. 2.7

& have never taken a 300 level prievrously got Amy as my first professon. Each topic was carefully planned out of the materials directly covered the topics en an easily undervandable was

### 3. Evaluation of the Instructor

3.2	The instructor seemed prepared and ready to teach. The instructor deepened my understanding of the subject. The instructor was able to communicate ideas, theories, skills, and/or concepts effectively.			ব্যব্যব
	The instructor's feedback about my work was helpful.  The instructor facilitated active engagement with the subject			

- 3.4 The instructor's feedback about my work was helpful.
- The instructor facilitated active engagement with the subject 3.5 (e.g. class participation, small group work, lab or studio work, or lectures that incorporated time for questions and comments).
- The instructor was available and approachable about the 3.6 class and course work.
- 3.7 The instructor was effective in establishing an inclusive environment for all students.
- 3.8 Overall rating of the instructor.

		d
_	_	

- Excellent Very Poor П
- Further elaboration on your responses or additional commentary is encouraged. Stay within the box. 3.9

Amy is one of the kest teachers & have even had. She is good at explaining complicated edeas and approaches class in a way that is welly fun to be accound. I am excited to hapfully be in another one of her classes soon.



Class	Climate	(	Course and Faculty Evaluation	n Form					SCANTRON		
Bard (	College		Amy Winecoff			Bard					
Social	l Studies		PSY 354 Causes/Co	nseq:Eating [	Disorder	S					
Mark as	shown:	☐ X ☐ ☐ Please use a ball-poi	int pen or a thin felt tip. This form w	ill be processed a	utomatica	ally.					
Correction	on:	Please follow the exa	amples shown on the left hand side	to help optimize	the readin	g results					
the sp proce	Please rate the course and instructor on the criteria below by marking your response and by content in the faculty events are provided. Your response will be used for course improvement and in the faculty events are process.  Legend: SD-Strongly Disagree, D-Disagree, N-Neutral, A-Agree, and SA-Strongly Agree.										
1. S	tudent	Information									
1.1	☐ Arts	ijor division (mark one or two): al Studies el:	□ L&L □ MDS		☑ SM	C decided					
	☐ FY	<b></b>	⊠ so		□JR				1.		
1.3		of courses you have taken with	this instructor prior to this s	emester:							
1.4	☐ 0 Number	of courses you have taken in the	াত্র । nis program prior to this sem	ester:	☐ 2 oı	more		(#)			
1.5	□ 0	10.57	□ 1		√2 01	more					
1.5	☐ distril	ssons for taking this course (ma oution requirement ve in related program	rk all that apply):  program requirement curiosity about the subj	ect		ctive in requisit			er course		
1.6	To what stateme	extent do you agree or disagreent: I have worked to the best of	e with the following my ability in this class.	Strongly [ disagree					Strongly agree		
1.7		of classes missed:	_/		_						
	□ 0 □ 3		<u>M</u> 1 □ 4		☐ 2 ☐ 5 or	more					
1.8		elaboration on your responses o	or additional commentary is	encouraged.			box.				
				,							
2. E	valuati	on of the Course		10.				0			
				%	0	V	4	7			
2.1 2.2		rse was challenging. rse promoted thought and discu	ussion outside of the					D D			
2.3		rse objectives were clear.		Е				回			

1										
Class	Climate		Course and Faculty Eva	luation Form						SCANTRON
Bard (	College		Amy Winecoff	Amy Winecoff						
Social	Studies		PSY 354 Cause	es/Conseq:Eatin	ng Disc	orders	3			
Mark as Correction			-point pen or a thin felt tip. This for examples shown on the left hand					s.		
the sp	oace pro	ne course and instructor ovided. Your response v Strongly Disagree, D-Dis	vill be used for course	improvemen	t and	l in th	ne fa	culty	oy co evalı	mmenting ir uation
1. S	tudent	Information		<b>特性品质</b>					1987	TOTAL STATE OF THE
1.1	☐ Arts	ijor division (mark one or two al Studies	o): □ L&L □ MDS		,	SMC Und		d		
1.2	Your lev ☐ FY ☐ SR	ei:	□ so		X	] JR				
1.3	Number 0	of courses you have taken v	⊠ 1			] 2 or	more			
1.4	Number ☐ 0	of courses you have taken i	n this program prior to this □ 1	semester:	Þ	〔2 or	more			
1.5	Your rea	sons for taking this course ( oution requirement ve in related program	mark all that apply):  ☑ program requireme ☑ curiosity about the		×	elec	tive ir	prog		er course
1.6	To what stateme	extent do you agree or disag nt: <i>I have worked to the besi</i>	gree with the following tof my ability in this class.	Strongly disagree					M	Strongly agree
1.7	Number ☑ 0	of classes missed:	□ 1			1 2				
	□ 3		4			5 or				
1.8	Further	elaboration on your response	es or additional commenta	ry is encourage	d. Sta	ay with	nin the	e box.		
		Es .								ā
	1									
2. E	valuati	on of the Course								
					S	0	1	7	S.A	
2.1 2.2	The cou	rse was challenging. rse promoted thought and di	scussion outside of the						N N	
2.3	classroo	om. rse objectives were clear.							×	

F221U24453P1PL6V1

04/16/2015, Page 1/2

Class	Climate Course a	nd Faculty Evalua	ation Form						SCANTRON
2. E	valuation of the Course [Continue]	The N	N. P. J. L. N						
2.4 2.5 2.6	Grading criteria were clear. The course requirements were clear. Overall rating of the course.		Very Poor	<i>\$</i> > □ □ □	000	V 0 0 0	₹ □ □ □	文文区 から	Excellent
2.7	Further elaboration on your responses and add	itional commenta	•	ned S	Stav w	/ithin t	he br	)X	
	this was one of my favori viticism is that I wish about BN + BED.								My only hore
3. E	valuation of the Instructor					Vo. silv	0 1 3	8/30	
				S	0	r	7	S.	
3.1 3.2 3.3	The instructor seemed prepared and ready to te The instructor deepened my understanding of the The instructor was able to communicate ideas, and/or concepts effectively.	he subject.						对对区	
3.4 3.5	The instructor's feedback about my work was he The instructor facilitated active engagement wit (e.g. class participation, small group work, lab or lectures that incorporated time for questions comments).	h the subject or studio work,						M M	
3.6	The instructor was available and approachable class and course work.	about the						Ø	
3.7	The instructor was effective in establishing an in environment for all students.	nclusive						Z	
3.8	Overall rating of the instructor.		Very Poor					X	Excellent
3.9	Further elaboration on your responses or additi								
	I really liked the way a	my led the	disen	ssi	on	f	٠.	th	is class.

<u>l</u>									
Class Climate	Co	ourse and Faculty Evaluat	ion Form						SCANTRON
Bard College		Amy Winecoff							Bard
Social Studies		PSY 354 Causes/C	onseq:Eatino	g Disc	orders				
Mark as shown: Correction:	Please use a ball-poin								
the space process	he course and instructor on ovided. Your response will b Strongly Disagree, D-Disag	be used for course im	provement	and	in th	етас	uity	y cor evalu	mmenting in uation
1. Student	Information		Law Mark Wall		tordo		St. A	W	1844
☐ Arts ⊠ Soci	ajor division (mark one or two):	☐ L&L ☐ MDS		-	SMC Unde	; ecided			
1.2 Your le	/ei.	□ so		X	JR				
1.4 Numbe  0 1.5 Your re distr elec othe 1.6 To wha	r of courses you have taken with r of courses you have taken in the asons for taking this course (maribution requirement tive in related program retextent do you agree or disagreement: I have worked to the best of a r of classes missed:	☐ 1 is program prior to this set ☐ 1 k all that apply): ☐ program requirement ☑ curiosity about the sule with the following	mester:	$\boxtimes$	prere	more tive in			er course Strongly agree
□ 3	elaboration on your responses of	4	e encourage	l Sta	•	more	hox		
1.8 Further	elaboration on your responses o	additional commonary.							
2. Evaluat	ion of the Course			P.				S.	
			`	~	0	V	4	7	
2.2 The co		ssion outside of the							
2.3 The co	urse objectives were clear.			J	J	]	_	انب	

Class	s Climate Course and Faculty Evaluation Form	SCANTRON
2. E	Evaluation of the Course [Continue]	
2.4 2.5 2.6	The course requirements were clear.	<ul> <li>1</li></ul>
2.7	Further elaboration on your responses and additional commentary is encouraged. Stay w	vithin the box.
	i liked this class but discussing articles sot a like more in-class group work could help break it up. Peler editing of proposals is a good exercise.	le repetitive
3. E	Evaluation of the Instructor	V 7 S7
3.1 3.2 3.3	The instructor seemed prepared and ready to teach.  The instructor deepened my understanding of the subject.  The instructor was able to communicate ideas, theories, skills, and/or concepts effectively.	
3.4 3.5	The instructor's feedback about my work was helpful.  The instructor facilitated active engagement with the subject (e.g. class participation, small group work, lab or studio work, or lectures that incorporated time for questions and comments).	
3.6	The instructor was available and approachable about the class and course work.	
3.7	The instructor was effective in establishing an inclusive environment for all students.	
3.8	Overall rating of the instructor.	□ 🖄 🗆 Excellent
3.9	Further elaboration on your responses or additional commentary is encouraged. Stay with	
	I really like Amig! one small suggestion - at the the semester go gave us a short break middle of class, but forgot to do thatsom weeks in A quick stretch in the middle makes a big difference!	in the

ļ													
Class	Climate			С	ourse and F	aculty Evalu	ation Form						SCANTRON
Bard C	College				Amy	Winecoff							Bard
Social	Studies				PSY	354 Causes	s/Conseq:Eat	ing Dis	orders	6			
Mark as							m will be proces				S.		
the sp	oace pro	ovided. Y	our respo	nse will	be used fo	r course i	y marking mproveme	nt and	d in th	ne fa	culty	eval	mmenting ir uation
1. S	tudent	Informa	tion				W. A.						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5	☐ Arts ☐ Social Your lev ☐ FY ☐ SR Number ☐ 0 Number ☐ 0 Your rea ☐ distri	al Studies vel:  r of courses asons for ta bution requ ive in relate	s you have	taken with taken in th ourse (mai	L&L  MDS  this instructe  1  1  is program p  1  rk all that app  program curiosity	orior to this soly): a requirement	semester:		JR 2 or 2 or 2 elec	more more tive ir	ı prog		ner course
1.6	To what	extent do	you agree o	or disagree	e with the foll my ability in	lowing <i>this class</i> .	Strongly disagree				X		Strongly agree
1.7	Number 0 3	of classes	missed:		⊠ 1 □ 4		y is encourag		] 2 ] 5 or ay witl				
		17,	5	r									
2. E	valuati	on of the	Course					S	0	1	P	SZ SZ	
2.1 2.2 2.3	The cou	om,			ussion outsid	e of the		) 	> 		A N N	7	

F221U24453P1PL5V1

04/16/2015, Page 1/2

Class	Climate
Olass	Ollinate

#### Course and Faculty Evaluation Form

SCANTRON

## 2. Evaluation of the Course [Continue]

- 2.4 Grading criteria were clear.
- 2.5 The course requirements were clear.
- 2.6 Overall rating of the course.

/ery Poor ☐ ☐ ☐ X ☐ ☐ Exce

2.7 Further elaboration on your responses and additional commentary is encouraged. Stay within the box.

I wish there had been a few different types of work i.e. movies, more visual aids, group work, etc.

Also, a short break in the middle of seminars is very helpful!

#### 3. Evaluation of the Instructor

			S	0	1	4	Q.	
3.1 3.2 3.3	The instructor seemed prepared and ready to teach. The instructor deepened my understanding of the subject. The instructor was able to communicate ideas, theories, skills, and/or concepts effectively.					M M M		
3.4 3.5	The instructor's feedback about my work was helpful.  The instructor facilitated active engagement with the subject (e.g. class participation, small group work, lab or studio work, or lectures that incorporated time for questions and comments).						Ø	
3.6	The instructor was available and approachable about the class and course work.							
3.7	The instructor was effective in establishing an inclusive environment for all students.						<b>A</b>	
3.8	Overall rating of the instructor.	Very Poor					凶	Excellent

3.9 Further elaboration on your responses or additional commentary is encouraged. Stay within the box.

about the subjects than we actually did (because she's very knowledgeable obviously) but it made me slightly lost in the course at times.



I											
Class Clim	nate Course and Faculty Evaluation Form		SCANTRON								
Bard Colleg	ege Amy Winecoff		Bard								
Social Stud	dies PSY 354 Causes/Conseq:Eating	g Disorders									
Mark as showr Correction:		<ul> <li>☑ ☐ Please use a ball-point pen or a thin felt tip. This form will be processed automatically.</li> <li>☑ ☑ ☐ Please follow the examples shown on the left hand side to help optimize the reading results.</li> </ul>									
the space process.	Please rate the course and instructor on the criteria below by marking your response and by cone space provided. Your response will be used for course improvement and in the faculty everocess.  egend: SD-Strongly Disagree, D-Disagree, N-Neutral, A-Agree, and SA-Strongly Agree.										
1. Stud	dent Information										
	ur major division (mark one or two):  Arts	☐ SMC ☐ Undecided									
☐ F	FY SO	⊠ JR									
1.4 Nur	Imber of courses you have taken with this instructor prior to this semester:  ☐ 1  Imber of courses you have taken in this program prior to this semester:	☐ 2 or more									
□ (  X .e	o	<ul><li>☑ 2 or more</li><li>☐ elective in progran</li><li>☐ prerequisite for an</li></ul>									
1.6 To \	what extent do you agree or disagree with the following stement: I have worked to the best of my ability in this class.  Strongly disagree		Strongly agree								
1.7 Nur □ (	umber of classes missed: 0	☐ 2 ☐ 5 or more									
1.8 Fur	orther elaboration on your responses or additional commentary is encouraged	I. Stay within the box.									
<u>.                                    </u>											
2. Eval	luation of the Course	8 0 1 9 Sq									
2.2 The	ne course was challenging. ne course promoted thought and discussion outside of the assroom.		<b>X</b> I								
2.3 The	ne course objectives were clear.										

Class	s Climate Course and Faculty E	Evaluation Form					T	SCANTRON
2. E	Evaluation of the Course [Continue]		Hr.I.	T. Ag		1		
2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7	Grading criteria were clear. The course requirements were clear. Overall rating of the course. Further elaboration on your responses and additional comm	Very Poor nentary is encours		L	Ŵ □ □ □ □ within	∜ □ □	SA MA	Excellent
5	More small group discuss	ions li	cli	ar.	) _ d 9u	May 2 1	ybe mor	fluer e.
3. E	Evaluation of the Instructor			K (U)				
			S	0	1	7	Q <sup>A</sup>	
3.1 3.2 3.3	The instructor seemed prepared and ready to teach. The instructor deepened my understanding of the subject. The instructor was able to communicate ideas, theories, ski and/or concepts effectively.	lls,					X X X	
3.4 3.5	The instructor's feedback about my work was helpful.  The instructor facilitated active engagement with the subject (e.g. class participation, small group work, lab or studio work or lectures that incorporated time for questions and comments).					□ ⊠		
3.6	The instructor was available and approachable about the class and course work.						X	
3.7	The instructor was effective in establishing an inclusive environment for all students.						$\boxtimes$	
3.8	Overall rating of the instructor.	Very Poor					区	Excellent
3.9	Further elaboration on your responses or additional comme	ntary is encourag	ed. St	ay wit	hin the	e box.		